Docket No. STN 50-605

Ricardo Artigas, Manager Licensing & Consulting Services GE Nuclear Energy, Mail Code 682 General Electric Company 175 Curtner Avenue San Jose, California 95125

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File LRubenstein NRC PDR PDSNP Reading EHviton

JPart low DScaletti

EJordan

EShomaker, OGC-Rockville

Dear Mr. Artigas:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR WITHHOLDING INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

By letter dated September 29, 1987, and affidavit dated September 22, 1987, you submitted your application for certification of the Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR) Design. As part of that application, in Chapter 4 of the SSAR, you submitted original information on the ABWR fuel design and requested that it be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790.

We have reviewed your application and the material based on the requirements and criteria of 10 CFR 2.790 and, on the basis of your statements, have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains trade secrets or proprietary commercial information. Therefore, the information marked as proprietary in Chapter 4 of the SSAR will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the NRC. You should also understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, such as, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Dino C. Scaletti, Project Manager Standardization and Non-Power Reactor Project Directorate

Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV,

V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

LRubenstein

OGC-Rockville EShomaker 03/14/88

PDSNP DScaletti:cw 03/ //88



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 29, 1988

Docket No. STN 50-605

Ricardo Artigas, Manager Licensing & Consulting Services GE Nuclear Energy, Mail Code 682 General Electric Company 175 Curtner Avenue San Jose, California 95125

Dear Mr. Artigas:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR WITHHOLDING INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

By letter dated September 29, 1987, and affidavit dated September 22, 1987, you submitted your application for certification of the Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR) Design. As part of that application, in Chapter 4 of the SSAR, you submitted original information on the ABWR fuel design and requested that it be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790.

We have reviewed your application and the material based on the requirements and criteria of 10 CFR 2.790 and, on the basis of your statements, have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains trade secrets or proprietary commercial information. Therefore, the information marked as proprietary in Chapter 4 of the SSAR will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the NRC. You should also understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, such as, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

Sincerely,

Dino C. Scaletti, Project Manager
Standardization and Non-Power
Reactor Project Directorate
Division of Reactor Projects - III, IV,
V and Special Projects
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation