

INITIAL

NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

AND NOTICING ACTION

Docket No. 50-244

Facility: R. E. Ginna

Licensee: Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation

Date of application April 15, 1983

Request for: See attached sheet.

Initial Determination:

- Proposed determination - amendment request involves no significant hazards considerations (NSHC).
- Final determination - amendment request involves significant hazards considerations (SHC).

Basis for Determination:

- License's NSHC discussion has been reviewed and is accepted. See attached amendment request.
- Basis for this determination is presented in the attached notice.
- Other (state). \_\_\_\_\_

8405424 330

YA

Initial Noticing Action: (Attach appropriate notice of input for monthly FRN

1. (  ) Monthly FRN. Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination -- monthly FRN input is attached.
2. (     ) Individual FRN. Same notice matter as above. Time does not allow waiting for next monthly FRN.
3. (     ) No initial FRN. Valid exigent circumstances exist (evaluated below). Local media notice requesting public comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached.
4. (     ) No initial FRN or local media notice. A valid emergency situation exists (evaluated below) and there is no time for public notice on proposed NSHC determination.
5. (     ) Individual FRN. Licensee's claim of exigent or emergency circumstances is invalid (evaluated below). Notice of opportunity for hearing (30 days) and request for comments on proposed NSHC determination is attached. Letter of explanation to licensee is also attached.
6. (     ) Individual FRN. The amendment request involves SHC. Notice of opportunity for prior hearing is attached. Letter to licensee also attached.

Evaluation of exigent or emergency circumstances (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Approvals:

	<u>Date</u>
1. <u>George F. Dick, Jr.</u> <i>George F. Dick, Jr.</i> (Project Manager)	<u>3/15/84</u>
2. <u>Dennis M. Crutchfield</u> <i>D. M. Crutchfield</i> (Branch Chief)	<u>4/12/84</u>
3. <u>Mitzi Young</u> <i>Mitzi Young</i> <i>W. T. Wagoner</i> (with initials) (S.E.L.D.)	<u>4/15/84</u>
<i>Cecil O. Thomas</i> For <u>Frank J. Miraglia</u> (Assistant Director)	<u>4/26/84</u>
5. <u>Not Applicable</u> (Director, Division of Licensing)	_____

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555



August ~~12, 1963~~

*meur - 9/29  
52 - 6/3/69  
Typing*

Docket No. 50-244

MEMORANDUM FOR: ~~Robert A. Clark~~, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #3, DL

FROM: Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #5, DL

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PUBLICATION IN MONTHLY FR NOTICE - NOTICE  
OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL  
OPERATING LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A  
HEARING

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, Docket No. 50-244, R. E. Ginna  
Nuclear Power Plant, Wayne County, New York

Date of amendment request: ~~April 15, 1963~~  
*August 12, 1963*

Description of amendment request: *This submittal is a revision to the request*  
The proposed amendment would approve *the requirement*  
*changes to the* technical specifications (TS) to: (1) include ~~procedures~~ to limit the

amount of overtime worked by plant staff members performing safety-related  
functions, and (2) include the requirement that challenges to the pressurizer  
power operated relief valves (PORV's) or safety valves (SV's) be reported

no less frequently than on an annual basis. *The overtime limit would be controlled  
through administrative procedures. The proposed administrative procedures were included.*  
Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination:

Generic Letter 82-16 requested all Pressurized Power Reactor licensees to  
review their TS to determine if they were consistent with the guidance  
provided with the generic letter. For items where utilities identified  
deviations or the absence of a specification, they were requested to  
submit an application for a license amendment. The Ginna licensee deter-  
mined that there are no provisions in the TS that address plant staff  
overtime or the reporting of PORV or SV challenges.

*with the April 15, 1963 submittal. In their letter of August 12, 1963, the licensee  
committed to submit any change in guidelines for the administrative procedures to  
the NRC for review. ~~In this Federal Register~~*

*The April 15, 1963 submittal was withdrawn September 21, 1963 (FR 49145).*


*for amendments dated April 15, 1963, which was returned  
in the Monthly Federal Register  
September 21, 1963 (45 FR 49145)*

The Commission has provided guidance (48 FR 14870, April 6, 1983) concerning the application of the standards in 10 CFR 50.92 by indicating certain examples of actions likely to involve no significant hazards consideration. Example (ii) is a change that constitutes an additional limitation, restriction, or control not presently included in the technical specifications: for example, a more stringent surveillance requirement. Since staff overtime and PORV and SV challenges are not addressed in the current TS, the proposed changes fall within example (ii) and therefore, staff proposes to determine that the requested changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Rochester Public Library,  
115 South Avenue, Rochester, New York 14604.

Attorney for licensee: Harry H. Voigt, Esquire, LeBoeuf, Lamb,  
Leiby and MacRae, 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N. W., Suite 1100,  
Washington, D. C. 20036.

NRC Branch Chief: Dennis M. Crutchfield

  
Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #5  
Division of Licensing

cc: Docket  
ORB #5 Reading  
G. Dick  
H. Smith