

REVIEWED BY:

J. Joyner

(NAME)

NRG RI

(ORGANIZATION)

12/18/87

(DATE)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION.
REGION I

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

Report No. 50-219/87-37

Docket No. 50-219

License No. DPR-16

Licensee: GPU Nuclear Corporation
P.O. Box 388
Forked River, New Jersey

Facility Name: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Inspection At: Forked River, New Jersey

Inspection Conducted: October 23, 1987

Type of Inspection: Special Unannounced Physical Security

Inspectors:

W. K. Lancaster

W. K. Lancaster, Physical Security Inspector

12/11/87
date

G. C. Smith

G. C. Smith, Safeguards Specialist

12/11/87
date

Approved by:

R. R. Keimig

R. R. Keimig, Chief, Safeguards Section
Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards

12/11/87
date

Inspection Summary: Special Unannounced Physical Security Inspection on
October 23, 1987 (Report No. 50-219/87-37)

Areas Inspected: Circumstances surrounding a licensee identified degraded
vital area barrier and the licensee's corrective actions.

Results: One apparent violation was identified: a vital area barrier was
found to have been degraded for a period of about ten days with no compensatory
measures implemented.

8801130075 871230
PDR ADOCK 05000219
Q DCD

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

- *J. Barton, Deputy Director, OCNGS
- *J. Sullivan, Jr. Plant Operations Director
- *M. Heller, Plant Licensing
- *R. Ewart, Plant Security
- *R. Pezzella, Plant Security
- A. Farenga, Plant Security
- R. Brown, Plant Operations Manager
- D. Pietruski, Group Shift Supervisor, Plant Operations
- B. Cowart, Health Physics, Nuclear Support Services
- J. Wechselberger, NRC Resident Inspector

*present at the Exit Interview

The inspectors also interviewed other licensee personnel.

2. Onsite Followup of a Report of a Non-Routine Event

a. Background

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

b. NRC Findings

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

The NRC-approved Oyster Creek Physical Security Plan, Revision 22,
dated March 30, 1987, Section 5.3.1.2, Physical Structures, states,
in part, that:

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

The NRC-approved Oyster Creek Physical Security Plan, Revision 22,
dated March 30, 1987, Section 5.3.1.4, Security Posts, states, in
part, that:

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

The NRC-approved Oyster Creek Physical Security Plan, Revision 22,
dated March 30, 1987, Chapter 9, Special Security Measures During
Refueling/Major Maintenance Operations, states, in part, that:

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC
DISCLOSURE. IT IS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK.

Failure to comply with the commitments in the NRC-approved Security
Plan, in that a vital area barrier was degraded and no compensatory
action was implemented, is an apparent violation of NRC requirements
(50-219/87-02).

3. Exit Interview

The inspectors met with the licensee representatives indicated in paragraph 1 at the conclusion of the inspection on October 23, 1987, to review the purpose and scope of the inspection and to present the findings. As compensatory action for the degraded VA barrier, the licensee had conducted a search of the upper level of the VA and committed to conduct a search, prior to restart, of the next lower level for unauthorized materials that could have been introduced from the upper level.

Written material was not provided to the licensee by the inspectors during the inspection.

4. Subsequent NRC and Licensee Actions

During telephone conversations with the licensee subsequent to the inspection (on October 26, 1987 and October 28, 1987), the licensee was advised that a search should be made of the entire VA to ensure that no unauthorized materials had been introduced. The licensee agreed to this and stated that the search would be completed prior to startup. Conduct of the search will be confirmed by the NRC Resident Inspectors.