Docket No.: 50-245

Mr. Edward J. Mroczka Senior Vice President Nuclear Engineering and Operations Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, CT 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Mroczka:

DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC & Local PDRs PDI-4 Files SVarga BBoger SNorris MBoyle LMarsh OGC-WF EJordan JPartlow ACRS (10)

Re: Snubber Visual Inspection Interval - Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1

By letter dated March 14, 1988 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO) reported that, during a November 16, 1987 drywell entry, it was noticed that one of the hydraulic snubbers was leaking fluid and its reservoir level was low (this event was also reported in Licensee Event Report 87-043-00 on December 16, 1987). As a result of this finding, NNECO stated that a visual inspection of all safetyrelated snubbers in containment was performed to ensure that this condition did not exist on any of the remaining snubbers. The results of this inspection indicated that a total of two snubbers were found to be low in hydraulic fluid and both failed operability tests and were declared inoperable. The two snubbers were subsequently disassembled and were found to have failed as the result of improper installation of seals.

NNECO, in its March 14, 1988 letter, stated that a reduced inspection interval, based upon Technical Specification acceptance criteria, is not necessary because:

- The failure was identified as improper installation, not as the result of degradation or aging.
- All inaccessible safety-related hydraulic snubbers were inspected during the November 1987 shutdown.
- Corrective actions were taken. Mechanical repairs were completed and maintenance personnel training has been revised.

Thus, NNECO stated that the Technical Specifications do not require a reduced visual inspection interval for snubbers.

The staff has reviewed the information provided in your March 14, 1988 letter and Technical Specification Section 3/4.6 and the associated bases and conclude that the currently required inspection interval of 18 months  $\pm$  25% is the proper interval. The circumstances resulting in declaring the two snubbers inoperable do not meet the Technical Specification criteria for reductions to the visual inspection interval.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Michael L. Boyle, Project Manager Project Directorate I-4 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

cc: See next page

reviewed by HShaw, METB

LA: PDI-4 SNOTTS 3/30/88 PM:PDI-4 MBoyle MLB \$/4/88 D:PDI-4 JStolz 4 3/6/88 Mr. Edward J. Mroczka Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

## : 22

Gerald Garfield, Esquire Pay, Berry and Howard Counselors at Law City Place Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499

W. D. Romberg, Vice President Nuclear Operations Northeast Utilities Service Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Kevin McCarthy, Director Radiation Control Unit Department of Environmental Protection State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Bradford S. Chase, Under Secretary Energy Division Office of Policy and Management 80 Washington Street Hartford, Connecticut CE106

S. E. Scace, Station Superintendent Millstone Nuclear Power Station Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385

J. P. Stetz, Unit Superintendent Millstone Unit No. 1 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385 Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1

R. M. Kacich, Manager Generation Facilities Licensing Northeast Utilities Service Company Post Office Box 2.0 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

D. O. Nordquist Manager of Quality Assurance Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Regional Administrator Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

First Selectmen Town of Waterford Hall of Records 200 Boston Post Road Waterford, Connecticut 06385

W. J. Raymond, Resident Inspector Millstone Nuclear Power Station c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 811 Niantic, Connecticut 06357