

(ER 78/716)

United State	es Department of the I	Interior
NA	TIONAL PARK SERVICE	-
RUCKY	MOUNTAIN REGIONAL OFFICE 655 Parfet Street P.O. Box 25287	وديتتمامار
	Denver, Colorado 80225	1911191
SEP 2 8 1978	A	RECEIVE

40-8698

a Raguk

May Sacto

Mr. Richard E. Cunningham Acting Director Division of Fuel Cycle and Material Safety Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Cunningham:

As stated in our previous letter, we are now submitting comments received from Canyonlands National Park's review of the environmental report and related documents for the Shootering Canyon Uranium Project, Garfield County, Utah.

1. Section 2.7 - Meteorology and Air Quality: We are concerned that operation of the plant may contribute to visibility deterioration at Canyonlands National Park during winter stagmant air conditions which can persist for extended periods of time. The Theotering Project alone would probably contribute little to this problem. We are concerned about the cumulative effect of adding new sources of pollutants to those already existing. Loss of visibility and brown, yellow and purple haze coloration have already been observed from the park during winter air stagnation periods. This visibility reduction has been observed from the southwest in the vicinity of the Henry Mountains north along the San Rafael Reef to the Book Cliffs. <u>Class I air most not be adversely</u> affected.

2. Section 10.0 - Alternatives to the Proposed Action: Again, as with our review of the environmental report for the White Mesa Uranium Project earlier, we wonder if it is advisable to continue the proliferation of tailings piles in the Four-Corners Region in light of the following facts:

A. There are active mills and tailings operations at the following locations: 1) Atlas Minerals in Moab, Utah: 2) Rio Algom in LaSal, Utah; 3) UCC (Union Carbide) in Uravan, Colorado.

B. Abandoned tailings piles are located at Monican Hat, Utah; Naturita. Colorado; Slickrock, Colorado; and Shiprock, New Monico.



7812040280

14258

C. Congress is considering a bill for cleaning up uranium tailings that will cost millions of deliara.

D. The Administration's Interagency Review Group (IRG) is developing recommendations for nuclear waste disposal including tailings.

E. The Senate has approved legislation for studies of radiation hazards to be conducted by your Agency and the Environmental Protection Agency.

There are now two new proposed projects-Shootering Canyon and White Mesa-both in southeast Utah. It would seem that the Federal Government has some responsibility in coordinating use of mills and/or tailings ponds already existing rather than allowing the creation of additional problems by the development of more tailings sites. All of the existing sites, active and inactive, should be considered as alternatives. At the very least, consideration should be given to consolidating all new approved projects at one site or at the most two or three sites 'in southeast Utah.

Sincerely yours,

(CGD) JAMES B. THOMPSON



Glen T. Bean Regional Director Rocky Mountain Region

Mr. J. R. Rookstool, Plateau Fesources Limited, Grand Junction CO

cc: