NRC Form 366 (9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)				NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88								
FACILITY NAME (1)							00	CKET NUMBER	(2) PAGE (3)				
Joseph M. Far	ley - Unit 1						0	15 10 10	10 3 4 8 1 OF 0 3				
Within Th	Error Results e Required Time	In Requ	red	Fire	Wato	h Pati	rol Not Bei	ing Esta	blished				
EVENT DATE (5)					OTHER FA	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)							
MONTH DAY YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES			G JOKET NUMBERIS				
						J. M.	Farley - U	Jnit 2	0 5 0 0 0 1				
0 2 1 9 8 8	88 - 004	0 0	0 3	1 7	8 8				0 5 0 0 0 1 1				
OPERATING	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED	PURSUANT T	O THE BI	EQUIREM	ENTS OF	10 CFR 8: 1	Check one or more of	the followings (1	1)				
MODE (9)	20.402(b)		20,406(p)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)				
POWER 1 0 0	20.405(a)(1)(i)		50.38(c)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(e) OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form				
LEVEL 1 0 0	2v.405(a)(1)(ii)	X	50.38(c)	50.38(e)(2) 50		50.73(a)(2)(vii)							
20.406(a)(1)(lii)		X	50.73(a)(2)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)			366A)				
	20.405(a)(1)(lv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(8)		The second second						
	20.405(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)	(2)(iii)			50,73(a)(2)(x)						
		L	ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THE	S LER (12)			The Parish to Market				
NAME								AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NUMBER				
J. D. Woodard	, General Manag	er-Nucl	ear !	lant					8 9 9 1- 5 1 5 6				
	COMPLETE C	NE LINE FOR	EACH CO	MPONEN	T FAILUR	E DESCRIBE	ED IN THIS REPORT	(13)					
CAUSE S STEM COMP	ONENT MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS			CAUS	ESYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS				
						+							

At approximately 1545 on 2-19-88, with the unit operating in steady state at 100% reactor power, it was discovered that an hourly fire watch patrol had not been established as required. Upon discovery of the missed fire watch patrol, the required fire watch patrol was established immediately.

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

This event was caused by personnel error. The individual involved in this event has been counseled.

JE22

EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15) YEAR

DAY

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines). (16)

		æ.		-	56	
NR IO	MG.	т	QE F	n.	ж	ø,

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER
Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 4 8	8 8 8 - 0 0 4 - 0 p 0 p 0 F 0 F

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Plant and System Identification

Westinghouse - Pressurized Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].

Summary of Event

At approximately 1545 on 2-19-88, with the unit operating in steady state at 100% reactor power, it was discovered that an hourly fire watch patrol had not been established as required. Upon discovery of the missed fire watch patrol, the required fire watch patrol was established immediately.

Description of Event

At 1415 on 2-19-88, the Day shift Unit Operator asked the Day shift Shift Foreman if fire watch coverage existed so that he could disable the fire alarm system 1D-116 on the main control room fire alarm panel [IC]. This was desired because one of the Diesel Building fire detection systems, 1D-116, was alarming spuriously. The Shift Foreman gave the Unit operator permission to disable the alarm.

Technical Specifications require that an hourly fire watch patrol be established within one hour in the area covered by this fire detection system. A continuous fire watch had already been established in the area covered by 1D-116 due to the temporary location of oil drums there. However, when this alarm was disabled, the alarms for all other areas of the Diesel Building were also made inoperable. The Shift Foreman knew how the fire alarm system worked, but did not consider that fire watch patrols had to be established for all other areas of the Diesel Building. During shift turnover, it was discussed that 1D-116 was alarming, and that a continuous fire watch had already been posted. However, it was not mentioned that the fire alarms for the entire Diesel Building had been disabled.

Subsequently, at 1545 on 2-19-88, the Evening shift Unit Operator questioned the Evening shift Shift Foreman as to whether a fire watch patrol had been established. The Shift Foreman discovered the error and immediately established the required fire watch patrol. The fire watch patrol was maintained until the fire alarm was returned to service.

Cause of Event

This event was caused by a cognitive personnel error. The Day shift Shift Foreman failed to recognize the requirement to establish a fire watch patrol to all Diesel Building areas. He also failed to tell the Evening shift Shift Foreman that the master alarm was disabled.

P-83) LICENSEE EVENT REPO	LIGHTON STATES THE STATES AND THE ST							GULATORY COMMISS ON OMB NO. 3150-0104 1/88			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)				
		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION					
Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 4 8	8 8	_	0 0 4	-	ор	ов	OF	ов		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Reportability Analysis and Safety Assessment

This event is reportable because the hourly fire watch patrol required by Technical Specification 3.3.3.9 was not established within one hour as required. The fire watch patrol was posted approximately 30 minutes past the required time. However, personnel were working in several areas of the Diesel Building during this time. Also, a continuous fire watch had already been established in one part of the Diesel Building due to another requirement. Further, if a fire alarm had occurred in the Diesel Building during this time, an alarm would have appeared on the CRT monitor in the main control room.

There was no effect on plant operation. Also, no fire occurred during the time that the alarm was disabled. If a fire had occurred, it is likely that it would have been noticed before any substantial damage was done. Additionally, the Diesel Building carbon dioxide fire protection system was not affected by this event. Therefore, the health and safety of the public were not affected by this event. This event would not have been more severe if it had occurred under a different operating condition.

Corrective Action

The Shift Foreman responsible for establishing the required fire watch patrol has been counseled. Also, due to the number of errors that have been made in the area of fire protection, a study will be conducted to determine how the fire protection administrative controls can be improved and upgraded.

Additional Information

The following LER's involved personnel errors by the Shift Foreman in the establishing of fire watches.

Unit 1: LERs 84-013-00, 84-015-00, 84-022-00, 86-013-00, 87-006-00 Unit 2: (Docket Number 05000365): LERs 84-007-00, 85-007-00, 85-013-00

No components failed during this event.

Alabama Power Company 600 North 18th Street Post Office Box 2641 Birmingham, Alabama 35291-0400 Telephone 205 250-1835

R. P. McDonald Senior Vice President



March 17, 1988

Docket No. 50-348

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1 Licensee Event Report No. LER 88-004-00

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Licensee Event Report No. LER 88-004-00 is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

R. P. McDonald

RPM/JAR:dst-D-LER

Enclosure

cc: IE, Region II

IE22