50-423

NRC FILE CENTER COPY



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20565-0001

July 15, 1997

Daniel Curry, Project Director Parsons Power Group Inc. 2675 Morgantown Road Reading, PA 19607

Dear Mr. Curry:

During a June 19, 1997, meeting with the Connecticut Nuclear Energy Advisory Council (NEAC), the NRC stated in response to questions from NEAC that the Independent Corrective Action Verification Program (ICAVP) contractors would provide a preliminary assessment of the potential significance of the discrepancies identified during the performance of the ICAVP at the Millstone Nuclear Generating Station. In addition, during a teleconference on June 26, 1997, with representatives from Sargent & Lundy (S&L), Parsons Power Group Inc. (Parsons), the NRC, and NEAC participating, the NRC stated that it would provide additional guidance to the ICAVP contractors regarding assessing the potential significance of identified discrepancies. The enclosure provides four levels of potential significance that the NRC staff has determined shall be used by the ICAVP contractors when assessing the significance of all discrepancies they identify during the performance of the ICAVP at the Millstone site.

You are requested to modify your procedures for documenting discrepancies discovered during the implementation of your ICAVP audit plan to reflect the added requirement for conducting a preliminary assessment of the potential significance. You should base your assessment on the information that is readily available at the time the discrepancy is identified and the technical judgement of your organization. The discrepancy reports should include a brief discusion of the rationale for the selection of the significance level. The NRC recognizes that as new information becomes available or after the licensee completes its review of the discrepancies, the actual significance of the discrepancies may change. However, when implementing this requirement, a reasonable effort should be made to classify each of the identified discrepancies to one of the four significance levels provided in the enclosure at the time the discrepancy report is prepared.

In addition, the NRC requests that any discrepancies that may already have been documented before incorporation of this requirement into your procedures be updated to reflect your preliminary assessment of their significance. When updating the existing discrepancies, you should base your assessment on the information that you have readily available at the time you are updating the discrepancy and the technical judgement of your organization.

While the NRC requires the ICAVP contractors to provide a preliminary assessment of the potential significance of the discrepancies, it is important to reaffirm that Northeast Utilities (NU) remains the organization with the primary responsibility for assessing each of the discrepancies you identify during the ICAVP for impacts on the operations and main enance of its licensed facilities.



#### Don Schopfer

If you have comments or there are further questions on assessing the significance of discrepancies identified during the implementation of the ICAVP at the Millstone site, please contact me at (301) 415-1490.

Sincerely,

ENtinho

Eugene Imbro, Deputy Director ICAVP Oversight Special Projects Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:

Criteria for Categorizing the Relative Significance of Discrepancies Identified by the ICAVP

cc w/encl: See next page

Don Schopfer

If you have comments or there are further questions on assessing the significance of discrepancies identified during the implementation of the ICAVP at the Millstone site, please contact me at (301) 415-1490.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Eugene Imbro, Deputy Director ICAVP Oversight Special Projects Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:

Criteria for Categorizing the Relative Significance of Discrepancies Identified by the ICAVP

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket Files (Docket 50-423) PUBLIC WTravers SPO R/F PMcKee

JDurr JAnderson

\*See previous concurrence page.

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box "C" = Copy without attach/encl "E" = Copy with attach/encl "N" = No copy

OFFICE	ICAVP/SPO	E	LA	ICAVP/SPO	ICAVP/SPO	SPOD
NAME	JANakoski*		LBerry LC	SReynolds*	EVImbro EI	WTravers
DATE	7 / 11 /97		11/197	7 / 11 /97	7115197	1/197

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

## Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

cc:

Lillian M. Cucco, Esquire Senior Nuclear Counsel Northeast Utilities Service Company P. O. Box 270 Hartford, CT 06141-0270

Mr. Kevin T. A. McCarthy, Director Monitoring and Radiation Division Department of Environmental Protection 79 Elm Street Hartford, CT 06106-5127

Mr. Allan Johanson, Assistant Director Office of Policy and Management Policy Development and Planning Division 450 Capitol Avenue - MS 52ERN P. O. Box 341441 Hartford, CT 06134-1441

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

First Selectmen Town of Waterford Hall of Records 200 Boston Post Road Waterford, CT 06385

Deborah Katz, President Citizens Awareness Network P. O. Box 83 Shelburne Falls, MA 03170

Mr. Wayne D. Lanning Deputy Director of Inspections Special Projects Office 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mr. M. R. Scully, Executive Director Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative 30 Stott Avenue Norwich, CT 06360 Millstone Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3

Citizens Regulatory Commission ATTN: Ms. Susan Perry Luxton 180 Great Neck Road Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Mr. F. C. Rothen Vice President - Nuclear Work Services Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P.O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Charles Brinkman, Manager Washington Nuclear Operations ABB Combustion Engineering 12300 Twinbrook Pkwy, Suite 330 Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. D. M. Goebel Vice President - Nuclear Oversight Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. M. L. Bowling, Jr. Millstone Unit No. 2 Nuclear Recovery Officer Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Senior Resident Inspector Millstone Nuclear Power Station c/o U.S. Nuclear Pegulatory Commission P. O. Box 513 Niantic, CT 06337

Mr. J. K. Thayer Recovery Officer - Nuclear Engineering and Support Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Burlington Electric Department c/o Robert E. Fletcher, Esq. 271 South Union Street Burlington, VT 05402 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

CC:

Mr. William D. Meinert Nuclear Engineer Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company P. O. Box 426 Ludlow, MA 01056

Ernest C. Hadley, Esq. 1040 B Main Street P. O. Box 549 West Wareham, MA 02576

Joseph R. Egan, Esq. Egan & Associates, P.C. 2300 N Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20037

The Honorable Terry Concannon Co-Chair Nuclear Energy Advisory Council Room 4035 Legislative Office Building Capitol Avenue Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Mr. Evan Woolacott Co-Chair Nuclear Energy Advisory Council 128 Terrys Plain Road Simsbury, CT 06070

Ms. P. Loftus Director - Regulatory Affairs for Millstone Station Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. N. S. Carns Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385 Millstone Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3

Mr. John Buckingham Department of Public Utility Control Electric Unit 10 Liberty Square New Britain, CT 06051

Mr. James S. Robinson Manager, Nuclear Investments and Administration New England Power Company 25 Research Drive Westborough, MA 01582

Mr. B. D. Kenyon President and Chief Executive Officer Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. M. H. Brothers Vice President - Millstone Unit 3 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company P. O. Box 128 Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. John C. Markowicz Nuclear Energy Advisory Council 9 Susan Terrace Waterford, CT 06385-3515

# CRITERIA FOR CATEGORIZING THE RELATIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF DISCREPANCIES IDENTIFIED BY THE ICAVP

#### SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL 1

A discrepancy<sup>(1)</sup> that identifies that the system does not meeting its licensing and design bases and cannot perform its intended function, i.e., has the potential to simultaneously affect redundant trains.

## SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL 2

A discrepancy<sup>(1)</sup> that that identifies that a single train of a redundant system does not meet its licensing and design bases and that the train cannot perform its intended function.

## SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL 3

A discrepancy<sup>(1)</sup> that identifies that a system does not meet its licensing and design bases but the system is capable of performing its intended function.

#### SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL 4

A discrepancy<sup>(1)</sup> that identifies that the systems meets its licensing and design bases, however, there exists minor errors such as minor arithmetic errors that do not significantly affect the results of a calculation or inconsistencies between documents of an editorial nature.

## NOTE

 A discrepancy may identify programmatic, procedural, or design issues or editorial inconsistencies.