NOTICE OF VIOLATION AND PROPOSED IMPOSITION OF CIVIL PENALTY

Pennsylvania Power and Light Company (PP&L)

Docket Nos. 50-387; 50-388 EA 95-250

Based on the Decision and Order of Remand by the Secretary of Labor (SOL) dated October 20, 1995 (Reference: DOL case 94-ERA-032), a violation of MRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for MRC Enforcement Actions," MUREG-1600, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission proposes to impose a civil penalty pursuant to Section 234 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (Act), 42 U.S.C. 2282, and 10 CFR 2.205. The particular violation and associated civil penalty are set forth below:

10 CFR 50.7(a), in part, prohibits discrimination by a Commission licensee against an employee for engaging in certain protected activities. Discrimination includes discharge and other actions that relate to compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment. The protected activities are established in Section 211 of the Energy Reorganization Act (ERA) of 1974, as assended, and in general are related to the administration or enforcement of a requirement imposed under the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) or the Energy Reorganization Act. The protected activities include but are not limited to providing the Commission information about alleged violations of the ERA or the AEA or possible violations of requirements imposed under either of these statutes.

Contrary to the above, as determined in the SOL's Order and Remand dated October 20, 1995, Pennsylvania Power & Light Company (PP&L) discriminated against a senior security officer (SSO) after he raised concerns to the MRC in 1992 regarding the administration of a security requalification examination. Specifically, after the SSO called into question the manner in which a PP&L supervisor had proctored an examination: (1) the supervisor gave the SSO lower fractional ratings on his annual appraisals of March 1993 and March 1994 than were given to two other SSOs, which led to a lower salary increase, and (2) there was a marked change in the supervisors' attitude towards the SSO and the SSO was subjected to adverse working conditions as a result of his engaging in protected activities. (01013)

This is a Severity Level III violation (Supplement VII). Civil Penalty - \$100,000.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Pennsylvania Power & Light Company (Licensee) is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission, within 30 days of the date of this Notice of Violation and Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalty (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Motice of Violation" and should include for each alleged violation: (1) admission or denial of the alleged violation, (2) the reasons for the violation if admitted, and if denied, the reasons why, (3) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps

that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliant will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown. Under the authority of Section 183 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 2232, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation.

Within the same time as provided for the response required above under 10 CFR 2.201, the Licensee may pay the civil penalty by letter addressed to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission, with a check, draft, money order, or electronic transfer payable to the Treasurer of the United States in the amount of the civil penalty proposed above, or the cumulative amount of the civil penalties if more than one civil penalty is proposed, or may protest imposition of the civil penalty in whole or in part, by a written answer addressed to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission. Should the Licensee fail to answer within the time specified, an order imposing the civil penalty will be issued. Should the Licensee elect to file an answer in accordance with 10 CFR 2.205 protesting the civil penalty, in whole or in part, such answer should be clearly marked as an "Answer to a Notice of Violation" and may: (1) deny the violation listed in this Notice, in whole or in part, (2) demonstrate extenuating circumstances, (3) show error in this Notice, or (4) show other reasons why the penalty should not be imposed. In addition to protesting the civil penalty in whole or in part, such answer may request remission or mitigation of the penalty.

In requesting mitigation of the proposed penalty, the factors addressed in Section VI.B.2 of the Enforcement Policy should be addressed. Any written answer in accordance with 10 CFR 2.205 should be set forth separately from the statement or explanation in reply pursuant to 10 CFR 2.201, but may incorporate parts of the 10 CFR 2.201 reply by specific reference (e.g., citing page and paragraph numbers) to avoid repetition. The attention of the Licensee is directed to the other provisions of 10 CFR 2.205, regarding the procedure for imposing a civil penalty.

Upon failure to pay any civil penalty due which subsequently has been determined in accordance with the applicable provisions of 10 CFR 2.205, this matter may be referred to the Attorney General, and the penalty, unlass compromised, remitted, or mitigated, may be collected by civil action pursuant to Section 234c of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 2282c.

The response noted above (Reply to Motice of Violation, letter with payment of civil penalty, and Answer to a Motice of Violation) should be addressed to: James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, One White Flint Morth, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region I, and the MRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice.

Because your response will be placed in the MRC Public Document Room (PDR), to the extent possible, it should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However, if you find it necessary to include such information, you should clearly indicate the specific information that you desire not to be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support your request for withholding the information from the public.

Dated at King of Prussia, Pennsylvania this 9th day of February 1996