BOSTON EDISON

PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

Procedure 1.3.4.-13

EOP VERIFICATION PROGRAM

4/4/88 Approved <u>Fritamulau</u> QA Manager /Date 4/6/88 IDate spelo Approved Z. Z. Kight Station Director

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

8804190085 880405 PDR ADDCK 05000293 F PDR

> Proc¹. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 1 of 49

Table of Contents

Section

Page

*

Ι.	PURPOSE	3
II.	APPLICABILITY	3
III.	DEFINITIONS	3
IV.	REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS	
	A. Verification Procedure	3
	B. Personnel Qualifications	5
	C. Evaluating, Resolving, and Correcting Identified Discrepancies	7
	D. Documentation	8

ATTACHMENT A: EOP VERIFICATION PROGRAM CHECKLISTS

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 2 of 49

I. PURPOSE

This document provides appropriate requirements and instructions for verifying the PNPS Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs).

II. APPLICABILITY

The requirements and instructions specified herein apply to the overall process of developing new EOPs and revising existing EOPs.

This document supplements existing PNPS procedures governing procedure preparation, revision, and control but does not supplant them.

III. DEFINITIONS

EOP Source Documents - Guidelines, procedures, data and other records which comprise the technical basis of the EOPs and requirements for their development.

EOP Technical Accuracy - An EOP characteristic that refers to the compatibility of the procedures with plant systems, hardware, and instrumentation; additionally, the conformity of the EOPs with other plant procedures that are referenced therein, and with the content of the technical guidelines from which the EOPs were developed.

EOP Verification - The process of confirming and documenting the technical accuracy and written correctness of the EOPs.

EOP Written Correctness - An EOP characteristic that refers to the conformity of the procedures to the standards of EOP format and editorial content presented in the EOP Writers' Guide.

IV. REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS

A. Verification Procedure

EOP technical accuracy and written correctness shall be verified using the checklists provided in Attachment A. New EOPs shall be evaluated in terms of all checklist criteria; one set of checklists shall be completed for each new EOP. Modifications of existing EOPs need be evaluated only in terms of those criteria directly applicable to new and modified steps; if the number of such steps is relatively small, only one set of checklists need be completed for all revised EOPs.

The instructions listed below shall be followed during performance of verification checklist evaluations:

- 1. Fill in the following information on the EOP Verification Record (illustrated on page 6 of Attachment A):
 - a. The number, revision, and title of the EOP being verified.
 - b. The PSTG revision which was used as the source document for the EOP being verified.

FOR INFORMATION Proc. 1.3.4-1 Page 3 of 49 ONLY

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2

IV. A. Verification Procedure (continued)

- c. The Writers' Guide revision which was used as the source document for the EOP being verified.
- d. The name, organization (or department) and job title (including such information as RO, SRO, Shift Supervisor, etc., as applicable) of the person performing the verification.
- 2. Write the number of the EOP being verified on the top of each checklist page.
- 3. Apply each checklist evaluation criterion, one at a time, to each flowchart element of the EOP being verified. If necessary, refer to the EOP Writers' Guide for guidance on proper interpretation of the criteria presented in checklist Sections A, B, and C. (The relevant paragraph of the EOP Writers' Guide is identified in parentheses after each Section A, B, and C checklist item.)
- 4. If all EOP flowchart elements to which a checklist item is applicable are fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "Yes" on the checklist form. If a checklist item does not apply to the EOP being verified, circle "NA". If one or more EOP flowchart elements are not fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "No" and assign a unique discrepancy identification number of the format:

Checklist section - Criterion number - Sequence number

Example: B2-14-2 (Checklist section B2, criterion 14, second discrepancy)

Multiple occurrences of the same discrepancy may be assigned the same identification number provided that each location of the discrepancy is documented.

- 5. Complete Part I of an EOP Verification Discrepancy Report (illustrated on page 7 of Attachment A) for each identified discrepancy.
 - Uniquely identify the flowchart element(s) to which the а. identified discrepancy applies; if the discrepancy is one of omission identify the applicable part of the PSTGs.
 - b. Provide a comprehensive narrative description of the nature of the discrepancy. If additional space is needed, use a Continuation Sheet (illustrated on page 9 of Attachment A) and check the associated box on the Report indicating that a continuation sheet is attached. The sequential and total number of continuation sheets used for an individual discrepancy shall be identified in the appropriate blanks on each continuation sheet.

FOR INFORMATION ONLY Proc. 1.3.4-1 Page 4 of 49

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2

IV. A. Verification Procedure (continuation)

- 6. When all checklist items have been completed, the person who performed the verification shall:
 - a. List the checklist section(s) completed.
 - b. Sign and date the EOP Verification Record.
 - c. Attach all completed checklists and Part I Discrepancy Reports including associated continuation sheets to the EOP Verification Record.
 - d. Return the completed package to the Nuclear Operations Manager.

B. Personnel Qualifications

Personnel performing the EuP verification must be knowledgeable in certain specific subject areas related to the activities to be performed. Minimum personnel qualifications for each task are listed in Table 1. Plant operators, subject matter experts, procedure writers, (other than the EOP author(s)), and human factors experts should all be involved in verification of a new EOP. The technical accuracy should be the major task of the operators and the subject matter experts. The written correctness should be the major task of the procedure writers and human factors experts.

The EOP author(s) should not participate in the evaluation of the EOPs relative to the checklist criteria.

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 5 of 49 2

Table 1: Personnel Qualifications for EOP Verification Activities

Activity	Qualifications
Application of Checklist Sections A and B	Familiarity with the PNPS PSTGs and the EOP Writers' Guide
Application of Checklist Sections C and D	Familiarity with the PNPS PSTGs, the EOP Writers' Guide, and plant operations (licensed operator preferred)
Application of Checklist Section E	Familiarity with the EOP format, plant systems and control room instrumentation (licensed operator

preferred)

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 6 of 49

IV. C. Evaluating, Resolving, and Correcting Identified Discrepancies

Each EOP discrepancy identified through the verification process shall be analyzed to determine if any corrective action is required. Resolution of all identified discrepancies and, if required, completion of associated corrective action(s) shall be documented on Part II of an EOP Verification Discrepancy Report (illustrated on page 8 of Attachment A). If no corrective action is required, appropriate justification shall be provided.

The analysis and resolution of EOP discrepancies and the identification of appropriate corrective actions should be performed as a cooperative effort among several individuals having expertise in the EOPs, the EOP source documents, plant operation, and control room operator training. The procedure author(s) and the individual(s) who completed the verification checklists should participate in the evaluation and resolution of discrepancies. The following process shall be followed in completing this task:

- Review the description of the discrepancy. (If those who performed the verification are participating in the discrepancy review and resolution process they can supply additional information as necessary.)
- Determine whether any corrective action is necessary. This decision should be made by :

(a) confirming that the identified discrepancy is actually a deviation from the evaluation criterion and

(b) assessing the degree of deviation from the evaluation criterion, and

(c) investigating whether extenuating or mutually conflicting requirements necessitate a deviation from the evaluation criterion.

- If corrective action is appropriate, develop a recommended solution which corrects the discrepancy. Solutions may include changes to the EOP, additions to the EOP training program, modifications to plant equipment, or revisions to EOP source documents.
- 4. Document the agreed-upon corrective action on Part II of the EOP Verification Discrepancy Report, using Continuation Sheets as necessary to completely record the action to be taken. If no corrective action is required, provide appropriate justification. The individual who prepares the description of the required corrective action (or the justification for no corrective action) shall sign and date the Report in the space provided.

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 7 of 49

IV. C. <u>Evaluating, Resolving, and Correcting Identified Discrepancies</u> (continued)

- When the corrective action has been implemented, the individual who completes the corrective action shall sign and date the associated EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part II in the space provided.
- Satisfactory completion of the required corrective action shall be independently verified. The person who performs this task shall sign and date the associated EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part II in the space provided.

D. Documentation

Documentation of each verified EOP shall consist of the following:

- 1. EOP Verification Record with all information and signatures.
- 2. One completed set of EOP Verification Checklists.
- An EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part I (including associated Continuation Sheets), appropriately completed for each discrepancy listed on the Verification Checklists.
- An EOP Verification Discrepancy Report Part II (including associated Continuation Sheets), appropriately completed for each discrepancy listed on the Verification Checklists.

The completed documentation package shall be returned to the Nuclear Operations Manager. A review of all materials for compliance with the requirements and instructions of the EOP Verification Program shall be performed by the Nuclear Operations Manager and the QA Director. These individuals shall sign and date the EOP Verification Record when they have determined that all requirements have been satisfactorily completed.

All records of EOP Verification shall be retained as specified by NOD Procedure 1.3.7.

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 8 of 49

Attachment A Page 1 of 41

ATTACHMENT A

EOP VERIFICATION PROGRAM

CHECKLISTS

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 9 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 2 of 41

2

Table of Contents

Sect	ion		Page A-
	INST CHEC	RUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING EOP VERIFICATION KLISTS	4
Α.	PROC	EDURE IDENTIFICATION	10
Β.	PROC	EDURE FORMAT	
	B1.	Format of Flowchart Elements	11
	B2.	Step Numbering	17
	B3.	Listed Itams	18
	B4.	Use of Emphasis Techniques	19
	B5.	Procedure Layout and Associated Conventions	20
	B6.	Instructions for Printing, Copying, and Storage	22
С.	PROC	EDURE CONTENT	
	C1.	Level of Detail	23
	C2.	Writing Style	25
	СЗ.	Cautions and Notes	26
	C4.	Branching Instructions and Cross-References	27
	C5.	Component Identification	29
	C6.	Spelling, Grammar, and Punctuation	30
	C7.	Nomenclature, Vocabulary, and Abbreviations	31
	C8.	Numerical Values	39
D.	CONF	ORMITY WITH PLANT-SPECIFIC TECHNICAL GUIDELINES	40
E.	COMP	FOR INFORTYPE H7.0	4-13 Rev. 49

Attachment A Page 3 of 41

Table of Contents (Continued)

F	orms									Page	A-
	EOP	Verification	Record					 			6
	EOP	Verification	Discrepancy	Report,	Part	Ι		 			7
	EOP	Verification	Discrepancy	Report,	Part	II .		 			8
	EOP Shee	Verification t	Discrepancy	Report,	Conti	nuat'	on 	 	•		9
I	ables									Page	A-
	A1:	Application	of Logic Ter	ms				 			32
	A2:	Standard Ter	ms and Defin	itions .				 			33
	A3:	Standard Acr	onyms and Ab	breviati	ons .			 			36

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 11 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 4 of 41

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING EOP VERIFICATION CHECKLISTS

1. Use black ink on all checklists

- 2. Fill out an EOP Verification Record (page A6) as follows:
 - (a) Identify the number, revision, and title of the EOP being verified.
 - (b) Identify the PSTG revision which was used as the source document for the EOP being verified.
 - (c) Identify the Writers' Guide revision which was used as the cource document for the EOP being verified.
 - (d) List the section(s) of the EOP Verification Checklists being completed by you.
 - Print your full name, organization (or department) and job title (e) (including such information as RO, SRO, Shift Supervisor, etc., as applicable) under "Verification Performance Completed By."
- 3. Write the EOP number on the top of each checklist page.
- 4. Apply each checklist evaluation criterion, one at a time, to each flowchart element of the EOP being verified. If necessary, refer to the EOP Writers' Guide for guidance on proper interpretation of the criteria presented in checklist Sections A, B, and C. (The relevant paragraph of the Writers' Guide is identified in parentheses after each Section A, and B, and C checklist item.)
- 5. If all EOP flowchart elements to which a checklist item is applicable are fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "Yes" on the checklist form. If a checklist item does not apply to the EOP being evaluated, circle "NA". If one or more EOP flowchart elements are not fully compliant with the evaluation criterion, circle "No" and assign a unique discrepancy identification number of the following for at:

Checklist section - Criterion number - Sequence number

Example: B2-14-2 (Checklist section B2, criterion 14, second discrepancy)

Multiple occurrences of the same discrepancy may be assigned the same identification number provided that each location of the discrepancy is documented.

- 6. Complete Part I of an EOP Verification Discrepancy Report (illustrated on page A7) for each identified discrepancy.
 - (a) Uniquely identify the flowchart element(s) to which the identified discrepancy applies. If the discrepancy is one of omission, identify FOR INFORMATCON

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 12 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 5 of 41

- (b) Provide a comprehensive narrative description of the nature of the discrepancy. If additional space is needed, use a Continuation Sheet (illustrated on page A2) and check the associated box on the Report indicating that a continuation sheet is attached. The sequencial and total number of continuation sheets used for an individual discrepancy shall be identified in the appropriate blanks on each continuation sheet.
- 7. When all checklist items have been completed:
 - (a) Sign and date the EOP Verification Record.
 - (b) Attach all completed checklists and Part I Discrepancy Reports including associated continuation sheets to the EOP Verification Record.
 - (c) Return the completed package to the Nuclear Operations Manager.



Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 13 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 6 of 41

	EOP VERIFICATION RECORD
EOP #	Revision
EOP Title	
DESIGN INFUT	
PSTG Revision	, Dated
EOP Writers' Guide Revision	, Dated
VERIFICATION PERFORMANCE	
EOP Verification Checklis	st Section(s)
Completed by:	
Name (Print)	
Organization & Job	Title
Signature	
	Date
Reviewed by:	
Nuclear Operations Manager	
	Date
QA Director	
	WEODNANTION Date
FOR	Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 14 of 49 PTYPE H7 01

Attachment A Page 7 of 41

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY	
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER ST	ATION
E	OP VLRIFICATION DISCREPANCY REPORT
	Part I
DISCREPANCY ID #	CHECKLIST #
EOP #	REVISION
PROCEDURE ELEMENT(s); qu	ote, or describe uniquely:
DESCRIPTION OF DISCREPAN	CY:
All Activity of the	
a an a-t-t-suite a st	
	Continuation Sheet(s) attached _
	- 1
	MOITAN
	NEORNIA
roR	Proc. 1.3.4-13 Fev. 2 Page 15 of 49
401	RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 8 of 41

EOP VERIFI	CATION DISCREPANCY REPORT
	Part II
DISCREPANCY ID #	CHECKLIST #
EOP Number	REVISION
CORRECTIVE ACTION	
Description:	
c	Continuation Sheet(s) attached []
Prepared by:	
Name (Print)	
Signature	Date
Implemented by:	
Name (Print)	
Signature	Date
Completion Verified by:	
Name (Print)	
Signature	Data
	Date
FOR INFO	RMANO Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 16 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 9 of 41

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION	
EOP VERIFIC	CATION DISCREPANCY REPORT
α	ONTINUATION SHEET
DISCREPANCY ID #	CHECKLIST #
EOP Number	REVISION
Continuation of: Part I _ SP	heet of
Part II 5	Sheet of
FOR INFO	RMATION Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 17 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

-

Attachment A Page 10 of 41

EOP

SECTION A: PROCEDURE IDENTIFICATION

1.	Is the procedure number and title presented in large, boldfaced, underlined print, and placed at the top of the EOP? (II.A, III.D.1.a, III.D.2.a, III.D.3)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Is the EOP number unique? (II.A)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Is the EOP title descriptive of the procedure content? (II.A)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Does the EOP have a title block located in the lower right corner of the bordered area surrounding the EOP? (II.B, III.E.5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Does the EOP title block contain: (1) plant name, (2) approval signature, (3) effective date, (4) procedure title, (5) procedure number, and (6) revision number? (II.B)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 18 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 11 of 41

EOP

SECTION B: PROCEDURE FORMAT

B1. Format of Flowchart Elements 1. As appropriate, is entry to the EOP indicated at the top (i.e., beginning) of the procedure by either of the following: A tabular presentation of entry conditions Yes No NA enclosed in a heavy-bordered rectangle with rounded corners? (III.A.1) * The word "START" printed in boldfaced uppercase Yes No NA letters enclosed in a heavy-bordered rectangle with rounded corners? (III.A.1, III.D.2.c) Discrepancy ID # 2. Are concurrent execution statements enclosed Yes No NA within a shaded elongated rectangle with entry and exit arrows appropriately located? (III.A.2) Discrepancy ID # 3. Are section designators printed in Yes No NA boldfaced uppercase letters centered within shaded trapezoids? (III.A.3, III.D.1.d, III.D.2.d) Discrepancy ID # ____ 4. Are instructional steps presented as complete Yes No NA sentences enclosed in rectangles? (III.A.4) Discrepancy ID # _____ 5. Are individual decisions which constitute major Yes No NA branch points each phrased as "yes/no" questions and enclosed in diamonds? (III.A.5) Discrepancy ID # 6. Are "Yes" and "No" response path labels Yes No NA capitalized and placed adjacent to arrows extending from decision diamonds? (III.A.5, III.A.6.a, III.A.6.b) Discrepancy ID # FOR INFORMATION Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 19 of 49 ONITY RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 12 of 41 EOP B1. Format of Flowchart Elements (continued) 7. Do conditional statements that are formatted as a single instructional step: Yes No NA Have the conditional part of the instruction а. stated first, followed by the contingent action? (This formatting requirement does not apply for conditional clauses that begin with "Until" or "Except"). (III.A.7) Discrepancy ID # Have the logic terms been printed in uppercase Yes No NA b. letters and separated from the remainder of their respective clauses. (This formatting requirement does not apply for conditional clauses that begin with "Until" or "Except".) (III.A.7.b. III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # 8. Where a prescribed action is to be performed until Ye: No NA certain specified conditions occur, are the conditions prefaced by the word "UNTIL" printed in uppercase letters? (III.A.7.c, III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # 9. Where a prescribed action is to be performed with Yes No NA certain specified exceptions, are the exceptions prefaced by the word "EXCEPT" printed in uppercase letters? (III.A.7.d, III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # ____ 10. where a prescribed action may be performed any Yes No NA time before a specified condition occurs, is the condition prefaced by the word "BEFORE" (separated by a double horizontal line) printed in boldfaced uppercase letters and enclosed in a trapezoid? (III.A.7.e, III.D.1.g, III.D.2.e) Discrepancy ID # 11. Where an "and/or" decision structure is required. Yes No NA has one of the following formats (or an acceptable alternate format) been chosen to clearly depict the relationship between the conditional clauses? (III.A.7.f) A decision table An appropriately structured series of decision diamonds RMAILU Discrepancy ID # Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 VHAD Page 20 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 13 of 41

	EOP			
B1	. Format of Flowchart Elements (continued)			
12.	Where plant conditions are specified which cause procedure execution to immediately proceed from one instructional step to a subsequent flowchart element, are the continuation conditions prefaced by the word "WHEN" printed in uppercase letters and separated from the remainder of the respective clause by two periods? (III.A.8, III.D.2.e)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
13.	Are hold points expressed as instructions beginning with "WAIT UNTIL" printed in uppercase letters and enclosed in an octagon? (III.A.9, III.D.2.e)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
14.	Are override statements formatted as decision tables enclosed in shaded heavy-bordered rectangles with rounded corners? (III.A.10)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
15.	Do override statements have heavy shaded lines extending downward from the left and right sides of the enclosing rounded-corner rectangle to indicate the flowchart element(s) which the override statement applies? (Extension lines need not be used where an override statement applies to an entire procedure.) (III.A.10)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
16.	Are procedure exit statements and end points enclosed in heavy-bordered rectangles with rounded corners? (III.A.11)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
17.	Is supplemental information that applies to the performance of a step located outside the direct path of the flowchart elements, and is the association to the appropriate flowchart element indicated by a dashed line? (III.A.12)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
	FOR INFORMATION ONLY Proc. 1.3.4 Page 21 of RTYPE H7.01	-13 49	Rev	. 2

Attachment A Page 14 of 41 EOP B1. Format of Flowchart Elements (continued) 18. Are notes printed in italics and located Yes No NA immediately adjacent to (either before or after) the text to which they apply? (III.A.13, III.D.4) Discrepancy ID # ____ 19. For notes, is the word "NOTE" printed in uppercase Yes No NA letters and punctuated with a colon prefacing the text of the note? (III.A.13, III.D.2.h) Discrepancy ID # 20. Are references to cautions indicated within flowchart Yes No NA elements through the use of a circled number with black background? (III.A.14) Discrepancy ID # 21. Is the full text of each referenced caution enclosed Yes No NA in a rectangle and arranged in sequence around the periphery of the EOP, located to the left of or above any figures present? (III.A.14, III.E.6) Discrepancy ID # 22. Is each figure labeled with a number and title printed Yes No NA in boldfaced uppercase letters centered above the figure number having a prefix corresponding to the number of the EOP followed by a unique sequential decimal number? (III.A.15, III.A.15.b, III.D.1.e, III.D.2.f) Discrepancy ID # 23. Are all figures that are referenced within the EOP Yes No NA correctly arranged around the periphery of the procedure in proper numerical sequence? (III.A.15, III.E.6) Discrepancy ID # 24. Are the text and graphics of each figure clear, Yes No NA simple, and easily read? (III.A.15.a) Discrepancy ID # 25. Are axes of all graphs labeled with parameters, Yes No NA units, and numerical values? (III.A.15.c) Discrepancy ID # FOR INFORMATION Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 22 of 49 RTYPE H7.01 ANHY.

Attachment A Page 15 of 41 EOP B1. Format of Flowchart Elements (continued) 26. Are both horizontal and vertical grid lines provided Yes No NA on all graphs? (III.A.15.d) Discrepancy ID # 27. Are all graphical presentations of operating regions Yes No NA which are beyond the action level defined by the EOP delineated through the use of cross-hatching or background shading? (III.A.15.e) Discrepancy ID # 28. Is each table labeled with a number and title printed Yes No NA in boldfaced uppercase letters centered above the table, with the table number having a prefix corresponding to the number of the EOP followed by a unique sequential decimal number? (III.A.16, III.A.16b, III.D.1.e, III.D.2.g) Discrepancy ID # 29. Are all tables that are referenced within the EOP Yes No NA correctly arranged around the periphery of the procedure in proper numerical sequence (small tables located adjacent to flowchart elements excepted)? (III.A.16, III.E.6) Discrepancy ID # 30. Are small tables placed alongside the flowchart Yes No NA element in which they are referenced, with a dashed line used to indicate step association? (III.A.12, III.A.16) Discrepancy ID # 31. Are the text and graphics of each table clear, Yes No NA simple and easily read? (III.A.16.a) Discrepancy ID # 32. Are tables placed within a border? (III.A.16.c) Yes No NA Discrepancy ID # 33. Is an appropriate heading provided for each table Yes No NA column, printed in uppercase letters and centered over the respective column? (III.A.16.d, III..D.2.g) Discrepancy ID # FOR INFORMATION Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 23 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 16 of 41 EOP ______ B1. Format of Flowchart Elements (continued) 34. Is a double horizontal line placed below table column Yes No NA headings? (III.A.16.e) Discrepancy ID # ______ 35. Are table columns divided by vertical lines? Yes No NA (III.A.16.f) Discrepancy ID # ______ 36. Are dashed horizontal lines or blank spaces used within Yes No NA tables to group (or divide) entries as appropriate? (III.A.16.g)

Discrepancy ID # _____

FOR INFORMATION Proc. 1.3

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 24 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 17 of 41

EOP

B2. Step Numbering

 Are sequential arabic numerals used to number steps for Yes No NA those operator actions that must be executed sequentially and that are presented within the confines of one flowchart element? (III.B)

Discrepancy ID #

2. Are step numbers absent from flowchart elements, except Yes No NA as identified in checklist item B2.1 above? (III.B)

Discrepancy ID # _____

à.



Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 25 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 18 of 41

EOP

B3. Listed Items

1.	Are multiple items for which there is no unconditional pre-designated preference or priority arranged in a list format, with each entry in the list prefaced by a bullet (o)? (III.C)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Are bullets which precede listed items indented two spaces to the right of the left margin of the immediately preceding text? (III.C.)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 26 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 19 of 41

EOP

B4. Use of Emphasis Techniques

1.	For the entry conditions element, are the words "ENTRY CONDITIONS" and the column headings printed in boldfaced and uppercase print? (III.A.1, III.D.1.b, III.D.2.b)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	For the entry point element, is the word "START" printed in boldfaced and uppercase print? (III.A.1, III.D.1.c, III.D.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Are figure axis labels (except for identified engineering units) printed in uppercase print? (III.A.15.c, III.D.2.f)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Are boldfaced letters used within flowchart elements of a slightly larger print size than that normally used for standard text? (III.D.1)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Are as-labeled component/instrument designators, control switch positions, and annunciator engravings printed with uppercase letters enclosed in quotation marks? (III.D.2.j, III.D.5)	Yes	No	NA

Discrepancy ID # ____

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 27 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attachment A Page 20 of 41		
		EOP	_	
B5	. Procedure Layout and Associated Conventions			
1.	Is the EOP devoid of page breaks? (III.E.3)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-		
2.	Does a border surround the page? (III.E.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Is the page border of medium line thickness and a least 1/2 inch from the page edges? (III.E.4)	t Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_		
4.	Where multiple EOPs exist on a single page is the a bordered area surrounding each EOP? (III.E.5)	re Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-		
5.	Is a title block connected to the lower right han corner of each bordered area surrounding each EOP (III.E.5)	d Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Are lines ending in arrows used to connect all flowchart elements? (III.E.7)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Is the general progression through the EOP down a to the right? (III.E.7)	nd Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_		
8.	<pre>Is at least a 1/2 inch spacing maintained between page border and: (a) The EOP title and (b) flowchart elements (including connecting lin and extension lines emanating from override statements)? (III.E.8)</pre>	the Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-		
	FOR INFORMLY	roc. 1.3.4-13 age 28 of 49 TYPE H7.01	Rev	. 2

	Atta Page	hment A 21 of 41		
	EOP			
85	. Procedure Layout and Associated Conventions (co	ntinued)		
9.	Is a spacing of at least 3/8 inch maintained between flowchart elements? (III.E.9)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
0.	Is a spacing of at least 3/8 inch maintained between parallel lines connecting flowchart elements, and between extension lines emanating from override statements (except for dotted intraprocedure branch lines and dashed lines connecting supplemental information)? (III.E.10)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
۱.	Has the cross-over of lines connecting flowchart elements been minimized? (III.E.11)	Yes	No	NA

Discrepancy ID # ____

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 29 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 22 of 41

EOP

B6. Instructions for Printing, Copying, and Storage

1.	Is text within flowchart elements single-spaced, with one-and-a-half line spacing maintained between listed items and between multiple instructions or statements enclosed within one flowchart element? (III.F.1)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Is text within instructional steps, exit statements, and endpoints left-aligned? (III.F.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Is text within the individual columns of entry conditions, override statements, and elements with decision table formats left-aligned? (III.F.3)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Is text within hold points and decision diamonds centered? (III.F.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Is type size no smaller than 9-point? (III.F.5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Has a type style been used that is simple, easy-to- read, and devoid of serifs and other character embellishments? (III.F.6)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Are all portions of the copy of the EOP fully legible? (II.F.7)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
	FOR INFORMATI	NN.		
	UNL Proc. Page RTYPE	30 of 49 H7.01	Rev	. 2

Attachment A Page 23 of 41

EOP

SECTION C: PROCEDURE CONTENT

Cl. Level of Detail

1.	Is the level of detail presented in the EOP consistent with the knowledge and capabilities of a newly-licensed reactor operator (i.e., has the relative complexity of and an operator's familiarity with the evolutions to be performed been appropriately considered)? (IV.A.1)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Has excessive detail been avoided? (IV.A.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Have expected results of routine actions <u>not</u> been stated? (IV.A.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Have system response times been specified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Have equipment limitations been identified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Have instrument inaccuracies been identified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Has alternate or backup instrumentation been identified where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
	FOR INFORMATION ONLY Proc.	1.3.4-13 31 of 49 H7.01	Rev	. 2

A	t	t	a	C	h	m	e	n	t	A	
P	a	a	é		2	4		0	f	41	

CI	. Level of Detail (continued)	P		
8.	Have manual override instructions been incorporated where appropriate? (IV.A.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
э.	Have methods of verifying correct plant response be specified where appropriate? (IV.A.3)	en Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
10.	Are instructions succinct and precise with only sho simple sentences used? (IV.A.5)	rt, Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
11.	Where required, has verification of automatic plant response been included as an instruction? (IV.A.5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			



Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 32 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 25 of 41

EOP _____

C2. Writing Style

1.	Is the wording, grammar, and sentence structure within all flowchart elements easily readable and interpretable? (IV.B.1)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Are instructions written in the second person imperative mood with an implicit subject? (IV.B.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Does each instructional step only address one idea? (IV.B.3)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Are the objects of actions specifically stated (i.e., is it obvious exactly what is to be done to what?). (IV.B.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Have multiple objects (3 or more) been listed individually and separately from the preceding text? (IV.B.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Where actions must be performed concurrently, are they specifically identified as such? (IV.B.5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Are limits expressed quantitatively? (IV.B.6)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
8.	Has the need for arithmetical calculations been avoided where possible? (IV.B.7)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID # FOR INFORMATION Pro	c. 1.3.4-13 e 33 of 49 PE H7.01	Rev	. 2

		Attachme Page 26	of 41		
C3	. Cautions and Notes	EOP	-		
۱.	Have cautions only been used to identify potentia hazards to personnel or equipment? (IV.C)	1	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
2.	Have notes only been used to provide supplementar information related to performance of a particula action? (IV.C)	y ir	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
3.	Has the use of cautions and notes been minimized? (IV.C)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
4.	Do notes and cautions <u>not</u> contain instructional steps? (IV.C)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				

		124450	101
-00	INFOR	MAIN	111
FUK	1141 011		Proc
1.00	11815	V	Page
	()14:		RTYP

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 34 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

			Attachment Page 27 of EOP	A 41		
C4.		Branching Instructions and Cross References				
1.	Are a	all branching instructions clear and specific ., not ambiguous)? (IV.D)		Yes	No	NA
	Disci	repancy ID #				
2.	Are a sente	all branching instructions presented as compl ences? (IV.D)	ete	Yes	No	NA
	Disci	repancy ID #				
3.	Where instr forma	e branching is required, does each branching ruction correctly employ one of the following ats:				
	-	Exit this procedure and enter [Procedure X], "[Procedure Title]".		Yes	No	NA
	÷	Exit the [Parameter] section of this procedu and enter [Procedure X], "[Procedure Title]"	re	Yes	No	NA
	-	Exit this procedure and enter the [Parameter section of [EOP -[X] , "[EOP Title]".	1	Yes	No	NA
	-	Exit this procedure and enter the [Parameter section and the [Parameter] section of EOP-["[EOP Title]"; execute these sections of EOP concurrently.] X], -[X]	Yes	No	NA
	-	Enter [Procedure X], "[Procedure Title]", an execute [Procedure X] concurrently with this procedure.	d	Yes	No	NA
	-	Continue at [Y].		Yes	No	NA
1	-	Return to [Y]. (IV.D)		Yes	No	NA
	Disci	repancy ID #	2003			
4.	Where EOP enclo spect	e an entry to a particular EOP section from a is indicated, has a rectangular arrow been us ose the correct number of the other EOP that ifies this entry? (IV.D)	nother ed to	Yes	No	NA
	Disci	repancy ID #	<u> </u>			
5.	Where a rec entry ident	e entry to a particular EOP section is direct ctangular arrow been used to indicate the app y point location in the identified EOP (inclu tification that entry is from this procedure)	ed, has ropriate ding ? (IV.D)	Yes	No	NA
	Discr	repancy ID #				
		FOR INFORMATION	roc. 1.3.4 age 35 of TYPE H7.01	-13 49	Rev.	2

Attachment A Page 28 of 41

	EOP		e 5.	
C4	. Branching Instructions and Cross References (contin	nued)		
6.	Does each intra-procedure branch correctly employ a unique letter designator format (i.e., capitalized, circled, and each pair connected by a dotted line)? (IV.D)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Has forward and backward branching within the EOP been minimized? (IV.D.)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
8.	Have cross-references been minimized? (IV.D)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
9.	For cross-references to supplemental procedures, has the referenced procedure been identified by both number and title, with the title enclosed in quotation marks? (IV.D)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
10.	Where figures and tables are referenced in the EOP, are they identified by correct number? (Identification of the title is not required.) (III.A.15, III.A.16, IV.	Yes D)	No	NA

Discrepancy ID # __

FOR INFORMATION Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 36 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attachmen Page 29 o	t A f 41		
		EOP			
C5	. Component Identification				
۱.	Are components clearly and completely identified? (IV.E)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
2.	Where a specific control switch or instrument is referenced, are as-labeled designations printed uppercase letters and enclosed in quotation mark (IV.E.1)	in s?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-			
3.	Have system titles been capitalized? (The word "system" is not required.) (IV.E.2)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	4			
4.	For infrequently used components, have locations been specified? (IV.E.3)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				

FOR INFORMATION

ONLY

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 37 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 30 of 41

EOP

C6. Spelling, Grammar, and Punctuation

1.	Is spelling, grammar, and punctuation consistent with standard rules and modern usage? (IV.F)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Has excessive use of commas, definite articles, pronouns, and adverbs been minimized to the extent possible? (IV.F.1, IV.F.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Are elements with columnar formats (e.g., override statements) devoid of commas? (IV.F.1)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Have personal pronouns not been used? (IV.F.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 38 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 31 of 41

EOP

C7. Nomenclature, Vocabulary, and Abbreviations

1.	Have simple, common words with specific, precise meanings been used? Have ambiguous terms been avoided? (IV.G.1, IV.G.2)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Are logic terms used consistent with the definitions provided in Table Al? (IV-G.3)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Has terminology been consistent with the definitions provided in Table A2? (IV.G.4)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Has the use of abbreviations and acronyms been minimized? Have only those immediately recognizable from Table A3 been used? (IV.G.5)	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 39 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 32 of 41 EOP

Table Al: Application of Logic Terms

-	Logic Term	Definition
	AND	Designates a combination of two or more conditions. Identifies the second and subsequent elements of a set conditions.
	BEFORE	Indicates that the respective action is to be performed prior to the occurrence of a specified condition. Does not imply or require any specific margin be observed when a step states that action be taken "before" reach- ing a limit or value.
	EXCEPT	Specifies an exception to or exclusion from taking a prescribed action.
	IF	Indicates that performance of the associated action is contingent upon the existence of the identified condition(s). If the identified conditions do not exist, the prescribed action is not to be taken and execution of operator actions proceeds to the following step.
	OR	Designates alternative combinations of conditions. Indicates that the associated action is to be performed if any one of the specified conditions occur. (Always used in the inclusive sense.)
	THEN	Designates the action portion of an instruction.
	WAIT UNTIL	Indicates that execution of subsequent operator actions is not permitted until the identified condition exists.
	WHEN	Indicates that upon occurrence of existence of the identified condition(s), execution of the procedure should immediately proceed to the next identified flow chart element.
	UNTIL	Indicates that the associated action is to be terminated when the specified condition occurs.

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 40 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 33 of 41 EOP

Table A2: Standard Nomenclature and Definitions

Avaiiable:

The state or condition of being ready and able to be used (placed into operation) to accomplish the stated (or implied) action or function.

Cannot be determined:

The value or status of the specified parameter relative to the procedure action level cannot be ascertained using available indications.

Cannot be maintained:

The value of the specified paramaeter cannot be kept above or below (as applicable) the identified limit. Implies an evaluation based on system performance and availability considered in relation to parameter values and trends. Neither implies that the parameter must actually exceed the limit before the action is taken nor that the action must be taken before the limit is reached.

Cannot be restored:

The value of the specified parameter cannot be returned to within the specified limit. Implies an evaluation based on system performance and availability considered in relation to parameter values and trends. Does not imply any specific time limit, but does not permit prolonged operation beyond the limit.

Close:

To position a valve or damper so as to prevent flow of the process fluid.

Confirm:

Use available indications and, as appropriate, physical observation to establish that the specified action has occurred, conditions are as stated, etc. Includes an implied requirement to take corrective action if the identified conditions do not exist.

Control:

Take action, as necessary, to maintain the value of the specified parameter within applicable limits.

Enter:

Commence performing, in sequence, the steps of the identified procedure.

Execute:

Perform the actions prescribed in the identified step. FOR INFORMATION ONLY

> Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 41 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 34 of 41 EOP

Table A2: Standard Nomenclature and Definitions (continued)

Exit: Cease performing the steps of the identified procedure.
Initiate: Operate the necessary controls so as to establish the specified system configuration or plant condition. Prolonged attempts to jumper interlocks, align alternate or backup power supplies, enter remote areas to manually operate valves, etc., are not intended by this term.
Line up: Establish the prerequisites necessary for system operation. Does not encompass starting main system pumps.
Maintain: Take action, as necessary, to keep the value of the specified parameter within the applicable limits.
Monitor: Observe and evaluate at a frequency sufficient to remain apprised of the value, trend, and rate of change of the specified parameter.
Spen: To position a valve or damper so as to allow flow of the process fluid.
Place: To align a switch to a specified position.
Prevent: Take action to forestall or avert the state, condition, or action addressed by the step.
Purge: Force flow through an enclosed volume. Includes establishing both an influent and effluent flowpath.
Restore: Take action, as necessary, to return the value of the specified parameter to within applicable limits.
Set: To position a control to a specified scale value.
Shut: To position a breaker so as to permit the flow of current in the associated circuit.
Slowly: Only as fast as can be accommodated and still maintain effective control of the associated parameter(s) within specified values or limits.
FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 42 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 35 of 41 EOP

int.

Table A2: Standard Nomenclature and Definitions (continued)

Start:

To energize a pump or fan motor.

Terminate:

Stop the stated action, process or evolution. The most direct action to stop the stated action/process/evolution is preferred, but many actions may be required.

Throttle:

To position a valve or damper so as to partially restrict flow of the process fluid.

Trip:

To deenergize a pump or fan motor; to position a breaker so as to interrupt or prevent the flow of current in the associated circuit.

Vent:

Open an effluent (exhaust) flowpath from an enclosed volume.

FOR INFORMATION

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 43 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 36 of 41

EOP

Table A3: Standard Acronyms and Abbreviations

Abbreviation		Meaning
ADS	Automatic Depr	essurization System
APRM	Average Power	Range Monitor
ARI	Alternate Rod	Insertion
BIIT	Boron Injectio	n Initiation Temperature
CAC	Containment At	mospheric Control
CAD	Containment At	mospheric Dilution
CPS	Counts Per Sec	ond
CRD	Control Rod Dr	ive
CS	Core Spray	
CST	Condensate Sto	rage Tank
Demin	Demineralizer	
DSIL	Drywell Spray	Initiation Limit
DW	Drywell	
ECCS	Emergency Core	Cooling Systems
Elev	Elevation	
۴F	Degrees Fahren	heit
ft	Feet	
FW	Feedwater	
GPM	Gallons Per Mi	nute
H&V	Heating and Ve	ntilation
HCLL	Heat Capaacity	Level Limit
HCTL	Heat Capacity	Temperature Limit
HCU	Hydraulic Cont	rol Unit
	10ITAN	1
TOD INFO	RNAIN	
FOR IND	VLY	Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 44 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 37 of 41

EOP

Abbrev	ation Meaning
HPCI	High Pressure Coolant Injection
hr	Hour
нх	Heat Exchanger
in.	Inch
lbs	Pounds
LCO	Limiting Condition for Operation
LI	Level Indicator
LOCA	Loss of Coolant Accident
LPCI	Low Pressure Coolant Injection
LR	Level Recorder
MARFP	Minimum Alternate RPV Flooding Pressure
MCFI	Minimum Core Flooding Interval
MCUTL	Maximum Core Uncovery Time Limit
min	Minimum
MPCWLL	Maximum Primary Containment Water Level Limit
mR	Milliroentgen/Milliren (as appropriate to the context and the units of associated instrumentation.
MSIV	Main Steam Isolation Valve
N/A	Not Applicable
NPSH	Net Positive Suction Head
N.W.	North West
PCPL PS19	Primary Containment Pressure Limit INFORM Pounds per square inch (gauge)

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 45 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 38 of 41

EOP

PSPPressure Suppression PressureRBReactor BuildingRBCCNReactor Building Closed Cooling WaterRCICReactor Core Isolation CoolingRHRResidual Heat RemovalRPMRevolutions Per MinuteRPVReactor Pressure VesselRWCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")''Feet (units of elevation)''Inches (units of elevation)''Percent	Abbreviation	Meaning
RBReactor BuildingRBCCHReactor Building Closed Cooling WaterRCICReactor Core Isolation CoolingRHRResidual Heat RemovalRPMRevolutions Per MinuteRPVReactor Pressure VesselRMCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.H.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)''Inches (units of elevation)''Percent	PSP	Pressure Suppression Pressure
RBCCHReactor Building Closed Cooling WaterRCICReactor Core Isolation CoolingRHRResidual Heat RemovalRPMRevolutions Per MinuteRPVReactor Pressure VesselRHCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")''Feet (units of elevation)''Inches (units of elevation)''Percent	RB	Reactor Building
RCICReactor Core Isolation CoolingRHRResidual Heat RemovalRPMRevolutions Per MinuteRPVReactor Pressure VesselRWCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)%Percent	RBCCW	Reactor Building Closed Cooling Water
RHRResidual Heat RemovalRPMRevolutions Per MinuteRPVReactor Pressure VesselRWCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")"Inches (units of elevation)"Percent	RCIC	Reactor Core Isolation Cooling
RPMRevolutions Per MinuteRPVReactor Pressure VesselRWCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVSafety Relief ValveSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)"Percent	RHR	Residual Heat Removal
RPVReactor Pressure VesselRWCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.H.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)%Percent	RPM	Revolutions Per Minute
RWCUReactor Water CleanupSBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTBCCWTurbine Building Closed Cooling WaterTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")"Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)%Percent	RPV	Reactor Pressure Vessel
SBGTStandby Gas TreatmentSBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTBCCWTurbine Building Closed Cooling WaterTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)%Percent	RWCU	Reactor Water Cleanup
SBLCStandby Liquid ControlS.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTBCCWTurbine Building Closed Cooling WaterTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)%Percent	SBGT	Standby Gas Treatment
S.E.South EastSRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTBCCWTurbine Building Closed Cooling WaterTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)"Percent	SBLC	Standby Liquid Control
SRVSafety Relief ValveSRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTBCCWTurbine Building Closed Cooling WaterTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)%Percent	S.E.	South East
SRVTPLLSRV Tail Pipe Level LimitSSWSalt Service WaterS.W.South WestTAFTop of the active fuelTBCCWTurbine Building Closed Cooling WaterTIPTraversing In-Core Probe&Ampersand ("AND")'Feet (units of elevation)"Inches (units of elevation)%Percent	SRV	Safety Relief Valve
SSW Salt Service Water S.W. South West TAF Top of the active fuel TBCCW Turbine Building Closed Cooling Water TIP Traversing In-Core Probe & Ampersand ("AND") ' Feet (units of elevation) " Inches (units of elevation) % Percent	SRVTPLL	SRV Tail Pipe Level Limit
S.W. South West TAF Top of the active fuel TBCCW Turbine Building Closed Cooling Water TIP Traversing In-Core Probe & Ampersand ("AND") ' Feet (units of elevation) " Inches (units of elevation) % Percent	SSW	Salt Service Water
<pre>TAF Top of the active fuel TBCCW Turbine Building Closed Cooling Water TIP Traversing In-Core Probe & Ampersand ("AND") ' Feet (units of elevation) '' Inches (units of elevation) % Percent</pre>	S.W.	South West
TBCCW Turbine Building Closed Cooling Water TIP Traversing In-Core Probe & Ampersand ("AND") ' Feet (units of elevation) " Inches (units of elevation) % Percent	TAF	Top of the active fuel
TIP Traversing In-Core Probe & Ampersand ("AND") ' Feet (units of elevation) " Inches (units of elevation) % Percent	TBCCW	Turbine Building Closed Cooling Water
<pre>& Ampersand ("AND") ' Feet (units of elevation) " Inches (units of elevation) % Percent</pre>	TIP	Traversing In-Core Probe
 Feet (units of elevation) "Inches (units of elevation) % Percent 	&	Ampersand ("AND")
" Inches (units of elevation) % Percent		Feet (units of elevation)
% Percent	н	Inches (units of elevation)
	z	Percent
		Proc. 1.3.4-13 Pov 2

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 46 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

		Attach Page 3	ment A 9 of 41		
C 0	Numerical Values	EOP			
Co	. <u>Numerical values</u>				
1.	Have limits and values of operating parameters be expressed quantitatively? (IV.H)	een	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
2.	Have only Arabic numerals been used? (IV.H.1)		Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	-			
3.	Do parameter values include the units of measured (IV.H.2)	ment?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	<u></u>			
4.	Have parameter values been expressed to a precis consistent with the intent of the action(s) spec in the step? (IV.H.3)	ion ified	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
5.	Are acceptance values expressed in terms of a ran rather than a tolerance band to obviate the need for mental arithmetic (e.g., 20 in. to 30 in rather than 25 in. ± 5 in.)? (IV.H.4)	nge n.,	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #	_			
6.	Has a slash mark (/) been used in place of the wo "per" (e.g., mR/hr)? (IV.H.5)	ord	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
7.	Are numbers between zero and one expressed in def form with a zero preceding the decimal point (e.g., 0.12)? (IV.H.6)	cimal	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #				
	Tan	NU			
	FOR INFORMAN				
	Oldry				
		Proc. 1 Page 47	.3.4-13 of 49	Rev	. 2

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 47 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 40 of 41

	-		
FO	0		
LU	£	-	 -

SECTION D: CONFORMITY WITH PLANT-SPECIFIC TECHNICAL GUIDELINES

۱.	Does the wording of each flowchart element comply with the content and intent of the corresponding step of the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
2.	Have all steps of the corresponding technical guidelines been incorporated into the EOP?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
3.	Are cautions referenced at the points specified in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
4.	Are all instructions and cautions in the EOP derived from corresponding technical guideline steps and cautions?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
5.	Do all numerical values in the EOP correspond to those specified in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
6.	Do the EOP entry conditions correspond to those specified in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
7.	Does the sequence of operator actions and decisions correspond to that presented in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
8.	Does the association of override statements correspond to that defined in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID #			
9.	Are cross-references consistent with those identified in the technical guidelines?	Yes	No	NA
	Discrepancy ID # FOR INFORMATION			
	Proc. 1.2	1.12	Pour	2

Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 48 of 49 RTYPE H7.01

Attachment A Page 41 of 41 EOP SECTION E: COMPATIBILITY WITH THE CONTROL ROOM 1. Are as-labeled designations used to identify specific Yes No NA components, alarms, controls, and instruments? Discrepancy ID # 2. Are component locations specified when appropriate Yes No NA (e.g., the least-experienced intended user might be unfamiliar with the location, or when failure to do so may cause confusion)? Discrepancy ID # 3. Is the determination of identified status (value, Yes No NA trend, position, etc.) of plant parameters as specified in the EOP adequately supported by plant instruments, approved instructions, or other appropriate sources of information? Discrepancy ID # 4. Are the values of plant parameters specified in the Yes No NA EOP within the range of the respective control room instruments? Discrepancy ID # 5. Are the units of measurement for values of plant Yes No NA parameters as specified in the EOP the same as those presented on the respective control room instruments? Discrepancy ID # ____ 6. Are the values of parameters specified in the EOP Yes No NA expressed to a precision consistent with the accuracy and precision of the respective instrumentation? FOR INFORMATION Discrepancy ID # ____

> Proc. 1.3.4-13 Rev. 2 Page 49 of 49 RTYPE H7.01