

Florida Power CORPORATION Crystal River Unit 3 Ducket No. 50-302

July 26, 1997 3F0797-18

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Subject: LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 50-302/97-018-00

Gentlemen:

Please find enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-302/97-018-00. The LER discusses the potential for losing chilled water to the Control Complex Ventilation System following a High Energy Line Break in the Intermediate Building. This report is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (ii).

Sincerely,

Holden

Director Nuclear Engineering and Projects

JJH/dwh

xc: Regional Administrator, Region II Senior Resident Inspector NRR inoject Manager

010061

9708010241 9 PDR ADUCK 05000302 S PDR

TEDOU

NRC FORM	1 366			U.S.	NUCLEAR R	EGULA	TOR	Y COM	VISSION	1		APPRO	VED BY OMB	NO. 31	50-0104			
(4.00)										1			EXPIRES 04	/30/98				
				E EVENT RE						LEARN	MAT IED	ARE INCORPO	RESPONSE TO ON REQUEST BATED INTO TH FORWARD (MATION AND RE	50.0 HF	ISING PR	DRTED LESSON		
				verse for required characters for e		f				20555	000	1 AND TO TH	REGULATORY REPAPERWORK AND BUDGET.	COMMIS	SION, WI	ASHINGTON, DI		
FACILITY NA	ME (1)									DOCK	ET N	IUMBER (2)		T	PAG	E (3)		
			CR	YSTAL RIVER	UNIT 3							050003	302 1		1 0	DF 7		
TITLE (4)										1	-			1				
A Hig Comp	gh Ener plex Ve	rgy Lin entilatio	e Break on Syst	In The Interm	iediate Bui esign Error	ilding	Соц	uld Res	sult In	Loss	of	Chilled V	Vater To 1	The C	ontrol			
EVEN	T DATE	(5)		LER NUMBER (6)	R	EPOI	RT DAT	E (7)	T		OTHER	FACILITIES	INVO	VED (8	1)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MON	тн	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		to the United States and the Constant on and Const. Constant and the Accession		DOCKET		ER
07	02	97	97	018	00	07	7	26	97	FACIL	ITY	NAME		DOCK	ET NUMB	ER		
OPERA	TING		THIS R	EPORT IS SUBMIT	TED PURSU	ANT T	O TH	IE REQU	IREMEN	ITS OF	10	CFR 5: (0	Check one of	r more	(11)			
MODE	(9)	5	20	.2201(b)		20.22	203(1	a)(2)(v)		T		50.73(a)(2)(i)	TT	50.73(a	i)(2)(viii)		
POW			20	.2203(a)(1)		20.22	203(a)(3)(i)			Х	50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a	i)(2)(x)		
LEVEL	(10)	000	20	.2203(a)(2)(i)		20.22	203(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)		73.71			
			20	.2203(a)(2)(ii)		20.22	203()	a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)		OTHER			
			-	.2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.36	6(c)(1)			and the state of the distance of the state of the				stract below			
			20	.2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.36						50.73(a)(3(a)(2)(vii)		or in NRC Form 366A			
					LICENSEE	CONT	ACT	FOR TH	IIS LER	12)								
NAME		Der	inis W.	Herrin, Sr. Re	gulatory S	pecia	list				TELI	EPHONE NUM	8ER (Include Ar (352) 7					
			COM	PLETE ONE LINE F	OR EACH C	OMPO	NEN'	T FAILU	RE DESC	CRIBED	IN	THIS REPO	DRT (13)					
CAUSE	SYST	EM CO	MPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABL TO NPRDS			CAUS	E SY	STEM	C	OMPONENT	MANUFACT	TURER		PORTABLE O NPRDS		
											-							
											T							
		SUP	PLEMENT	AL REPORT EXPE	CTED (14)				1	EXPE	CT	ED	MONTH	1	YAC	YEAR		
YES (If yes	, comple	ete EXPE	CTED SI	JBMISSION DATE),	X	NO			SUBM	IISS	ION						
ABSTRAC	T /Limit	to 140) enscee	i e approximate	ly 15 cindle.	bacad	tune	averitton	lines) (16)	-			1				

ADSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 10 single-spaced typewritten lines) (10)

At 1949, on July 2, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) when an issue was determined to be reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i) (Event No. 32580) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A). In 1990, FPC became aware of the potential for losing both control complex chillers following a high energy line break (HELB) in the Intermediate Building (IB). FPC implemented a Modification Approval Record (MAR) installing a manual valve for isolating the Chilled Water System from the IB. The 10CFR50.59 evaluation for the MAR considered failure of the operating chiller and a single active failure being the standby chiller. The evaluation inappropriately took credit for the nonsafety-related Appendix R chiller and a temporary chiller for concluding that an unreviewed safety question did not exist. Prior NRC approval was not requested. The cause for the initial condition was design error during construction. The cause for the current condition was an inadequate 10CFR50.59 evaluation. A loss of control complex cooling could affect the control complex habitability envelope and allow temperatures in vital areas to exceed limits for ensuring continued operation of electrical equipment. Currently, minimal safety significance is associated with the existing condition. A HELB is not credible in MODE 5. Corrective actions include re-evaluating a HELB in the Intermediate Building, continuing the System Readiness Review program, and the recent 10CFR50.59 program enhancements.

NRC FORM 366 (4-95)

NRC FÖRM 366A (4-95)				U.S. NUCLE	AR REGULATO	RY COMMISSIO
		EVENT REPORT (I	ER)			
FACILITY NAME	Name of the American State of the American State of the American State of the State	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)
CRYSTAL RIVER	UNIT 3	05000302	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 7
			97 - 018 -		00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On July 2, 1997, Florida Power Corporation's (FPC's) Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) was in MODE 5 (COLD SHUTDOWN) when the evaluation of a design basis issue concluded that the issue was reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A). At 1949, on July 2, 1997, a four-hour notification was made to the NRC Operations Center (Event No. 32580).

In 1990, FPC became aware of a potential concern discovered by Gilbert Commonwealth at the V. C. Summer nuclear plant. The concern involved a high energy line break (HELB) causing the shut down of the control complex chillers [VI, CHU]. Gilbert Commonwealth indicated that CR-3 had a similarly designed control complex cooling system. Nonconforming Operations Report (NCOR) 90-025 was initiated to address this issue.

The Chilled Water System [KM] provides the cooling medium for the control complex heat exchangers [VI, HX], penetration cooling heat exchangers [NF, HX], turbine building switchgear rooms [VK, FLT] and post-accident sample coolers [IP, CLR]. The Chilled Water System is vital to maintaining the Control Room [NA] and other enclosures within the control complex, particularly those which contain electronic components, at a temperature and humidity level that affords personnel comfort and is compatible for electronic equipment.

The control complex heat exchangers are part of the Control Complex Ventilation System [VI]. This system provides the safety function of maintaining vital area temperatures within design values and providing protection for the Control Room operators during emergency conditions.

The penetration cooling heat exchangers are located within a plenum (protective shroud) in Zone 19 of the Intermediate Building [NF] and are part of the Penetration Cooling System [VF]. This system carries cooled air to the reactor building penetrations [NH, PEN]. No safety functions are associated with this system.

In the event of a large steam line break in Zone 19, steam will enter the penetration cooling fan [VF, FAN] plenum through relief dampers [VF, DMP]. Steam in the plenum will cause the fire dampers [VF, DMP] to close. With the plenum fan running, steam will be continuously drawn through the relief dampers and will subject the penetration cooling heat exchanger coils to a steam load in excess of normal loads. The additional steam load will cause the penetration cooler heat exchanger loop water (chilled water) temperature to rise continuously to a point where the operating chiller trips. With the chiller tripped, the chilled water pump [KM, P] will continue to operate, causing the chilled water temperature to rise at a faster rate. The rising chilled water temperature will eventually cause the chiller rupture disc [KM, RPD] to blow to relieve vessel pressure, disabling the operating chiller. The time to failure was estimated by FPC to be approximately five minutes. This same failure scenario could cause failure of the standby chiller, should it be called into service, resulting in a complete loss of chilled water.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)			U.S. NUCLE	AR REGULATO	RY COMMISSION
L	CENSEE EVENT REPORT (L TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	05000302	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 OF 7
		97	- 018 -	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Modification Approval Record (MAR) 90-03-02-01 was initiated to resolve the above concern. The MAR installed a manual valve (CHV-229) [KM, SHV] to provide the capability of isolating the reactor building penetration coolers from the Chilled Water System in the event of a HELB in the Intermediate Building. The MAR assumed the operating chiller would fail due to the HELB, the operator would close manual valve CHV-229, and the operator would start the standby chiller.

The 10CFR50.59 safety evaluation for the MAR considered a single active failure in the standby chilled water system. The safety evaluation stated, in part: "Should both CC Chillers and/or chilled water pumps be inoperable, operations personnel may utilize the Appendix R Chilled Water System [KM] to cool the control complex. Since the initiating event resulted in immediate plant shutdown, this mode of control complex cooling is acceptable. Equipment has been staged and procedures developed to supply temporary control complex cooling in the event that the Appendix R chiller is also unavailable, though such methods are considered non-safety."

On April 17, 1997, a question was raised by the Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) Enhancement Program personnel regarding the operator action to close manual valve CHV-229 in the event of a HELB in the Intermediate Building, as specified in EOP-5, "Excessive Heat Transfer." The question raised was whether or not nonsafety-related equipment (the Appendix R Chilled Water System and temporary control complex cooling system) could be credited for ensuring control complex temperatures are maintained within design limits following a HELB in the Intermediate Building.

On June 23, 1997, FPC determined that taking credit for the Appendix R Chilled Water System and temporary control complex cooling system as replacements for the control complex chillers without prior NRC review and approval was not appropriate.

This report is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii).

EVENT EVALUATION

A complete loss of the Chilled Water System following a HELB in the Intermediate Building could affect the ability to maintain the control complex habitability envelope. Additionally, temperatures in vital areas may exceed those limits necessary to ensure continued operation of critical electrical equipment.

Currently, minimal safety significance is associated with the existing conditions. A HELB in the Intermediate Building is not a credible accident in MODE 5.

CAUSE

The cause for the initial plant configuration was inadequate design during the original construction of CR-3. The effects of a HELB in the Intermediate Building for impact on the Chilled Water System were not evaluated.

NRC FÓRM 366A 4-95)				U.S. NUCLE	AR REGULAT	DRY COMMISSION	
		EVENT REPORT (I	LER)				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)	
CRYSTAL RIVER U	NIT 3	05000302	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4 OF 7	
			97 - 018 - (00		

The failure to resolve the original design issue in 1990 was caused by preparation of an inadequate 10CFR50.59 safety evaluation. Lack of a formal program for the training and qualification of personnel associated with the preparation and review of 10CFR50.59 safety evaluations led to errors in the application of 10CFR50.59 concepts.

IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

A HELB is not a credible accident in MODE 5. No immediate corrective actions were required.

ADDITIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

A HELB in the Intermediate Building will be evaluated for impact on the Chilled Water System. If the evaluation concludes that sufficient time exists for the operator to isolate the penetration coolers prior to failure of the operating Chilled Water System chiller, a single active failure of the standby Chilled Water System chiller will not cause the loss of all chilled water to the control complex. This evaluation will be completed by September 1, 1997.

If the evaluation concludes that sufficient time does not exist for the operator to isolate the penetration coolers prior to failure of the operating Chilled Water System chiller, a single active failure of the standby Chilled Water System chiller will cause the loss of all chilled water to the control complex. Actions will be taken to preclude chiller failure by October 30, 1997.

ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

The System Readiness Review (SRR) Plan has been developed to conduct extent of condition reviews to assure that safety-related systems are in compliance with the licensing and design basis of CR-3.

Compliance Procedure CP-213, "Preparation of a Safety Assessment and Unreviewed Safety Question Determination," Revision 3 became effective on July 3, 1997. This procedure established an enhanced process that properly implements the requirements of 10CFR50.59.

CP-213 initial training to over 180 staff personnel was completed in June 1997.

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

A review has been performed of previously submitted Licensee Event Reports (LERs). LERs 50-302/96-020-00 and 50-302/96-24-01 are associated with inadequate design conditions created through the preparation of inadequate 10CFR50.59 evaluations. LERs 50-302/95-019-00, 50-302/96-015-00, 50-302/97-004-00 and 50-302/97-009-00 are associated with inadequate design conditions that were created during original construction of CR-3.

ATTACHMENTS

NRC FORM 366A	NIF		14	DR	8.4	2	6	E.	A
All and and	1.41	2.500	1.0	111	EAU.	2	0	9	19
	1.1.1.1	100							

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

	T	EXT	CONTIN	UATION
--	---	-----	--------	--------

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	05000302	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	5 OF 7
		97	- 018	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Attachment 1 - Abbreviations, Definitions, and Acronyms

Attachment 2 - List of Commitments

		U.S. NUCLE	AR REGULATO	RY COMMISSI
ENSEE EVENT REPORT	(LER)			
TEXT CONTINUATION				
DOCKET		7	(6)	PAGE (3)
05000302	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	6 OF 7
	97	- 018 -	00	
NRC Form 366A) (17)		And a second difference of a second	THE OWNER AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER AND A D	
ATTACHMENT 1				
TIONS, DEFINITIONS AND	DACRO	NYMS		
u detinen				
Julations				
g Procedure				
ration				
eak				
ort				
al Record				
rations Report				
Specifications defined term	s appea	ar capitalized	d in LER tex	kt {e.g.,
yms/abbreviations appear i 8)}.	n paren	thesis when	first used {	eg.,
	DOCKET 05000302 //RC Form 366A/ (17) ATTACHMENT 1 TIONS, DEFINITIONS AND ulations g Procedure ration eak ort al Record rations Report	DOCKET 05000302 YEAR 97 WRC Form 366A) (17) ATTACHMENT 1 TIONS, DEFINITIONS AND ACRO ulations g Procedure ration eak ort I Record rations Report	DOCKET LER NUMBER 05000302 YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 97 - 018 - (RC Form 366A) (17) ATTACHMENT 1 TIONS, DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS ulations g Procedure ration eak ort Il Record rations Report	DOCKET LER NUMBER (6) 05000302 YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER 07 018 00

.

NRC FORM 366A

.

....

٠

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	05000302	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	7 OF 7
		97	- 018	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ATTACHMENT 2

LIST OF COMMITMENTS

RESPONSE SECTION	COMMITMENT	DUE DATE
Page 4	A HELB in the Intermediate Building will be formally evaluated for impact on the Chilled Water System. If the formal evaluation concludes that sufficient time exists for the operator to isolate the penetration coolers prior to failure of the operating Chilled Water System chiller, a single active failure of the standby Chilled Water System chiller will not cause the loss of all chilled water to the control complex.	September 1, 1997
Page 4	If the formal evaluation concludes that sufficient time does not exist for the operator to isolate the penetration coolers prior to failure of the operating Chilled Water System chiller, a single active failure of the standby Chilled Water System chiller will cause the loss of all chilled water to the control complex. Actions will be taken to preclude chiller failure.	October 30, 1997