

January 24, 1985

DISTRIBUTION  
Central Files  
DHFS R/F  
LQB R/F  
~~W. J. Sensky~~  
Subject File  
Pending

Mr. Ken Strahm, Director  
Training and Education Division  
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations  
1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Dear Mr. Strahm:

Enclosed is a letter I recently received from Mr. Daniel J. Shea, Jr., President of the Human Engineering Associates, Incorporated, in Houston, Texas. That letter raises an issue concerning the adequacy of the INPO job task analysis (JTA) and expresses the view that the task analysis used to generate training programs should be consistent with or driven by the same task analysis data base used to conduct the control room design reviews and to develop the symptom-based emergency operating procedures. While I clearly recognize that a task analysis for one purpose, e.g., control room design reviews, is not necessarily adequate for another purpose, e.g., design of training programs, Mr. Shea raises a point worthy of further consideration. I would like to know what plans INPO has to compare, to change, or to validate the existing generic INPO-JTA against the task analysis data generated for the Supplement 1 to NUREG-0737 activities. The area that I expect possible changes relates to emergency operating procedures since the INPO-JTA was developed by operators familiar with event based emergency operating procedures and changes are underway to use symptom-based emergency operating procedures.

Further, enclosed for your information, is a copy of a recent memo I received from Region II expressing concerns with the current Oconee Requalification Program.

Sincerely,

~~David~~  
Hugh L. Thompson, Jr., Director  
Division of Human Factors Safety  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:  
As stated

cc: H. Denton  
J. Sniezek  
D. Shea

FCI A-87-787

F/12

DW/DHFS(C)/STRAHM

85 02 06 01 0XA 1 p.

OFFICE	DHFS:D				
USERNAME	HThompson:pab				
DATE	1/24/85				