#### Northeast Utilities Millstone - Unit 3

### Independent Corrective Action Verification Program (ICAVP)

#### Modification Review Checklist

CK-MP3-03-11, Rev. 2

Seismic Qualification Checklist

Prepared by:	J. L. TONWINKER Name	Signature	6/9/97 Date
Approved by:	A.A. WERI Name	Signature / //2	Date 7
	IMPLI	EMENTATION	
System			
Modification	No./Description		000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00
Verified by:		Date:	NOT DESTRUCTIVE OF A CAPACITY SERVICE SERVICE OF THE SERVICE SERVICE OF THE SERVICE SE
Concurrence	by:	Date:	AND APPLICATION OF THE SECTION AND ADDRESS.
CONTROL ORGEN AND THE PARTY AND ADDRESS.		S	heet 1 of

(ck-mp3-03-11, Rev. 2, Page 1) C:CK3-0311.DOC g:\transfer\srcheck.doc

Northeast	Utilitie	Ħ
Millstone	Unit 3	

	CK-MP3-03-11
	System
Modi	fication No.
	Sheet of
Attachment B	
Seismic Qualification Review Checklist	

#### Instructions

This checklist shall be used for the design process document review process described in PI-MP3-02 and for the modification review process described in PI-MP3-03.

- The Lead Verifier shall enter the System and, if applicable, the Modification 1. Number/Description on the checklist cover sheet.
- If reviewing a modification, the Lead Verifies or Discipline Verifier shall first determine 2. whether the modification includes the addition of components subject to seismic qualification. If no such new components are being added by the modification, Attachment A and B of the checklist are not applicable, and the Verifier shall complete Attachment C only. For all other modifications, and for the system review, Attachments A, B, and C shall be completed as instructed herin. The Lead Verifier or Discipline Verifiers shall determine which components in the System or Modification are subject to seismic qualification and list those components on Attachment A of this checklist. Note that components which are identical or similar may be grouped on Attachment A such that only one Component Seismic Qualification Review Checklist (CSQRC) is prepared for that group of components. Grouping in this fashion should be based not only on component similarity, but also on the Millstone seismic qualification documentation. When Attachment A has been completed for the applicable System or Modification, print, sign, and date the bottom of Attachment A.
- Based on Attachment A, the Lead Verifier or Discipline Verifiers shall complete a 3. CSORC - see Attachment B of this checklist - for each component or group of components listed in Attachment A. Each CSQRC shall be numbered sequentially for the System or Modification as delineated in Attachment A. If it becomes necessary to revise the numbering sequence, Attachment A shall be updated to agree. In completing Attachment B, the following shall be observed:
  - An answer shall be provided to each question; no questions are to be left blank. a.
  - Not Applicable (N/A) shall only be used where an "N/A" blank is provided in the b. checklist.
  - Whenever the answer to a question is "No," meaning that the seismic qualification C. aspect being reviewed is unsatisfactory, a Comment No. shall be entered in the right hand column of the checklist, and a comment shall be entered on the checklist provided at the end of Attachment B. Comments shall be numbered sequentially within an individual CSQRC. In addition, for each unsatisfactory response, the Preparer shall initiate a Discrepancy Report (DR) in accordance with PI-MP3-11. The DR number shall be referenced in the comment in Attachment B.

Northeast	Utili	ties
Millstone	Unit	3

	CK-MP3-03-11
	System
Modif	fication No.
	Sheet of
Attachment B	
Seismic Qualification Review Checklist	

When the Attachment B CSQRC has been completed, the Preparer shall print, d. sign, and date at the end of the document in the space provided.

When all of the individual CSQRCs have been completed for a System or Modification, they shall be assembled together with Attachment A and the main checklist for the Lead Verifier to review. The Lead Verifier shall then print, sign, and date the CK-MP3-03-11 cover sheet to signify that the seismic qualification review has been completed for the applicable System or Modification.

	CI	K-MP3-03-11
Syste	em	
Modification	Ne.	
	Sheet	of

Seismic Qualification Review Checklist				
Attachment B Checklist No.	Component No.(s)	Description		
			AND	

(Include additional sheets as necessary. Sign and date final sheet only.)

Prepared by:

Name Signature Date

	CI	K-MP	3-4	3-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet	MATERIAL RESIDENCE	of	-

Che	cklist N	o.	Component No.(s)
A.	COM	PONENT IDENTIFICATION	
	Spec.	:	/endor:
	Desci	ription:	
	Manu No.:	ufacturer/Model	
B.	DOC	CUMENT AND SOURCE IDEN	TIFICATION (Identify document no. and rev. or date)
	B1.	Qualification Report:	
	B2.	Postulated Dynamic Loads:	
	B3.	Classification:	
	B4.	Mounting Details:	
	B5.		
C.	CON	ICLUSION OF REVIEW:	
		Accepted Re	ejected
	Com	ments:	

### D. REFERENCES:

D1. IEEE-344-1975 "IEEE Recommended Practices for Seismic Qualification of Class IE Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations"

(ck-mp3-03-11, Rev. 2, Page 5) C:CK3-0311.DOC g:\tran\fer\srcheck.doc

	CI	K-MP	3-4	13-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet		of	*********

- D2. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.61 "Damping Values for Seismic Design of Nuclear Power Plants"
- D3. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.92 "Combining Modal Responses and Spatial Components in Seismic Response Analysis"
- D4. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.100 "Seismic Qualification of Electric Equipment for Nuclear Power Plants"

### E. CLASSIFICATION AND FUNCTION

E1.	Classification
-	Nuclear Safety-Related Active: Component must operate and/or remain functional:
	during and after postulated dynamic events
	only after postulated dynamic events
	Nuclear Safety-Related Passive: The structural integrity and/or pressure integrity of the component must be demonstrated during and after postulated dynamic events.  Operability is not required.
Augustomers Comm	Non-Safety-Related Seismic: Component has no safety-related function but must remain intact during and after postulated dynamic events.
E2.	The component's safety-related function(s) is:
LOC	ATION AND MOUNTING
F1.	Location
	Building:
	Elevation:
F2.	Field Mounting Condition
	Panel/pipe/HVAC dust:
	Wall/floor:

	CI	K-MP3-	03-11
Syste	em		
Modification	No.		
	Sheet	of	-

	Other:
F3.	Field Mounting Method
	Expansion anchor bolts
	Embedded anchor bolts
	Welding
	Other:
REQ	UIRED VIBRATORY INPUT
G1.	Postulated Dynamic Loads to be Considered
	Seismic
	Seismic and hydrodynamic
	Other:
G2.	Frequency range associated with postulated loads:
G3.	Postulated dynamic loads defined as:
	Response Spectra
	a. Method of combining required response spectra:
	Not applicable, only seismic loads postulated.
	Absolute sum
	SRSS

	CI	K-MP3	-03-11
Syste	em		-
Modification	No.		
	Sheet	0	f

	b.	Damping:					
		Upset/Service Level B					
		Emergency/Service Level C Faulted/Service Level D		WINE OF \$1 PK PK			
	c.	Basis for damping:					
		Seismic Coefficients	(Required	accelera	ation in ea	ach direction)	
	a.	Upset/Service Level B:	H1		H <sub>2</sub>	v	
	b.	Emergency/Service Level C Faulted/Service Level D	- Monte		H <sub>2</sub>	v	_
				YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
G4.		these dynamic loads defi- ipment mounting?	ned at				
MET	HOD	OF QUALIFICATION					
	Sta	tic Analysis T	est				
		namic					
	Oth	ner:	- CONTRACTOR - CON				
adeq	e qua	ulification method used car y demonstrating the comp perform its safety function	onent's				

	CI	K-MP	3-0	3-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet		of	

		YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
QUA	ALIFICATION BY TESTING				
11.	Does the qualification report identify the component tested and is this component identical to the component being installed?	587-50-0000000	-		
	If no, has similarity been adequately demonstrated?		-		
12.	Mounting				
	Does the qualification report identify the test mounting and does the test mounting simulate the actual service mounting in regard to:				
	a. Mounting method (bolt or weld)			***************************************	
	b. Bolt torque requirements or anti-loosening requirements?	-			*************
	c. Mounting orientation?	-		-	
I3.	Interfacing Connections and Structures				
	Does the qualification report adequately address the effect of the following interfaces:				
	a. Piping?				
	b. Electrical conduit?	**********			
	c. Instrumentation tubing?				***************************************

14. Test Input Motion

15.

16.

	CI	K-MP3	1-03-11
Syste	em		
Modification	No.		
	Sheet	(	of

## Attachment B Seismic Qualification Review Checklist

	YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/
Single Axis; does the qualification report adequately account for cross-coupling effects in accordance with IEEE-344-1975, Section 6.6.6?				
Component is rigid, no possibility of cross-coupling.	_			
Dependent (pseudo) biaxial				
Independent biaxial				
Independent triaxial				
Are the number of test orientations in accordance with IEEE-344-1975, Section 6.6.6?		Assessment		
Test Input Waveform				
Sine Dwell (Single Frequency)				
a. Does the qualification report adequately account for multimode excitation in accordance with IEEE-344-1975, Section 6.6.2?		***************************************		
Component is rigid, no possibility of multimode excitation	1.			

b. Is the sinusoidal input motion applied at:

	CI	K-MP	3-0	3-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet		of	

		YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
	1. Frequencies spaced no further than ½ octave intervals.	***************************************			
	2. Each equipment's natural frequency?	-			
c.	Is the test duration in accordance with IEEE-344-1975, Section 6.6.5 (equivalent to five OBEs and one SSE where the duration of each event is equivalent to the duration of the strong motion portion of the SSE?)				
d.	Is the peak amplitude of		-	Madellar har sakshir Arribushusur sindi, er samas madi	
	the input greater than or equal to the ZPA of the RRS?	00.00°E		SIGNATA SERVININA SERVININ	
Sine	Beat (Single Frequency)				
a.	Does the qualification report adequately account for multimode excitation in accordance with IEEE-344-1975, Section 6.6.2?				
	Component is rigid, no possibility of multimode excitation.				

	CK-MP3-03-11	l
Syste	em	
Modification	No.	
	Sheet of	

COMMENT

		YES	NO	NUMBER	N/A
b.	Are the sine beats applied	at:			
	1. Frequencies spaced no further than 1/2 octave intervals.				
	2. Each equipment's natural frequency?				_
c.	Is there a sufficient pauce between application of beats (at any one frequency) to avoid superposition of equipment response motion?				
d.	Is the test duration in accordance with IEEE-344-1975, Section 6.0.5 (equivalent to five OBEs and one SSE where the duration of each event is equivalent to the duration of the strong motion portion of the SSE)?		occurrence and the second		
e.	Is the peak amplitude of the input greater than or equal to the				

	CI	K-MP	3-4	3-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet		of	-

		YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
Rando	om Motion (Mutilfrequency	)			
a.	Is the input motion controlled using 1/3 octave or narrower bandwidth filters?		-		
b.	Is the amplitude of the input controlled such that:				
	1. The peak amplitude of the input is greater than or equal to the ZPA of the RRS.	_			
	2. The TRS envelopes the RRS?				
c.	Is the duration of the test input in accordance with IEEE-344-1975, Section 6.6.5 (equivalent to five OBEs and one SEE where the duration of each event is equivalent to the duration of the strong motion portion of the SSE but is not less than 15 second)?				

17. Dynamic Characteristics

Natural frequencies not determined.

	CI	K-MP	3-03	-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet		of _	

COMMENT NUMBER

N/A

### Attachment B Seismic Qualification Review Checklist

YES NO

Natural frequencies: H  $H_2$ V These frequencies were determined via: Sine Sweep, frequency range input acceleration sweep rate Other: Normal Operating Conditions Does the qualification report adequately address the following normal operating loads: Electrical Loads? Mechanical Loads? Thermal Loads? Pressure? Operating vibration? (rotating equipment)

(ck-mp3-03-11, Rev. 2, Page 14) C:CK3-0311.DOC g:\transfer\srcheck.doc

18.

J.

	CI	K-MP	3-03	-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet	-	of_	

# Attachment B Seismic Qualification Review Checklist

		YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
19.	Monitoring				
	a. Was the monitoring of the component adequate to evaluate its performance?	_			
	b. Was the operability of the component verified?			-	
	c. Did the component's performance satisfy functional requirements?	_			
110	Disposition of Test Anomalies				
	Have all test anomalies been adequately dispositioned?	_		-	
QUA	ALIFICATION BY ANALYSIS				
J1.	Type of Analysis				
	Static Analysis				
	Simplified Dynamic Analysis				
	Response Spectrum Analysis				
	Time History Analysis				
	Other:				
J2.	Analytical Model				
	Finite Element				
	Other:				

(ck-mp3-03-11, Rev. 2, Page 15) C:CK3-0311.DOC g:\transfer\srcheck.doc

	CI	K-MP3-03-11
Syste	em	
Modification	No.	
	Sheet	of

		YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
J3.	Method of Analysis				
	Computer-Aided Calculation				
	a. Programs used:				
	b. Is there evidence that the programs used have				
	been validated?				
	Yes No				
	Hand Calculation				
J4.	Operating Loads				
	Does the qualification report adequately address the following normal operating loads?				
	a. Piping nozzle reactions?				
	b. Electrical conduit reactions?		-		*********
	c. Pressure?				
	d. Thermal expansion?		***********	***************************************	
	e. Startup torque for rotating equipment?	_			_
J5.	Static/Simplified Dynamic Analysis				

(ck-mp3-03-11, Rev. 2, Page 16) C:CK3-0311.DOC g:\transfer\srcheck.doc

	CI	K-MP3-03-1	1
Syste	em		
Modification	No.		
	Sheet	of	

		YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
	a. Is the analysis method used justified in accordance with the guidelines established by NRC Regulatory Guide 1.100, Section C1?				
		-	A new manufacture services	Committee Committee of the Committee of	
	b. Do the seismic coefficients used in the analysis envelope the required input postulated to occur at the equipment base?		***********		
	c. Are the seismic coefficients applied in each of the three orthogonal directions simultaneously?				
			1 PROBABILITY CONTRACTOR		
16.	Response Spectra Modal Analysis				
	a. Do the response spectra used in the analysis envelop the RRS?				
	<ul> <li>b. Does the model used adequately represent the equipment's:</li> </ul>				
	1. Mass distribution?			***************************************	
	2. Stiffness characteristics?				
	3. Boundary conditions?				
	c. Is the cutoff frequency for the analysis adequate to ensure that the effect of all significant modes is considered?	_	MANA PARENTA		
	d. Is the method of combining modal responses in accordance with NRC Regulatory Guide. 1.92?				

K.

	CK-MP3-03-11
Syste	em
Modification	No.
	Sheet of

		YES	NO	COMMENT NUMBER	N/A
J7.	Results				
	a. Are the correct allowable stresses identified?				
	b. Does the analysis demonstrate that all stresses are below the allowable?				
	c. Does the analysis adequately assure operability by demonstrating that all deflections/clearances are within the allowables?				
DESI	IGN REQUIREMENTS/CONSTRAINTS				
K1.	Does the qualification report identify any design requirements/constraints which need to be implemented by parties other than the supplier (and/or his subcontractor, if any)?				
				AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IN COLUMN	
	If no, go to Section L.				
	If yes, list these requirements/constraints in the comments section and provide references. Then complete Item K2.				
K2.	Are these design requirements/ constraints incorporated (as applicable) in the:				
	a. Design Change Documents for existing configurations?  If we specify to the comments section	_			

	CI	K-MP3-	03-11
Syste	em		
Modification	No.		
	Sheet	of	

					COMMENT	
			YES	NO	NUMBER	N/A
		b. appropriate design documents (including vendor drawings) for new or alternate replacement components or new construction within the design scope?	_			
L.	DOC	CUMENTATION				
	L1.	Does the qualification report define all input data and assumptions?				
	L2.	Does the report indicate that it has been reviewed and approved by the originating organization?				
	L3.	For test reports:				
		<ul><li>a. Is the test facility identified?</li><li>b. Is the test equipment along with date of last calibration identified?</li><li>c. Is the report complete (i.e., no pages missing)?</li></ul>	_			

Prepared by:			
ricpared by.	WHEN PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH	MATERIAL CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND SEC	
	Name	Signature	Date

Northeast	Utilities	
Millstone	Unit 3	

	CI	K-MP	3-03	-11
Syste	em			
Modification	No.			
	Sheet		of _	

	Attachme	ent B	
Seismic	Qualification	Review	Checklist

Comment No.	Comment
The Manufacture of Landson St. Manufacture of the Association of St. Manufacture of St. M	
THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH	
1950 METO-PRODUCTURE RECEIVAND PRODUCTURE PR	
AN ORDER OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	
Antide collect allows allowed to be a second and the second and th	
SUCCESSION OF THE PROPERTY BY A PERSONNEL PROPERTY BY A PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	

Attach additional pages as necessary.

Northeast Utilities	CK-MP3-03-1	11
Millstone Unit 3	System	
	Modification No.	
	Sheet of	
	A441	

Attachment C
Seismic Qualifications Review Checklist for Modifications

Identify the potential impact of the modification on the seismic qualification of existing systems, structures, or components, discuss how this impact was addressed by the modification, and indicate whether this was adequately addressed and why it is adequate. If it is determined that this issue was not adequately addressed, initiate a Discrepancy Report (DR) as required by PI-MP3-11, and include reference to the DR number in the Attachment C. (Use the continuation page as necessary.)

The effect of the modification on seismic qualifications of existing systems, structures, and components was adequately addressed.

Yes

No

Prepared by

Date

Northeast	U	tili	ties
Millstone	U	nit	3

	CK	-MP3-	03-11
Syste	m		
Modification	No.		
	Sheet _	of	*******
Attachment C			
Seismic Qualification Review Checklist for Modifications			

(Continuation Page)