

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20655

February 12, 1988

Docket Nos. 50:445 and 50-446

APPLICANT:

Texas Utilities Electric Company (TU Electric)

FACILITY:

Comanche Peak Steam Electric (CPSES), Units 1 and 2

SUBJECT:

SUMMARY OF AUDIT ON DECEMBER 7-11, 1987 - IMPLEMENTATION OF PROCEDURES FOR TRAIN C CONDUIT SUPPORTS LESS THAN

OR EQUAL TO TWO INCHES

On December 7-11, 1987, the NRC staff and its consultants conducted an audit at the CPSES site in Glen Rose, Texas of the application of design criteria and methodology developed for Train C conduits less than or equal to two inches in diameter and their supports (hereinafter referred to as "Train C conduit"). The Train C conduit design validation is being performed by Impell Corporation as a part of the TU Electric Corrective Action Program. A list of persons involved with the audit is provided in Enclosure 1.

The purpose of the staff audit was to assess the adequacy of Impell's implementation of the design validation procedures and to further review selected criteria and methodology. The audit consisted of:

a review of a sample of Impell's calculation packages, and
 a plant inspection of selected supports and support systems reviewed in the above calculation packages.

In addition, discussions with Impell personnel regarding implementation of procedures, criteria and methodology were held.

# Review of Calculation Packages

The audit sample was selected from the Master Calculation Log provided by the Impell Field Office. The audit team selected a total of 51 calculation and modification packages representing supports and support systems from the following buildings:

Safeguards
Electrical Control
Auxiliary
Fuel Loading
Service Water
Containment

Specific calculation packages were selected for review to ensure a representation of each of the screening levels implemented by Impell in the design validation process.

8802190061 880212 PDR ADDCK 05000445 PDR

In the course of the implementation audit, the audit team reviewed the latest Impell procedures and reviewed selected Train C criteria and methodology for practicality and effectiveness of implementation (see Enclosure 2).

#### Plant Inspection

The audi: team performed plant inspections of selected supports as a part of our audit. The inspection sample was selected from the calculation packages. The inspection sample covered all the screening levels implemented in the program, including modifications implemented as a result of the design validation process. The inspection sample included one or more of the supports associated with the calculation or modification packages.

#### Overall Findings

Based on our audit, the team finds the following:

- Implementation of the design validation program was conducted satisfactorily and in accordance with the program instructions.
- Validation of supports to date has been accomplished using Screening Levels 2 (Acceptable Supports), 4 (Seismic Capacity Check), 5 (Seismic Analysis) and 3/6 (No Interaction Potential/Impact Evaluation).
- Where implementation of Screening Level 6 required some judgement in assessing interaction potential, the audit team found such judgement to be sound and, thus, concurs with that judgement.

Two discrepancies were noted by the audit team in the course of our audit which required action by the Impell field office. The disc epancies and corrective actions taken are discussed below:

Level 5 evaluation criterion (PI-0210-052-003), Rev. 4, Par. 4.3.8) specifies allowable stresses for fillet welds and base metal in hotrolled and cold-formed members. Review of the calculation audit sample indicated that only the stress in the weld was checked and base-metal stress evaluations were not performed. In the mase of welding to Unistrut, the base-metal stress was the governing stress.

Resolution: Impell reviewed all calculations performed to date involving field-welded Unistrut supports, and modified the calculations to include the base-metal stress evaluation. No inadequacies resulted In addition, Impell conducted a training session for the appropriate analysts to provide assurance against recurrence of this problem.

# Conclusion:

The audit team finds the resolution adequately resolves the concern and is, thus, acceptable.

Calculation A-02542 was not reconciled with field modifications. The 2) problems occurred when initially agreed-upon modifications could not be validated by Level 5 calculation, and a new support location was recommended. Interface between Support Design and Level 5 groups was not completed.

Resolution: Impell determined that this incident was an isolated one and developed the following Action Plan:

- Send notification letter to Level 5. a)
- 6) Incorporate new loads in calculation.
- Review originator's calculations for similar incidence. c)
- Conduct training sessions to reinforce interfacing procedures.

Conclusion: The audit team finds the resolution adequately resolves the concern and is, thus, acceptable.

A total of 80 hours were involved with this audit.

David Derac

David Teran, Mechanical Engineer Comanche Peak Project Division Office of Special Projects

#### Enclosure:

1. List of Persons Involved With Audit

2. List of Documents Reviewed

cc: See next page

W. G. Counsil Texas Utilities Electric Company

cc: Jack R. Newman, Esq. Newman & Holtzinger, P.C. Suite 1000 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert A. Wooldridge, Esq. Worsham, Forsythe, Sampels & Wooldridge 2001 Bryan Tower, Suite 2500 Dallas, Texas 75201

Mr. Homer C. Schmidt
Directe of Nuclear Services
Texas Utilities Electric Company
Skyway Tower
400 Morth Olive Street, L.B. 81
Dallas, Texas 75201

Mr. Robert E. Ballard, Jr. Director of Projects Gibbs and Hill, Inc. 11 Penn Plaza
New York, New York 10001

Mr. R. S. Howard Westinghouse Electric Corporation P. O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Renea Hicks, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Mrs. Juanita Ellis, President Citizens Association for Sound Energy 1426 South Polk Dallas, Texas 75224

Ms. Nancy H. Williams CYGNA Energy Services 2121 N. California Blvd., Suite 390 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Units 1 and 2

Asst. Director for Inspec. Programs Comanche Peak Project Division U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 1029 Granbury, Texas 76048

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 16011

Lanny A. Sinkin Christic Institute 1324 North Capitol Street Washington, D.C. 20002

Ms. Billie Pirner Garde, Esq. Government Accountability Project Midrost Office 104 East Wisconsin Avenue Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

David R. Pigott, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe 600 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94111

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq. Suite 600 1401 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20005

Robert Jablon Bonnie S. Blair Spiegel & McDiarmid 1350 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20005-4798

George A. Parker, Chairman Public Utility Committee Senior Citizens Alliance Of Tarrant County, Inc. 6048 Wonder Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76133 cc: Joseph F. Fulbright Fulbright & Jaworski 1301 McKinney Street Houston, Texas 77010

Roger D. Walker Manager, Nuclear Licensing Texas Utilities Electric Company Skyway Tower 400 North Olive Street, L.B. 81 Dallas, Texas 75201

Mr. Jack Redding c/o Bethesda Licensing Texas Utilities Electric Company 3 Metro Center, Suite 610 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

William A. Burchette, Esq.
Counsel for Tex-La Electric Cooperative
of Texas
Heron, Burchette, Ruckert & Rothwell
Suite 700
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20007

James P. McGaughy, Jr. GDS Associates, Inc. Suite 720 1850 Parkway Place Marietta, Georgia 30067

Administrative Judge Peter Bloch U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Elizabeth B. Johnson Administrative Judge Oak Ridge National Laboratory P. O. Box X, Building 3500 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom 1107 West Knapp Stillwater, Oklahoma 74075

Dr. Walter H. Jordan 881 West Outer Drive Oak Ridge, TN 37830

## List of Persons Involved With Audit

TU Electric J. W. Muffett\* Lynn Natzic\* Jahan Nandi\*\*

### Impell Corporation

K. Warrapius\*
J. Appel-Rose\*

S. Esfandiari B. Ramsey

T. C. Chen B. Senn

# NRC/Consultants J. Tsacoyeanes E. Solla

D. Terao

\*attending entrance meeting only
\*\*attending exit meeting only

#### LIST OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

- Impell Corporation, "Procedures for Implementing Screen Level 6", Project Instruction No. PI-2010-052-005.
- Impell Corporation, "Seismic Evaluation of Train C Conduit Supports,"
   Project Instruction No. PI-0210-052-003.
- CPRT Results Report, ISAP: I.c, "Train C Conduit and Supports", Revision 1.
- Impell Corporation, "TU Electric CPSES Project Status Report, Conduit Supports Train C Two-Inches and Less", Revision 0.

#### Distribution: Docket File

PDR

Local PDR

CPPD Reading

OSP Reading

S. Ebneter/J. Axelrad

C. Grimes

P. McKee

J. Wilson

J. Lyons

R. Warnick M. Malloy

A. Vietti-Cook

CPPD-LA

J. Moore, OGC F. Miraglia

E. Jordan

J. Fartlow

D. Terao

ACRS (10)

OFC	:CPPD:OSP	AViettico	CPPD: OSP	:CPPD:OSP		!	
NAME	:DTerao:cb	:AVietti Co	ok:MMalloy	r :JLyons			
	:2/)0/88	:2//0 /88		:2/11/88		:	