Mr. G. A. Kuehn, Jr. Program Director SNEC Facility GPU Nuclear, Inc. 2574 Interstate Drive Harrisburg, PA 17110

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC NO. M97155)

Dear Mr. Kuehn:

We are continuing our review of your amendment request for Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 for the GPU Nuclear, Inc., and Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation, Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility. During our review of your amendment request, questions have arisen for which we require additional information and clarification. Please provide responses to the enclosed request for additional information within 30 days of the date of this letter. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.30(b), your response must be executed in a signed original under oath or affirmation. Following receipt of the additional information, we will continue our evaluation of your amendment request.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact me at (301) 415-1127.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

MS1osson

AAdams

PDovle

POND: LA

BHykton

Alexander Adams, Jr., Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning Project Directorate Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-146

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File 50-146 **PUBLIC** 

PDND R/F TMichaels

POND: PM AAdams

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SECY\ADAMS\146RAI.4

MMendonca EHylton

WEresian

PIsaac SHolmes TDragoun, R I TBurdick TDragoun

> PDND: (A)D My MMendonca 7/10/97





## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

July 10, 1997

Mr. G. A. Kuehn, Jr.
Program Director SNEC Facility
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
2574 Interstate Drive
Harrisburg, PA 17110

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (TAC NO. M97155)

Dear Mr. Kuehn:

We are continuing our review of your amendment request for Amended Facility License No. DPR-4 for the GPU Nuclear, Inc., and Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation, Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility. During our review of your amendment request, questions have arisen for which we require additional information and clarification. Please provide responses to the enclosed request for additional information within 30 days of the date of this letter. In accordance with 10 CFR 50.30(b), your response must be executed in a signed original under oath or affirmation. Following receipt of the additional information, we will continue our evaluation of your amendment request.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact me at (301) 415-1127.

Sincerely.

Alexander Adams, Jr., Senjor Project Manager

Non-Power Reactors and Decommissioning

alexander Setamo

Project Directorate

Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-146

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation

cc:

Mr. William P. Dornsife, Director Bureau of Radiation Protection Department of Environmental Protection 13th Floor, Rachel Carson State Office Building P. O. Box 8469 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-8469

Mr. Jim Tydeman 1402 Wall Street Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

Mr. James H. Elder, Chairman Concerned Citizens for SNEC Safety Wall Street Ext. Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

Mr. Ernest Fuller R. D. #1 Six Mile Run, Pennsylvania 16679

Saxton Borough Council ATTN: Peggy Whited, Secretary 9th and Spring Streets Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

Ms. Norma Ickes, Chair Bedford County Commissioners County Court House 203 South Juliana Street Bedford, Pennsylvania 15522

Mr. Larry Sather, Chairman Huntingdon County Commissioners County Court House Huntingdon, Pennsylvania 16652

Saxton Community Library Front Street Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

Mr. Arthur Rone Vice President Nuclear Safety and Technical Services GPU Nuclear Inc. 1 Upper Pond Road Parsippany, New Jersey 07054 Docket No. 50-146 Page 1 of 2

Carbon Township Supervisors ATTN: Penny Brode, Secretary R. D. #1, Box 222-C Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

Hopewell Township Supervisors ATTN: Sally Giornesto, Secretary RR 1 Box 95 James Creek, Pennsylvania 16657-9512

Mr. D. Bud McIntyre, Chairman Broad Top Township Supervisors Broad Top Municipal Building Defiance, Pennsylvania 16633

Mr. Don Weaver, Chairman Liberty Township Supervisors R. D. #1 Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Baltimore District ATTN: S. Snarski/P. Juhle P. O. Box 1715 Baltimore, Maryland 21203

The Honorable Robert C. Jubelirer President Pro-Temp Senate of Pennsylvania 30th District State Capitol Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. William G. Heysek Licensing Department TMI Nuclear Station P. O. Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Mr. Manuel Delgado 2799 Battlefield Road Fishers Hill, Virginia 22626

Mr. Eric Blocher 216 Logan Avenue Wyomissing, Pennsylvania 19610

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037 Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation Docket No. 50-146 Page 2 of 2

cc:

Mr. David Soloksky 30 Russell Street San Francisco, California 94109

Mr. Gene Baker 501 16th Street Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

Mr. Dick Spargo 1004 Main Street Saxton, Pennsylvania 16678

Mr. Tom Strnad TLG Services 148 New Milford Road East Bridgewater, Connecticut 06752

Mr. Gareth McGrath Altoona Mirror 301 Cayuga Avenue Altoona, Pennsylvania 16603

## REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SAXTON NUCLEAR EXPERIMENTAL FACILITY DOCKET NO. 50-146

- 1. Your answer number 1 of May 30, 1997, discussed the containment vessel (CV)/decommissioning support building (DSB) ventilation system. This system acts as an engineered safety feature (ESF) in that credit was taken for operation of the system in the decommissioning accident analysis. Because of this, we require greater detail on the design and operation of the system than was given in your answer. Please provide detailed information on the design of the system including system diagrams. For example, how was the system capacity and stack height determined? Please provide additional detail of the operation of the system. For example, how will the system be operated to ensure a controlled air release path when the CV or DSB are opened for component removal? Will system performance be affected by the method used to reseal the CV after component removal? Do you plan to add a section concerning this ESF to the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR)?
- 2. In your proposed definitions, you have defined the terms exclusion area, site boundary, and unrestricted area. Please compare your definitions with those in 10 CFR Parts 20 and 100. Is there any relation to the term "restricted area" as defined in 10 CFR Part 20? Discuss how you will ensure that no members of the public enter your defined "site boundary" without your knowledge or permission. Explain how unrestricted areas can exist within the site boundary.
- 3. To allow flexibility, the concept was developed that allowed the exclusion area to change size dependent on need as long as the exclusion area remained at least the minimum size shown in Figure 1 of the Technical Specifications (TSs) and extended no further than the security fence. Part of this concept was posting at the site what constituted the exclusion area at a particular point in time. Please include this in your proposed definition of exclusion area.
- 4. In answer number 15 of your letter of May 30, 1997, you state that you will continue the practice of conducting an initial radiological survey at each initial entry after the CV is secured. Your proposed TS states that a radiological survey will be performed if the CV has been secured for a period greater than 24 hours. Please explain this apparent inconsistency. Also, considering the increase in activities that will accompany dismantling of the CV, please justify not conducting a radiological survey at the initial entry into the CV for the day.
- 5. In answer number 16 of your letter of May 30, 1997, you discuss the reasoning for having a Radiation Safety Officer or a Group Radiological Controls Supervisor present for radioactive waste management activities. However, your current TS B.1.c.2 addresses entry and/or maintenance or characterization activities within the CV. You are proposing eliminating this wording. Please justify this change.

 In answer number 19 of your letter of May 30, 1997, you proposed modified wording for TS 3.5.1.5. However, your updated TSs submitted with your letter does not included the modified wording. Please correct your proposed TSs.

You also discussed amending your USAR but did not indicate when this would be accomplished. Because your proposed TSs are dependent on the USAR, please submit the amended USAR.

- 7. In answer number 26 of your letter of May 30, 1997, you proposed scheduling meetings quarterly and holding meetings three times per year. The TS should only focus on the requirement for holding meetings with wording that ensures that the meetings will be held at a regular interval throughout the year.
- 8. In answer number 33 of your letter of May 30, 1997, you state that if a process control program is needed, either a previously approved NRC process control program (PCP) will be used or a new program will be submitted to NRC. Please add to this TS a requirement to submit the PCP to NRC for approval before use at Saxton. This would also pertain to a previously approved program to ensure that the program is applicable to activities at Saxton.