

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD
CONTENTIONS OF CHARLES L. MICHULKA, ESO.

1. I contend that I should not have to show specific contentions until after I receive the EIS and have at least 3 weeks to study it.
2. I contend that that I wish to be a full intervenor not limited.
3. I contend that a seperate hearing will have to be held on the safety issues since the final Safety Study is not yet finished so I can't make any contentions about safety yet except to the extent that it affects the environment.
4. I contend that the Final EIS (borrowed) does not adequately discuss the effects upon the Brazos River due to the construction of the Allens Creek power plant. Most obvious is the fact that absolutely no discussion has been made of the known plan to do extensive dredging in the Brazos so that the large reactor vessel may be shipped by barge. Neither has the increased flooding caused by the large levee across from Valley Lodge subdivision been discussed. It will cause thousands of additional acres to be flooded and later placed in the regulatory floodway designated by the Federal Flood Insurance. That land then becomes useless for development purposes. Neither is the discussion of thermal effects and intake construction sufficiently detailed as it relates to the Brazos. Neither has the concentration effects of radioactivity in the plants and fish of the Brazos been discussed. People who eat fish and water fowl that eat from the Brazos River and cooling lake will increase the radiation dose to their bodies which will increase their chance of cancer and genetic damage.
5. The EIS does not sufficiently cover the effects of transportation of nuclear waste and fuel related to the Allens Creek plant. No specific routes nor the amount of radiation received by people in Stafford, Texas is even mentioned. Can you promise that no nuclear waste will be passing through Stafford by rail or truck? Yours truly, Charles L. Michulka