NRC 10451 173	US NUCLEAR REGULATOR + CU	MISSION	CHOLHNUMB	į R
10.		2×2	20-8	2-011
STANDARD ORDER	FOR DOE WORK		DATE	
SUED TO IDRE Office)	ISSUED BY INRC OILice)		ACCOUNTING CITATION	
Idano Operations Office	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, DE	or	31 X 02 0	
			BLR NUMBER 20-19-04-09	
ERFORMING ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION			EU-19-1	
Idaho National Engineering Lab Idaho Falls, Idaho	ng Laboratory		A6430-1	
			WORK PERIOD THIS ORDER	
IN TITLE Review of Pumps and Valve Inse	rvice Testing Program for		FIXED D	ESTIMATED L
Review of Pumps and Valve Inservice Testing Program for Operating License Plants			5/1/81	11/30/82
OBLIGATION AVAILAB	LITY PROVIDED BY			
THIS ORDER			s. 0 -	
B TOTAL OF ORDERS PLACED PRIOR TO THIS DATE WITH THE PERFORMING ORGANIZATION UNDER THE SAME APPROPRIATION SYMBOL " AND THE FIRST FOUR DIGITS OF THE BAR NUMBER "CITED ABOVE		THE	and the second	
TOTAL ORDERS TO DATE	ITOTAL	A & 8	\$ 6,299,000	
AMOUNT INCLUDED IN "C" APPLICABLE TO	THE "FIN NUMBER" CITED IN THIS OR	DER	s - 0 -	
ON ORLIGATIONS AUTHORIZED TANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS PROVIDE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ATTACHMENTS THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENTS ARE HER MADE A PART OF THIS ORDER X STATEMENT OF WORK (Modific D ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIO D OTHER	ation)	SECURITY X WORK ON THIS ORDER IS NOT CLA		CLASSIFIED
REMARKS This order modifies the INEL p proposal that reflects the ent 30 days. After acceptance, please send and provide a copy to the Offi	ire effort of this project to the NRC Office of the C	be subr	nitted with er, AITN: 1	hin D. Dandois,
ISSUING AUTHORITY	the same and the second state of the same and the same and the same state of the sam	CEPTING	RGANIZATIC	N
Richard H. Vollmer systeller	SIGNATURE			
are large in the index of a second		the second second		and the second se
Director, Division of Engineer	ing			
Director, Division of Engineer	ing OATE			
Director, Division of Engineer	ing OATE		12	
Director, Division of Engineer	ing OATE		B	- 11

. . . .

ENCLOSURE 1

1.

STATEMENT OF WORK

Title: Review of Pump and Valve Inservice Testing Program Operating Licensing Plants FIN #: A6430 B&R Number: 20-19-04-09	for
Technical Monitor: Anthony J. Cappucci, Jr., 492-9476 Cognizant Branch Chief: Robert J. Bosnak, 492-7456 Add the following tasks to the original Statement of Work. SPECIFIC WORK REQUIREMENTS	
Task 4. Diablo Canyon	Est. Completion Date
Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my	
Subtask A.	
Review the IST program and the relief requests for Diablo Canyon. Provide the evaluation and the requests for additional information or clarification.	11/1/81
Subtask B.	
Meet with the applicants to clarify and resolve the deficiencies identified.	11/30/81
Subtask C.	
Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the review.	1/30/81
Task 5. San Onofre 2 and 3	
Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my	
Subtask A.	
Review the IST program and the relief requests for San Onofre 2&3. Provide the evaluation and the requests for additional information or clarification.	11/6/81
Subtask B.	
Meet with the applicants to clarify and resolve the deficiencies identified.	11/30/81
Subtask C.	
Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the review.	2/15/82

Task 6. Summer 1	Est. Completion
Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my	
Subtask A.	
Review the IST program and the relief requests for Summer 1. Provide the evaluation and the request for additional information or clarification.	12/15/81
Subtask B.	
Meet with the applicants to clarify and resolve the deficiencies identified.	2/1/82
Subtask C.	
Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the reivew.	4/1/82
Task 7. LaSalle 1 and 2	
Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my	
Subtask A.	
Review the IST program and the relief requests for LaSalle 1&2. Provide the evaluation and the request for additional information or clarification.	3/1/82
Subtask B.	
Meet with the applicants to clarify and resolve the deficiencies identified.	4/1/82
Subtask C.	
Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the review.	6/1/82
Task 8. Shoreham	
Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my	
Subtask A.	
Review the IST program and the relief requests for Shoreham. Provide the evaluation and the request for additional information or clarification.	3/15/82

	Est.	Completion Date
Subtask B.		
Meet with the applicants to clarify and resolve the deficiencies identified.		4/15/82
Subtask C.		
Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the review.		6/15/82
Task 9. Fermi 2		
Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my		
Subtask A.		
Review the IST program and the relief requests for Fermi 2. Provide the evaluation and the request for additional information or clarification.		5/1/82
Subtask B.		
Meet with the applicants to clarify and resolve the deficiencies identified.		6/1/82
Subtask C.		
Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the review.		8/1/82
Task 10. Susquehanna 1 and 2		
Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my		
Subtask A.		
Review the IST program and the relief requests for Susquehanna 1&2. Provide the evaluation and the request for additional information or clarification.		7/1/82
Subtask B.		
Meet with the applicants to clarify and resolve the deficiencies identified.		8/1/82
Subtask C.		
Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the review.		10/15/82

-3-

:

Est. Completion Date

8/15/82

11/30/82

Task 11. Comanche Peak 1 and 2

Estimated Level of Effort - FY82: 0.3 my

Subtask A.

Review the IST program and the relief requests for Comanche Peak. Provide the evaluation and the request for additional information or clarification.

Subtask B.

Meet with the applicants to clarify and 10/1/82

Subtask C.

Prepare and submit the summary report of the results of the review.

LEVEL OF EFFORT AND PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The additional level of effort for this modification is estimated at 2.4 staff years over a 1.2 year period ending November 30, 1982. Twelve staff weeks has been estimated as the average effort per plant review.

MEETINGS AND TRAVEL

It is estimated that one meeting with the applicant and NRC staff of two to three days duration will be required for each task. These meetings will be held at either the applicant's office, the plant site, or in Bethesda, MD.

NRC FURNISHED MATERIALS

The NRC will provide the contractor with one copy of the inservice testing program and one set of piping and instrumentation diagrams corresponding to the safety related systems and components addressed in the IST program for each plant under this work order.

ENCLOSURE 2

PROPOSAL CONTENT

The minimum items required in all proposals are:

- 1. Performing organization's name and location.
- 2. FIN Title, Fin Number, and B&R Number (NRC's) (as on statement of work).
- Performing organization's key personnel, program manager, or principal investigator, their resumes and FTS phone number.
- Background (definition of the problem including the objective(s) to be attained).
- 5. Work to be performed (Provide a concise description of tasks to be performed and expected results for the period of performance. Note technical data requirements, potential problems, and other technical information needed to fully explain the effort. Highlight changes from prior authorized SOW's, if any, identify changes in performance, schedule, or costs).
- 6. Identify major subcontracts, including consultants.
- Costs estimated to be incurred by DOE contractors, subcontractors, and consultants. List by fiscal year to completion:
 - a. Manyears of Technical Support (MTS)
 - b. Costs:

. . .

- (1) Direct Salaries (Labor) for MTS
- (2) Material and Services (excluding ADP)
- (3) Total ADP Support
- (4) Subcontracts
- (5) Capital Equipment
- (6) Direct Travel Expense (Foreign travel must be shown separately)
- (7) General and Administrative Expense (Include indirect labor cost)
- c. Total Estimated Cost;
- 8. Forecasts:
 - .. milertone Chart for accomplishing the work.

- b. Planned monthly rate of costs by fiscal year. This may be provided with the first report of an authorized program if not known at time of proposal submittal. At the beginning of each subsequent year, reports should include the planned monthly rate of costs for the ensuing year.
- 9. Conflict of Interest:

In order to assist the Commission in its evaluation, the DOE Contracting Officer shall describe any significant contractual and organizational relationships of the DOE, its contractor, their employees, or expected subcontractors or consultants on this proposal, with industries regulated by the NRC (e.g. utilities, etc.) and suppliers thereof (e.g. architect engineers and reactor manufacturers, etc.) that might give rise to an apparent or actual conflict of interest.

10. Reporting Requirements (as on statement of work).