



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 115 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-40
OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT
FORT CALHOUN STATION, UNIT NO. 1
DOCKET NO. 50-285

1.0 INTRODUCTION:

By letter dated May 23, 1988, Omaha Public Power District (OPPD) requested changes to the Administrative Controls Section of the Technical Specifications (TS) for the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1. A supplemental letter dated June 7, 1988, provided additional position changes not included in the previous submittals. The proposed changes incorporate recent job title and administrative changes, changes in the composition of the onsite and offsite review committees, and the replacement of the organizational charts presently in the TS with more general organizational requirements. The proposed change would remove Figures 5-1, OPPD Support Staff, and 5-2, Fort Calhoun Station Organizational Chart. Most of these elements are already required by regulation, other TS, or the Updated Safety Analysis Report, as described below. These general requirements describe the organizational features that were depicted in the charts that are important to the NRC for ensuring that the plant would be operated safely. Guidance for these proposed changes to the TS was provided to licensees and applicants by Generic Letter 88-06, dated March 22, 1988.

2.0 EVALUATION

The licensee's proposed changes to its TS are in accordance with the guidance provided by Generic Letter 88-06 and addressed the items listed below.

- (1) Specifications 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 were revised to delete the references to Figures 5-1 and 5-2 that were removed from the TS.
- (2) Functional requirements of the offsite and onsite organizations were defined and added to Specification 5.2.1, and are consistent with the guidance provided in Generic Letter 88-06. The specification notes that the implementation of these requirements is documented in the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR).
- (3) The Supervisor - Operations, the Shift Supervisors, and the Licensed Senior Operators of the unit staff were added to Specification 5.2.2. Therefore, this requirement that was identified on the Figure 5-2 for the station organizational chart will be retained.

- (4) The licensee has confirmed that no specifications, other than those noted above, include references to the figures that are being removed from the TS. Hence, this is not an applicable consideration with regard to the need to redefine referenced requirements as a result of the removal of these figures.

The licensee proposes to revise the composition of the Plant Review Committee (PRC) and the Safety and Review Committee (SARC) to reflect changes in the organizational structure at OPPD.

The composition of the PRC will change as follows:

- (1) The positions of Supervisor - Technical, Supervisor - Instrument Control and Electrical, and Plant Engineer have been deleted. The Manager - Training, Supervisor - Systems Engineering, and Plant Chemical are added in their place.
- (2) The Manager - Safety Review Group has been substituted for the Reactor Engineer.
- (3) The Supervisor - Chemistry and Radiation Protection has been changed to Supervisor - Radiation Protection.
- (4) The position of Manager - Quality Assurance and Quality Control has been added to the PRC. This increases the membership by one member.

The composition of the SARC will change as follows:

- (1) The position of Division Manager - Quality Assurance and Regulatory Affairs has been changed to Division Manager - Quality and Environmental Affairs.
- (2) The position of Division Manager - Engineering has been changed to Division Manager - Production Engineering.
- (3) The position of Vice President - Engineering and General Services has been deleted as a member.
- (4) The position of Manager - Fort Calhoun Station has been substituted for the member from OPPD Operations, Engineering, and Technical Support staff.
- (5) The position of Manager - Emergency Planning has been added to the SARC.

The licensee has additionally proposed several job title and administrative changes as noted below:

- (1) The title of Division Manager - Nuclear Production has been changed to Division Manager - Nuclear Operations.

- (2) The title of Supervisor - Station Training has been changed to Manager - Training. The reference to Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 55 for the requirements of this position has been clarified to reference only 10 CFR Part 55.
- (3) The title of Senior Vice President - Nuclear Production, Production Operations, Fuels, and Quality Assurance & Regulatory Affairs has been changed to Senior Vice President - Nuclear Operations, Production Engineering, and Quality and Environmental Affairs.
- (4) The title of Supervisor - Chemical and Radiation Protection has been changed to Supervisor - Radiation Protection. This change results from the division of the original position into the newly titled position and Plant Chemist.
- (5) The responsibility for the training program for the fire brigade that was under the Manager - Fort Calhoun Station now is under the Manager - Training.

On the basis of its review of the above items, the staff concludes that the licensee has provided an acceptable response to these items as addressed in NRC guidance on removing organizational charts from the administrative control requirements of the TS. Furthermore, the staff finds that these changes are consistent with the staff's generic finding on the acceptability of such changes as noted in Generic Letter 88-06. The remaining changes were found to meet the acceptance criteria of Section 13.1 of NUREG-0800, the Standard Review Plan. Accordingly, the staff finds that each of the proposed changes to be acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Section 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made proposed determinations that the amendments involve no significant-hazards consideration, which was published in the Federal Register (53 FR 19835) on May 31, 1988. The Commission consulted with the State of Nebraska. No public comments were received, and the State of Nebraska did not have any comments.

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: P. Milano

Dated: July 1, 1988