VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION



RD 5, Box 169, Ferry Road, Brattleboro, VT 05301

FVY 88-12 REPLY TO ENGINEERING OFFICE 1671 WORCESTER ROAD FRAMINGHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 01701 TELEPHONE 617-872-8100 50 - 277

February 19, 1988

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C.

Attn: Document Control Desk

Reference: a) Generic Letter 88-02, dated 1/20/88

Dear Sir:

In accordance with the referenced Generic Letter, our response to your survey is attached.

If you have further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

Wane Margh Warren P. Murphy

Vice President and O Manager of Operations

cc: F.J. Miraglia USNRC, Region I USNRC Resident Inspector, VYNPC

/dm

8802260235 886219 PDR ADOCK 05000271 PDR

A062

Enclosure 2

INTEGRATED SAFETY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (ISAP) II

Response Format to Generic Letter 88-02

Facility Name: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Utility: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation

Individual Contact Name: W.P. Murphy Phone Number: (802) 257-5271

An expression of interest will not be considered a commitment to participate on the part of the utility.

 WOULD YOU BE INTERESTED IN PARTICIPATING IN ISAP II? IF SO, IN WHAT TIME FRAME?

Vermont Yankee is not interested in participating in ISAP II at this time; however, we are willing to review the results of utility participation in ISAP II and re-evaluate our position in the future.

2. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT AN INDUSTRY/NRC SEMINAR CONSISTING OF A BRIEF DISCUSSION BY NRC FOLLOWED BY A QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD WOULD BE BENEFI-CIAL PRIOR TO MAKING A DECISION?

Yes.

3. WOULD YOU BE INTERESTED IN A ONE-ON-ONE MEETING WITH NRC TO DISCUSS YOUR PARTICULAR FACILITY OF FACILITIES?

Vermont Yankee is not interested in such a meeting at this time; however, we would request such a meeting prior to any future decision to participate.

4. IF YOU REMAIN UNDECIDED REGARDING PARTICIPATION, WHAT ADDITIONAL INFOR-MATION DO YOU NEED IN ORDER TO MAKE A DECISION?

A clearer understanding of program scope and schedular flexibilities associated with the process, including consideration of safety assessment issue identification and resolution sequence, based on options to a detailed PRA approach.

5. DO YOU HAVE ANY POTENTIAL CONCERNS ABOUT PARTICIPATING IN ISAP II?

Potential concerns include the requirement for, and process associated with, licensing the integrated schedule, as well as the associated regulatory implications and resources required to implement and maintain the program. The schedule delineated in Table 1 also appears to be very optimistic.

6. DO YOU HAVE ANY SUGGESTIONS FOR PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS OR CHANGES?

One suggestion for program improvement would be to develop a more descriptive model of the program which would clearly identify alternatives and options associated with the scope and process.