



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 56 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-11 AND
AMENDMENT NO. 37 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-18
COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY
LASALLE COUNTY STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-373 AND 50-374

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated November 9, 1987, the Commonwealth Edison Company (licensee) proposed a change to the LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications (TS). This proposed amendment involves an administrative change to revise the language to that requested by the NRC in GL 83-43.

2.0 EVALUATION

The administrative Technical Specifications for LaSalle County Station were reviewed for the new LER Rule, 10 CFR 50.73, in May of 1984 and approved by the NRC in May of 1985. The changes consisted of replacing the words "reportable occurrence" with "reportable event" and other changes to reflect 10 CFR 50.73. However, during an NRC audit, the inspector noted that LaSalle Station Technical Specification 6.1.G.1.7 departed from the requested language describing the responsibilities of the Offsite Review Group. This proposed amendment to the Technical Specifications is to change the language from "Reportable occurrences requiring 24 hour notification to the NRC," to "ALL REPORTABLE EVENTS."

This proposed amendment involves an administrative change to revise the language to that requested by the NRC in GL 83-43. The proposed change will not alter, in any way, the plant facilities or plant operation as described in the UFSAR. We have reviewed the proposed Technical Specification changes and find them acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve changes in reporting or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (52 FR 49221) on December 30, 1987, and consulted with the state of Illinois. No public comments were received, and the state of Illinois did not have any comments.

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

REFERENCE

Letter from C. M. Allen, Commonwealth Edison to USNRC, dated November 9, 1987.

Principal Contributor: Paul Shemanski, NRR/PDIII-2

Dated: March 16, 1988