

'87 FEB 27 P4:27

198P. Mr. Zech
 Ridge, N.Y. 11964
 February 20, 1987
 (Joseph Carpenter)

Mr. Lando W. Zech
 Chairman, Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission
 Washington, D.C., 20555.

Dear Mr. Zech:

I have recently read in Newsday
 that your Agency must solve the
 "problem" of whether to license nuclear
 power state and local governments do
 not cooperate in evacuation planning.

Just so you and your Commission
 understand my commitment on this matter,
 I am enclosing a copy of my statement
 made on September 25, 1986, before your
 Licensing Board (NRC) at Bethesda, N.Y.

This statement, a copy of which was
 given to your board, is self-explanatory
 regarding my opposition to the NRC issuing
 a full license to 12400 to operate
 Shoreham under full power.

I understand that you and your

DSIO

2
of your Commissioners are proposing
rule changes which would make it
easier for the N.R.C. to issue licenses
for nuclear power plants, when local
and State governments do not cooperate.

You are quoted as saying, "The problem
facing us, and facing others, is what
do we do about it?"

You believe that if there were a
serious nuclear accident, State and local govern-
ments would cooperate in evacuating the area,
because they have a duty to protect
public health and safety.

Mr. Zach you and your fellow
Commissioners also have the duty to
protect the public health and safety of
the residents.

The HTRCO. (HTRC) evacuation
plan for the people by the 1,900 HTRC
employees, spread out throughout Long
Island, is a fraud and an impossible
feat.

In February 1984, HTRCO conducted

a hypothetical test of their C.E.C.
paper plan.

No public participation was allowed
or included in the test. Since that
date no other test was conducted
to include the public.

On the basis of this paper test,
you and the N.R.C. are considering
a license for Shouham.

You are also proposing N.R.C. rule
changes to override State and local
governments and violate constitutionally
protected states rights. This would
likely present a safety threat.

Mr. Zech, you and your Commission
in circumventing the rule change and
also trying to reduce the 10 mile
exclusion zone, are disregarding the
most important issue in this matter and
that is "the people".

The N.R.C. (N.E.C.) exclusion is
unworkable as I pointed out in my second
letter of September 5, 1976.

Further, how if you or your Commission believe that volunteer HIAC employees are going to respond (as traffic guides) to an "Zero" area or any other highly radiation area on L.I. you are living in "O'Sheyland".

Regardless of whether or not Suffolk County fire police participated in the plan, nice people could not be safely evacuated.

The geography of Long Island don't allow that to happen.

That is the real question here. Not the rule changes, but eliminating the 10 mile evacuation zone.

You and your Commission should learn the lesson from the Chernobyl disaster.

I watched the film Nuclear War from Chernobyl last week. He also had Dr. Koon, Calif. USA, with him. They evacuated an 18 mile area. You know better than I what N. K. K's predictions were for the health of Soviets in the last 10 years.

5
If this or a similar accident were
to happen at Shoukang, over 5,200
people in this area, would be exposed.
This would also happen to other
thousands of men, women and children in
this surrounding area.

This would happen even with
the HIA (HIA) employee plan or Suffolk
County Police.

The HIA employees would not
enter their area. Most of them would
respond to their own homes to reunite
their own families.

I would not blame them for
doing that. I would do the same thing.
As I am sure you would also do.

The principal subject is that the
HIA (HIA) plan or any other, cannot
protect one's health, safety or well-being
if a radiation accident occurs at Shoukang.

This should be the principal concern
of you and your Commission. The people's
safety comes first, not the licensing of Shoukang
U.S. of the HIA (HIA) plan. Sincerely, Joseph Carpenter

(CONT. 2)

TO PICK UP THE INFIRM AND PEOPLE WITHOUT CARS. HERE WE HAVE 5,200 PEOPLE WITH 2,000 CARS TRYING TO GET OUT OF THE COMPLEXED AREA ONTO WHISKEY ROAD, WHICH WOULD BE JAMMED WITH PEOPLE AND VEHICLES, BUT THE LERO PLAN HAS THESE TWO BUSES CALMLY DRIVEN BY TWO MEN WHO ARE UNFAMILAR WITH THE COMPLEXED AREA, TRYING TO ENTER THE AREA AGAINST THE FLEEING CROWD OF RESIDENTS.

THIS PLAN IS AN IMPOSSIBLE FEAT AND TRULY UNREALISTIC TO ACCOMPLISH.

ALL OF THESE FLAWS AND OTHER PROBLEMS WITH THE "LERO" PLAN, I HAVE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION DURING THE PAST 2 YEARS TO MR. SPECK AND THE OTHER OFFICIALS WHICH I HAVE PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED IN THIS STATEMENT.

BY PRESIDENTIAL DIRECTIVE, F.E.M.A., HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED THE LEAD RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE REVIEW OF THE ADEQUACY OF OFF-SITE EMERGENCY PLANS AND THEIR CAPABILITY OF IMPLEMENTATION FOR NUCLEAR PLANTS,

F.E.M.A.'S DUTY IS TO BE ABLE TO GIVE ASSURANCE THAT THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, IN THE VICINITY OF A NUCLEAR POWER PLANT CAN BE PROTECTED.

HOWEVER, MR. SPECK, IN HIS CORRESPONDENCE ADMITS THAT NEITHER THE INSPECTORS OF F.E.M.A. N.R.C., OR LILCO., EVER MADE AN ONSITE INSPECTION OF OUR AREA, WHERE 5,200 SENIOR CITIZENS LIVE, 3 MILES FROM THE SHOREHAM PLANT.

MR. SPECK MENTIONS THAT PLANNERS HAVE DEVELOPED STATISTICAL COMPUTER MODELS TO PREDICT TRAFFIC FLOW, UNDER CERTAIN EVACUATION CONDITIONS, WHICH WOULD APPLY TO OUR AREA.

FEMA, WAS DERELICT IN THEIR DUTY IN PASSING THE LERO PLAN TO THE NRC. THEY ABDICATED THE DUTY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO US 5,200 SENIOR CITIZENS, TO PROTECT OUR HEALTH, WELFARE AND LIFE, IN THE EVENT OF A NUCLEAR ACCIDENT AT THE SHOREHAM PLANT.

THEY DIDNOT INSPECT OUR AREA AS THEY KNEW IT WOULD BE AN IMPOSSIBLE FEAT TO SAFELY EVACUATE US 5,200 SENIOR CITIZENS.

MR. SPECK MENTIONED THAT THE PUBLIC GENERALLY WOULD FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS IN AN EVACUATION

I REMINDED MR. SPECK THAT DURING THE 3 MILE ISLAND INCIDENT IF PRECAUTIONARY ALERTS HAD BEEN FOLLOWED, ONLY 2,500 PEOPLE SHOULD HAVE LEFT THE ZONE AREA. INSTEAD, OVER 124,000 PEOPLE OR 39% OF THE POPULATION IN THE ZONE LEFT THE AREA.

SCIENTIFIC STUDIES ARE NOT ALWAYS RELIABLE WHEN DEALING WITH HUMAN BEHAVIOR IN A DISASTER SITUATION.

IF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE AND OTHER COUNTY TRAINED PERSONEL CAN NOT EVACUATE US

PEOPLE, HOW IN THE HEAVENS NAME DO YOU EXPECT LILCO'S CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES TO ACCOMPLISH IMPOSSIBLE TASK.

Joseph Craythor - 19 FA NEWCASTLE ST. LONDON E.C. 4. 11961

STATEMENT BY JOSEPH CARPENTER BEFORE THE NRC LICENSING BOARD , AT RIVERHEAD TOWN HALL
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1986.

GOOD MORNING LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, AND MEMBERS OF THE NRC LICENSING BOARD.

MY NAME IS JOSEPH CARPENTER, I AM A SENIOR CITIZEN AND RESIDE AT 198A NEWCASTLE CT.,
LEISURE VILLAGE, RIDGE, LONG ISLAND, N.Y. 11961.

I AM AGAINST THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, GIVING A LICENSE TO LILCO., FOR THEM
TO OPEN AND OPERATE THE SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, AT FULL POWER.

IN THIS MATTER, FOR THE PAST 2½ YEARS, I HAVE HAD CORRESPONDENCE BACK AND FORTH WITH
THE FOLLOWING OFFICIALS:

FORMER NRC CHAIRMAN, W. PALLADINO, JAMES VAUGHAN JR. ACT. ASST. SECRETARY FOR NUCLEAR
ENERGY, MR. SAMUEL SPECK, ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR , FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA)
RICHARD De YOUNG, DIRECTOR OFFICE INSPECTION & ENFORCEMENT, N.R.C. MR. HARRINGTON,
Secretary OF ENERGY, CONG. WM. CARNEY, MR. RICHARD WILLARD, ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAL,
CIVIL DIVISION, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. AND MR. WILLIAM CATACOSINOS,
CHAIRMAN, (LILCO) LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY.

I WILL NOW ENUMERATE TO YOU THE REASONS WHY THE NRC SHOULD NOT LICENSE THE SHOREHAM PLANT.
I WILL ADDRESS MY REASONS ONLY TO THE WAY IT WOULD EFFECT THE PEOPLE AND THE AREA
WHERE WE LIVE. AT LEISURE VILLAGE, RIDGE, WE HAVE 1,500 CONDO HOMES, WITH ABOUT
2,300 RESIDENTS, ADJOINING IS THE LEISURE KNOLLS WITH APP. 1,500 PEOPLE. THEY ARE
CURRENTLY BUILDING LEISURE GLEN WITH 700 HOMES AND 1,400 PEOPLE.

WE 5,200 SENIOR CITIZENS ALL LIVE INSIDE A VERY COMPLEX INNER ROADWAY SYSTEM, WHICH
EMPTIES OUT ONTO ONE ROADWAY, NAMELY WHISKEY ROAD.

OUR ENTIRE AREA IS ABOUT 1/2 MILE BY 1/2 MILE AND WE ALL LIVE IN THE "ZERO ZONE",
ABOUT 3 MILES FROM THE SHOREHAM PLANT.

ABOUT 2 YEARS AGO, I SPENT 5 HOURS AT THE SHOREHAM/WADING RIVER HIGH SCHOOL LIBRARY
WHERE I REVIEWED THE LILCO., LOCAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE ORGANIZATION (LERO) EVACUATION PLAN
I FOUND THIS PLAN WHICH WILL BE IMPLEMENTED BY ABOUT 1,900 OF LILCO'S CIVILIAN WORKERS
TO BE IMPRACTICAL AND NOT REALISTIC, IN THE EVACUATION OF US 5,200 PEOPLE, SHOULD A
NUCLEAR ACCIDENT OCCUR AT THE SHOREHAM PLANT.

THE "LERO" PLANS CALLS FOR 2 LILCO TRAFFIC GUIDES TO BE STATIONED ON WHISKEY ROAD
1,000 FT. APART, TO HELP EVACUATE US 5,200 SENIOR CITIZENS, WHO WILL BE OPERATING
ABOUT 2,000 VEHICLES IN TRYING TO FLEE THE AREA.

THEIR PLAN ALSO CALLS FOR 2 BUSES TO ENTER LEISURE VILLAGE & LEISURE KNOLLS
BUSES

(CONT,3)

THESE LILCO., EMPLOYEES ALSO HAVE THEIR OWN FAMILIES TO THINK ABOUT IN THIS SITUATION. F.E.M.A., SHOULD NEVER HAVE PASSED THIS "LERO" PLAN, NOR SENT IT TO THE N.R.COMMISSION. I ALSO HAVE ALLEGED THAT FEMA., AND THE N.R.C., COMMITTED DERELICTION OF DUTY, COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY, IN THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE LILCO., PLAN.

THESE ALLEGATIONS WERE OUTLINED IN MY CORRESPONDENCE TO AND FROM, U.S. ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAL, RICHARD WILLARD, CIVIL DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. I FIND IT BOTH INCOMPREHENSIBLE AND IMMORAL, THAT SOME OFFICIALS MAY BE READY TO WRITE OFF US 5,200 SENIOR CITIZENS, IF A SERIOUS ACCIDENT WERE TO HAPPEN AT THE SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER PLANT.

HOWEVER, LET ME REMIND THIS AUDIENCE, THAT A GOOD MAJORITY OF US, SERVED OUR NATION WELL AND FAITHFULLY, 45 YEARS AGO.

I THEREFORE REQUEST THAT THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, DENY LILCO., A LICENSE TO OPEN AND OPERATE AT FULL POWER, THE SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER PLANT.

Joseph C. Carpenter - 1989 New York CP. Ridge, L.I. 49. 1196P