

/ 317 BARONNE STREET . 2. O. BOX 60340 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70160 . (504) 595-3100

January 21, 1988

W3P88-0.01 A4.05 QA

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Waterford SES Unit 3 Docket No. 50-382 Cycle 3 Reload Analysis

Gentlemen:

On October 27, 1987 LP&L met with a number of NRR managers to discuss the ongoing Cycle 3 reload analyses and plans for the upcoming second refueling outage. During the meeting we noted that preliminary Cycle 3 reload analysis results indicated the potential for Cycle 3 safety analyses to be bounded by the results of previous cycles.

The Cycle 3 reload analyses have subsequently been completed and verified. Due to the relatively minor differences between Cycles 2 and 3, the Cycle 3 safety analyses (in general, the FSAR Chapter 15 events) have been determined to be less limiting than the corresponding analyses of record from previous cycles. As a consequence, no Technical Specification changes due to reload analyses are necessary to support Cycle 3.

LP&L has performed a 10CFR50.59 evaluation covering those aspects of Cycle 3 which normally would have been submitted to you in a Reload Analysis Report. We have concluded that no unreviewed safety question exists for the Cycle 3 reload.

We wish to thank you for the time and attention afforded us in October and are pleased to confirm our original expectations for the Cycle 3 reload analyses. Should you require further information please feel free to contact me or Mike Meisner at (504) 595-2832.

Yours very truly,

Q. 4 Bunt

Nuclear Safety &

Regulatory Affairs Manager

RFB/MJM/plm

E.L. Blake, W.M. Stevenson, J.A. Calvo, J.H. Wilson, R.D. Martin, D.M. Crutchfield, NRC Resident Inspector's Office (W3)