

ENCLOSURE 2

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV

Docket No.: 50-458
License No.: NPF-47
Report No.: 50-458/97-11
Licensee: Entergy Operations, Inc.
Facility: River Bend Station
Location: 5485 U.S. Highway 61
St. Francisville, Louisiana
Dates: June 23-27, 1997
Inspector: Thomas W. Dexter, Senior Physical Security Specialist
Plant Support Branch
Approved By: Blaine Murray, Chief, Plant Support Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

ATTACHMENT: Supplemental Information

DOCUMENT CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

9707240232 970721
PDR ADOCK 05000458
G PDR

ENCLOSURE CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION
UPON SEPARATION THIS
PAGE IS DECONTROLLED

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

River Bend Station
NRC Inspection Report 50-458/97-11

This routine, announced inspection focused upon specific areas of the licensee's physical security program. These areas included review of records and reports, testing and maintenance, vital area barriers and detection aids, alarm stations, communications, lighting, security plans and procedures, compensatory measures, access control of personnel and packages, management support, and security program audits.

Plant Support

- A very good records and reports program was in place. Trending and analysis was excellent (Section S1.1).
- Security systems were repaired in a timely manner. An excellent testing and maintenance program was conducted and was properly documented (Section S2.1).
- Vital barriers would provide effective delay of any barrier penetration attempts. Controls of security locks and keys was very good (Section S2.2).
- The alarm stations were redundant and well protected. The operators were alert and well trained (Section S2.3)
- A good security radio communication system was maintained. A proper number of portable radios was available for members of the security organization (Section S2.4)
- Security lighting inside the protected area was excellent. The protected area was maintained free of clutter (Section S2.5)
- Security plan changes were submitted in accordance with NRC requirements and the changes had not decreased the effectiveness of the plans. Security procedures were consistent with the security plans (Section S3.1).
- A violation was identified involving the failure of the video capture system to have audible alarm capabilities. Security personnel were well trained on the program requirements (Section S4.1).

DOCUMENT CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

ENCLOSURE CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION
UPON SEPARATION THIS
PAGE IS DECONTROLLED

- A very good program for searching personnel and packages was maintained. Security personnel operating the equipment were well trained (Section S4.2)
- Senior management support for the security organization was strong. The security program was implemented by a well trained and highly qualified staff (Section S6.1).
- The annual security program audit was performance based. The overall quality of the audit was excellent (Section S7.1).

DOCUMENT CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

ENCLOSURE CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION
UPON SEPARATION THIS
PAGE IS DECONTROLLED