NRC Form 366							1.1.1					ORY COMMISSION								
(9-23)	*				LIC	ENSEE EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)		APPROVED OMB	NO. 3150-0104								
FACILITY NAME	(1)								C	OCKET NUMBER	2)	PAGE (3)								
Joseph M	. Fá	arl	ey -	Unit 2						0 5 0 0	0 3 6 1	4 1 OF 015								
Unit Shu	t Do	own	Due	To Press	ure Boun	dary Leak	age													
EVENT DA																				
MONTH DAY	YE	YEAR YEAR SEGUENTIAL REVISION MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAMES									DOCKET NUMBER(S)									
	1										0 15 0 10	0 0 1								
1209	8	7	87	-0 10	01	0 4 1 1	8 8				0 15 10 10									
OPERATING MODE (9)	1	3			ED PURSUANT 1	TO THE REQUIREM	ENTS OF 10	CFR §: /(I the following) (11										
		1-		402(b) 405(a)(1)(i)		20.405(c) 50.36(c)(1)		-	50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b) 73.71(c)									
LEVEL 0	, 0	0		405(x)(1)(ii)		50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)			pecify in Abstract								
			-	405(a)(1)(00)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i)		-	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A	0		in Text, NRC Form								
			20	.405(a)(1)(ix)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		-	50.73 a)(2)(viii)(8	1										
			20	.406(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(H))			50.73(a)(2)(x)											
					L	ICENSEE CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)												
NAME											TELEPHONE NUN	IBER								
J. D. Wo	oda	rd,	Gen	eral Mana	ger-Nucl	ear Plant				2 0 5	899 -	5156								
	-			COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONEN	TFAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	T (13)										
CAUSE SYSTE	4 O	OMPO	DNENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS									
			1	111						L.L.										
			1	1111					1.1.1	111										
				SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)				EXPECTE		H DAY YEAR								
YES UT VAL	-	era 5.	RECTED	SUBMISSION DAT		X NO				SUBMISSIC DATE 115										
		-		approximately fiftee	and the second second second	101														
	ou ve ca A of sh cl fr an Th	tag re lcu cor th utc ose om d t	te, i abno ilati itain ne B lown er ex the the R leak	t was obs rmally hi on confir ment entr loop resi was made amination RCS loop CS loop. resulted	erved th gh. A r med that y was ma stance t to repai , the pr B cold 1 from a t	he unit a at the co eactor co the RCS de and a emperatur r the lea essure bo eg safety through wa straight	ntainm olant uniden leak w e dete k. Af undary injec ll def	ent c syste tifie as id ctor ter t leak tion ect i	ooler dra m (RCS) 1 d leakage entified (RTD) man he shutdo age was i line betw n a welde	in pot le eakage had incr in the vi ifold. A wn and up dentified een a che d joint b	vels eased. cinity unit on to be ck valve etween									
	pi me Me A (u ev	pir tal cha rev ltr	ng co llurg view rason t rev	ontaining tical eval as the c of constr tic tests vealed that	the defe uation of ause for uction r and radi t no pro	ect has be of the fai crack in adiographic oblems exi of either	en rep led jo itiati s and tests) st on	laced int h on an nonde perf	Result ave ident d propaga structive ormed as	s of the ified a f tion. examinat a result	atigue ions of this	7872 1/1								
	BP S	BO	4150 AD	032 8804 0CK 0500	111 00364 DCD						1.18									
NRC Form 366						19-10-														

NRC Form 368A (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	JATION	and the second s	EQULATORY COMMISSION OMB NO. 3150-0104 31/88				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	T	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
11 · 10 · 5 · 2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	2 R				
Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 6 4	8 7 -		02 OF 05				

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Plant and System Identification:

Westinghouse - Pressurized Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].

Summary of Event

At 1050 on 12-9-87, while the unit was in Mode 3 (Hot Standby), with total pressure boundary leakage of slightly less than one gallon per minute, a leak was discovered in a section of safety injection piping [BP, BQ] on the reactor coolant system (RCS) [AB] loop B cold leg. The unit had been shut down to investigate leakage suspected at a mechanical joint on the B loop resistance temperature detector (RTD) manifold [JC, JD].

Description of Event

At 2255 on 12-8-87, with the unit at 33% power following a refueling outage, it was observed that the containment cooler drain pot levels were abnormally high. An RCS leakage calculation confirmed that the RCS unidentified leakage had increased. At 0030 on 12-9-87, a containment entry was made and a steam leak in the vicinity of the B loop RTD manifold was observed. This leak was suspected to be at one of several mechanical joints in the RTD manifold. A unit shutdown was made to repair the leak. The unit was shut down (reactor trip breakers open) by 1016 on 12-9-87. After shutdown and upon closer examination, it was determined that the RTD manifold was not leaking but pressure boundary leakage was identified on the RCS loop B cold leg safety injection line between a check valve and the RCS loop.

The unit was placed in Mode 5 (Cold Shutdown) in accordance with Technical Specification action statement requirement 3.4.7.2.a. Cold Shutdown was entered at 1916 on 12-9-87.

Cause of Event

The leak resulted from a through wall defect in a welded joint between a long radius elbow and a straight section of pipe. A section of piping with the defect intact was removed and sent to the hot cell facility at the Vectinghouse R&D Center for failure analysis.

NRC Form 366A (9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT (LER) TEXT CON	TINUATION		LATORY COMMISSION 8 NO. 3150-0104 8
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUM	BER (6)	PAGE (3)
		물건 것 같은 것 같아.	YEAR SEQUE	NTIAL REVISION NUMBER	

0 5 0 0 0 3 6 4 8 7

0 1 0

-01

Results of the metallurgical evaluation of the failed joint identified fatigue as the cause for crack initiation and propagation. Temperature and vibration instrumentation programs were implemented to identify a likely source of fatigue loading which could have caused the crack to initiate and propagate through the wall. The instrumentation program showed that vibration levels had a negligible effect. However, the instrumentation program showed that thermal stratification (top to bottom thermal gradient in the piping) and thermal cycling (cyclic changes of temperature) were taking place in the piping. Based on a fluid systems review and following flow diversion tests (valve realignments), it was concluded that the observed thermal transients were due to valve leakage through a one-inch isolation valve between the charging and the SI system. This leakage flow was diverted away from the reactor coolant loop resulting in the termination of the thermal stratification and thermal cycling that was observed

Reportability Analysis and Safety Assessment

between the check valve and the RCS loop.

Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 2

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 395A's/ (17)

This event is reportable because the unit was shut down (entered Cold Shutdown) in accordance with the requirements of Technical Specification 3.4.7.2. The RCS leakage was well within the makeup capability of the normal charging pump and there was no radiological release associated with this event. Therefore, the health and safety of the public were not affected.

Corrective Action

Cooldown and depressurization in accordance with Unit Operating Procedures was commenced to Cold Shutdown. A containment sump level watch was maintained during cooldown and depressurization to determine if RCS leakage increased in magnitude.

An inservice inspection ultrasonic test (UT) was performed in accordance with the applicable ASME code requirement in April of 1986 on this weld with no reportable indications observed. Using the same examination technique, no reportable indication was identified on the cracked weld. An enhanced UT technique was used to identify the crack. All subsequent UT examinations were made using this enhanced technique.

A review of construction radiographs was performed with no cause for the defect being found. The section of the piping containing the defect has been replaced. Ultrasonic tests were performed on the corresponding welds on the cold leg injection lines of both units using the enhanced technique and no similar indications were identified. Radiography was performed to resolve any question from the review of the construction radiographs and the ultrasonic inspections.

OF 05

OB

(9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	ORT (LEF	R) 1	TE)	KT (ON	TINU	JATIC	N			AP	PROVED PROVED	OMB NO.					
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)								LE	-	MBER (6)		1	PAGE (3)				
							YEAR	1		UENTIA	1	NUMBER		OF						
Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit		0 18		0 5 0 0 0		10	3	6 4	8 7	-	0 1 0		-		οµ	04	0			

A walkdown was performed on piping inside the bioshield on both Unit 1 and Unit 2. The purpose of this walkdown was to check the general condition of the piping and supports for evidence of interference with the thermal movement of the lines. The attributes considered during the walkdowns were:

1. clearance around piping and supports

design input data used in the analyses.

- 2. snubber visual inspection
- 3. pipe geometry was compared to isometric
- 4. pipe supports location, type, direction and condition
- 5. any unusual condition

No discrepancies or unusual conditions which could have caused the failure were identified during the piping walkdown.

Based on the stress analysis verification and the walkdown effort, no design deficiency was found which could have resulted in the pipe failure.

Temporary instrumentation has been installed to allow temperature and vibration data to be collected during startup and for some period after achieving steady state full power operation. The following instrumentation has been installed on the pipe in which the defect occurred:

- Five RTDs were installed circumferentially on the pipe between the check valve and the RCS loop.
- Five additional RTDs were installed circumferentially around the pipe upstream of the check valve.
- Two accelerometers were installed to monitor the horizontal movement at the elbow where the defect had occurred.
- Three accelerometers were installed upstream of the check valve. Two of these accelerometers monitor the horizontal movement while the remaining accelerometer monitors the vertical movement.

As a reference, instrumentation was also installed on the safety injection line to the C RCS loop. Loop C was chosen to be instrumented because of its design similarities to loop B.

1. Two RTDs (one on top and one on bottom of the pipe) were installed downstream of the check valve.

NRC Form 366A (9-83)	LIC	CENSEE	EV	ENT	REPO	RT	(L	ER) т	EX	т	co	NT	INU	AT	01	N			U.\$.	APP	ROVED	омв				HON
FACILITY NAME (1)					DC	OCK	ET	NUM	BER	(I)				1	-	LE	R NU	MBER	(6)			T		AGE	(3)		
															YEA	A		SEQU	JENT	AL		REVISIO NUMBEI	7 8		T		
Farle	y Nuclear	Plant	-	Unit	2	0	1	5	0	0	0	1 3	6	514	8	7	_	0	1	0	_	0 11	0	15	OF	0	15

- Two RTDs (one on top and one on bottom of the pipe) were installed upstream of the check valve.
- Accelerometers were installed in an arrangement similar to those on the B loop.

A review of data collected during startup following the repair revealed thermal stratification and cyclic temperature changes due to slight leakage through the boron injection tank bypass line through the B cold leg injection line. This stratification and thermal cycling has been stopped by diverting the leakage away from the injection line. A thermal stratification is still indicated in the B cold leg injection line upstream of the check valve (opposite side of the check valve from the crack). Also, in the same area, a small periodic cyclic temperature change has been noted (normally several weeks elapse between cycles). These fluctuations appear to be initiated by system disturbances, i.e., valve stroking and starting or stopping of pumps. These conditions have been evaluated and are acceptable for the present operating cycle with the current rate of occurrences. Monitoring of the temperatures in the line will continue through the remainder of the cycle. No abnormal vibration was observed on the line where the defect occurred.

In addition, visual walkdowns of the piping support system were performed during heatup in preparation for plant startup. The walkdowns verified that the piping was moving as predicted and was not binding.

The stress, fatigue and fracture mechanics evaluations have been completed which show that the six-inch SI/RHR piping to cold leg loop B, following repair and elimination of the observed thermal cycling, maintains its structural integrity and meets the ASME III design requirements.

Additional Information

This event would not have been more sovere if it had occurred under different operating conditions. No components failed during this event. Upon satisfactory testing, the unit returned to power operation on 12-27-87 at 1341.

Alabama Power Company 600 North 18th Street Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291-0400 Telephone 205 250-1835

R. P. McDonald Senior Vice President



April 11, 1988

Docket No. 50-364

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 2 Licensee Event Report No. LER 87-010-01

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, Licensee Event Report No. LER 87-010-01 is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

R. P. McDonald

RPM/JAR:dst-V2.38

Enclosure

cc: IE, Region II