



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 110 AND 113 TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-24 AND DPR-27

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In a letter dated April 10, 1986, Wisconsin Electric Power Company (the licensee), submitted an application for amendment of the Point Beach, Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications (TS). The proposed amendment would revise 15.6.10, "Plant Operation Records." Additionally, the proposed amendment would revise numerous other TS to correct minor administrative errors.

The staff reviewed the licensee's April 10, 1986 amendment application and determined that additional information was required. Subsequently, by letter dated May 5, 1987, the staff issued a Request for Additional Information (RAI) to the licensee. The licensee responded to the RAI in a letter dated July 17, 1987.

The staff based its review on NUREG-0452, "Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Pressurized Water Reactors, Rex. 4," Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant Modified Amended Security Plan, and the Point Beach 1 and 2 Technical Specifications.

2.0 EVALUATION

The licensee's proposed amendment to the Point Beach, Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications consists of two parts: 1) revision to TS 15.6.10, "Plant Operation Records," and 2) revisions to numerous TS to correct administrative errors. Each part is discussed below.

The licensee's proposed revision to TS 15.6.10 changes the required retention period of plant operating records. Parts A, B, C, D, L, and M of the specification would be revised to require a retention period of 5 years. The current retention period is 6 years. Parts E, G, H, I, J, K, P, Q, R, V, and S of the specification would be revised to require retention for the "duration of operating license." The current retention period is "permanent." These changes to TS 15.6.10 are consistent with the Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Pressurized Water Reactors, and therefore are acceptable.

Additional changes to TS 15.6.10 include:

- Parts N, T and U of the specifications will be deleted. Part N will be incorporated into Part M, and Parts T and U will be incorporated into Parts B and D. These changes are administrative in nature, have no effect on safety and are, therefore, acceptable.
- In accordance with 10 CFR 71.91(a) Parts T and U will be added to the specification. Part T requires retention of records regarding shipment of radioactive material having a specific activity of greater than 0.002 microcurie/gram for 2 years. Part U establishes requirements in the specification for retention of records concerning the Point Beach Modified Amended Security Plan. These changes are administrative in nature, have no effect on safety and are, therefore, acceptable.
- Part O will be revised to require that records of training, qualification and requalification for NRC-licensed personnel be retained until the operator's license is renewed. This change is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 55 (55.59(c)(5)(i)), and is, therefore, acceptable. Additionally Part O will be revised to require record retention for fire brigade member training for 3 years. This change is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix R, Section III. 1.4 requirements and is, therefore, acceptable.

The licensee also proposed revisions to numerous TS to correct administrative errors. Each of these revisions is discussed below.

- TS 15.6.3.2, 15.6.3.3, 15.6.5.1.2 and figure 15.6.2-2 will be revised to reflect a reorganization which eliminated the position of Superintendent-Chemistry and Health Physics. The revision also designated the position of Radiochemist as being a regular member of the Point Beach Manager's Supervisory Staff.
- The wording of TS 15.7.8.1 will be changed from "responsibilities" to "duties". This will make this specification compatible with the referenced specification.
- TS 15.4.4.III.B, 15.6.9.1.B.2.a, and Table 15.3.5-5, Items 7 and 13 will be revised to correct erroneous references to other specifications.
- The basis of T.S.15.4.5 will be rewritten to remove ambiguities existing in the present wording.
- References to "FFDSAR" will be changed to "FSAR" on pages 15.1-2, 15.3.1-14A, 15.4.6-2, 15.6.9-1, and 15.6.10-1.

- Errors in spelling/punctuation will be corrected on pages 15.3.1-15, 15.3.10-6, 15.3.12-1, 15.3.13-2, 15.4.4-7, 15.4.4-11, 15.4.15-3 and 15.6.12-1.

In its April 10, 1986 letter, the licensee requested that TS 15.6.9.2.F be revised to remove ambiguity in the existing wording. Amendments 102 (for Unit 1) and 105 (for Unit 2) dated June 27, 1986 deleted TS 15.6.9.2.D; therefore, TS 15.6.9.2.F was relettered TS 15.6.9.2.E. TS 15.6.9.2.E currently in the Technical Specification is identical to the revision requested by the licensee. Accordingly, the revision originally requested by the licensee is not needed and has not been made.

Additionally, the licensee's letter dated July 17, 1987 retracted its request for amendments to Technical Specification 15.6.10.0, regarding the deletion of the term "key personnel." Accordingly, no such change to this specification will be made. Similarly, based on discussions with the licensee, the proposed change to Item 4, "Reactor Coolant System Subcooling," of Table 15.3.5-5 has been withdrawn. The licensee will evaluate the need for this change, and if necessary, request the change in a future Technical Specification amendment application. Accordingly, Table 15.3.5-5 is not revised by these amendments.

The staff has reviewed the above changes and concludes that these changes are administrative in nature and have no effect on safety, and are, therefore, acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: January 5, 1988

Principal Contributor: J. A. Hopkins
D. Wagner