Docket No. 50-409

Mr. James W. Taylor General Manager Dairyland Power Cooperative 2615 East Avenue South La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

Dear Mr. Taylor:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, LA CROSSE BOILING WATER REACTOR

(TAC-66671)

By letter dated November 12, 1987 you requested an amendment to the Technical Specification (TS) for the La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor (LACBWR) to reduce the shift crew requirements.

One of your proposed changes would delete the requirement for an individual qualified in radiation protection except when spent fuel or a spent fuel cask is being handled or when non-routine evolutions are being conducted in the Spent Fuel Storage Well. We have, however, determined that a specification should be proposed that would require an individual qualified in radiation protection procedures to be on site when there is fuel on-site or there is a potential for release of radioactive materials. We will complete our review following receipt of a proposed TS as indicated. We request your response within 30 days of the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Peter B. Erickson, Project Manager Standardization and Non-Power

Reactor Project Directorate Division of Reactor Projects III, IV,

V and Special Projects

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PDSNP Reading
EHylton
PErickson
LRubenstein
EJordan
JPartlow
OGC-White Flint
ACRS (10)

PDSNP

BB01130335 BB0111 PDR ADOCK 05000409

Exylton 01/ /88 PDSNP LRubenstein 01///88

PDSNP ORE PErickson:cw 01/7/88



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 11, 1988

Docket No. 50-409

Mr. James W. Taylor General Manager Dairyland Power Cooperative 2615 East Avenue South La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

Dear Mr. Taylor:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, LA CROSSE BOILING WATER REACTOR

(TAC-66671)

By letter dated November 12, 1987 you requested an amendment to the Technical Specification (TS) for the La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor (LACBWR) to reduce the shift crew requirements.

One of your proposed changes would delete the requirement for an individual qualified in radiation protection except when spent fuel or a spent fuel cask is being handled or when non-routine evolutions are being conducted in the Spent Fuel Storage Well. We have, however, determined that a specification should be proposed that would require an individual qualified in radiation protection procedures to be on site when there is fuel on-site or there is a potential for release of radioactive materials. We will complete our review following receipt of a proposed TS as indicated. We request your response within 30 days of the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Peter B. Erickson, Project Manager Standardization and Non-Power

Reactor Project Directorate Division of Reactor Projects III, IV,

V and Special Projects

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation