

٩.,

14

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station P.O. Box 88 Jenkinsville, SC 29065 (803) 45-5209 (803) 634-2011

10CFR50.36

July 14, 1988

Document Control Desk U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Attention: Mr. John J. Hayes

Subject: Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station Docket No. 50/395 Operating License No. NPF-12 Diesel Generator Testing "No Significant Hazards Determination".

Dear Mr. Hayes:

Find attached the "No Significant Hazards Determination" for the proposed Technical Specification changes to the Diesel Generator Testing as requested via telephone July 12, 1988.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Very truly yours,

14 O. S. Bradham

Vice President, Nuclear Operations

RJB/OSB:bgh

Attachments

c: Page 2

Document Control Desk July 14, 1988 Page 2

¥.,

· 7.90

3

D. A. Nauman//O. W. Dixon, Jr./T. C. Nichols, Jr./J. G. Connelly, Jr. C: E. C. Roberts D. R. Moore W. A. Williams, Jr. J. N. Grace J. J. Hayes, Jr. General Managers C. A. Price R. B. Clary W R. Higgins R. M. Campbell, Jr. K. E. Nodland J. C. Snelson G. O. Percival R. L. Prevatte J. B. Knotts, Jr. H. G. Shealy NSRC RTS

2.00

41.

NPCF File

1

ATTACHMENT

٠.

0.15

NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS DETERMINATION

Based on the following evaluation, SCE&G has determined the proposed change does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

1) Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated? NO

The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated because the only change is a reduction in frequency and severity of diesel generator test starts which will result in less wear and stress on engine parts. This will decrease the probability of an accident due to failure of engine parts, and the consequences of an accident will not change.

2) Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated? NO

The proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated because the design and function of the diesel generator will not change.

3) Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety? NO

The proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety because there will be no change in response times or emergency loads assumed in the accident analysis.