NIAGARA MOHAWK

NMP-2833

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/300 ERIE BOULEVARD WEST, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13202/TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511

January 16, 1981

Director, Office of Management
Information and Program Control
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-220
DPR - 63

Gentlemen:

Attached is a copy of the list of modifications which were installed or completed during 1980. This list was inadvertently omitted when the Report of Operating Statistics and Shutdown Experience for December, 1980, was submitted.

In the future this report will be made a regular part of the monthly operating report.

Very truly yours,

Thomas E. Lempges

Thomas E. Lempges
Vice President
Nuclear Generation

TEL:jl
Attachment
xc: Director, Office of I&E (10 copies)

A008
S, 1/1

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS
POOR QUALITY PAGES

8101230580 R

The following modifications were installed and completed during 1980 and are safety-related.

79.12 Anticipated Transient Without Scram - Work Package #1
Reactor Recirc. Pump Trip on High Reactor Pressure and Low-Low Reactor Level

80.07 Vent & Purge Valve Mechanical Stops
Limit opening on containment MOV & AOV isolation valves to 40% stroke

80.11 Reroute Cable P wer Board 101 to Power Board 103
Provide separation on cable route of normal supply to P.B. 103 (E.D.G.)

80.43 Torus Saddles
Provide additional support to torus shell

80.50 Torus Room Vent Penetrations
Provide penetrations to torus containment room above flooding level to allow ventilation for 80.43 work

80.64 Separate Fuel Oil Lines to Diesel Generators
Eliminate inner tie on diesel generator fuel supply lines

80.70 APRM - LPRM Selection
Provide additional LPRM selections for APRM's to eliminate failed LPRM chambers from APRM assignment

78.34 Fire Protection - Phase 1 and 2
Structural modifications safety and non-safety related to provide stair towers and area separation for fire protection

A safety analysis was performed for each safety-related modification. For each modification, the probability of occurrance or the possibility a different type of an accident or malfunction than those evaluated in the safety analysis report did not change or were enhanced. Also the margin of safety as defined in the basis of the Technical Specifications did not change.

Based on the above, these modifications did not constitute any unreviewed safety questions.