U.S. Faline

Monsanto

800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63166 (314) OXford 4-1000

July 31, 1967

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Materials Licensing Washington, D. C. 20545

Ref: DML: IB: 37

Gentlemen:

According to your instruction, attached is Form HQ-277 completed to show disposition of the Cesium 137 source which was obtained under our License 24-01113-17.

With the return of this source to the supplier, this license can be terminated.

Sincerel an L. C. Weger

Safety Manager

cc: T. F. Cilmore
R. J. McManimie
U. S. AEC- Div. of Compliance

9702120353 970127 PDR FOIA NEITZEL96-314 PDR

HQ-277 (1-66)	UNITED STA	TES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSI	ON
0KH		DISPOSITION OF RADIOISC	
149 LICENSEE	(Institution, Firm, Hospital,	Person, etc.)	
Nonsanto (	-	Г	
800 N Lind	No. 63141		June 1. 1967 LICENSE NUMBER 20-01113-17
L		<i>ــ</i>	EXPIRATION DATE July 31,1967
ADDRESS (if some as a	bove write some) SAME	DEPARTMENT(S)	
INDIVIDUAL RADIOISO R. J. McMar C. H. Adam H. W. Mohrn L. C. Weger	nimie s nan c		
toneek appropriate ne	individual executing this a m(s) below):	CERTIFICATION certification on behalf of the lic	
NO BYPRODUCT MA	TERIALS HAVE BEEN PROC	CURED AND/OR POSSESSED BY	LICENSEE.
ALL BYPRODUCT M MATERIAL LICENSE	NO	OR OR POSSESSED BY LICENSEE U E BEEN:	UNDER BYPRODUCT
333 E. Howa	nicago	firm, hospital, person, etc. (SUI	SMAILAC
(2) DISPOSED OF BY		(3) DISPOSED OF IN PROVISIONS OF	N COMPLIANCE WITH THE
(4) LICENSED UNDER AN AGREEMENT S AS AMENDED.		ISSUED BY	Name of the state of the stat
SIGNATODOF CERT	ipoce is needed use reverse s		1
SIGNATOR CERTAIN	Thy OFFICIAL SAF	CTY MANAGE	DATE 0/31/67

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 BYPRODUCT MATERIAL LICENSE

Forduch Company 20 mmilia License No.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-438) 10 CFR Part 30, "Rules of General Applicability to Licensing of Byproduct Material"; Section 32.1/, 10 CFR Part 32, "Specific Licenses to Manufacture, Distribute, or Import Certain Items Containing Byproduct Material"; application dated <u>February 23, 1976</u> <u>Hetters dated</u> and enclosures thereto from the licensee; a license is hereby issued to <u>Monscolo Commercial Product</u> <u>Company</u> <u>SCO North Lindbergh</u> <u>Boulevard</u>, <u>St Louis</u>, <u>Missouri</u> 6,3166 <u>Myproduct</u> <u>Material</u> to <u>distribute</u> <u>Missouri</u> 6,3166 <u>Myproduct</u> <u>Material</u> to <u>Monscolo From 3 through</u> to persons exempt from requirement for license pursuant to Section <u>30</u>. <u>4</u>

This license shall be deemed to contain the conditions specified in Section 183 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and other applicable rules, regulations, and orders of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission now or hereafter in effect.

This license shall expire on April 30

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Radioisotopes Licensing Branch Division of Fuel Cycle and Material Safety

Date

TIME DATE CONVERSATION RECORD 114/95 OOPA TYPE ROUTING TELEPHONE CONFERENCE T VISIT NAME/SYMBOL INT INCOMING N OUTGOING Location of Visit/Conference: NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT ORGANIZATION (Offic+, dept., bureau, TELEPHONE NO. WITH YOU etc.) 314-694-8837 19 LISTMONSANTO Mille Murphy SUBJECT Louis Site a SUMMARY nore owned coul minus. It appears that it could have been a coal mine. Here benable to loute individuals 314-694-8820 FAX Mona ind bingh Blud 800 6015 63167 ACTION REQUIRED NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION SIGNATURE DATE ACTION TAKEN SIGNATURE TITLE DATE 50271-101 OPTIONAL FORM 271 (12-76) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONVERSATION RECORD 10 U.S. G.P.O. 1983-381-526/8346

### EXPERT SYSTEM LICENSE EVALUATION REPORT FOR LICENSE 24-01113-01

NAME OF LICENSEE . MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY LISTED SITE: RESEARCH DEPT., 1700 SOUTH 2ND STREET, ST. LOUIS. MO INVENTORIED FILES FOR THIS LICENSE ARE IN THE FOLLOWING JOB/BOX NUMBERS: JOB NUMBER: 1722 / BOX: 100 DESCRIPTION OF FIRST SITE AT WHICH 24-01113-01 WAS USED THE SITE OF USE WAS THE MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY, RESEARCH DEPARTMENT, ORGANIC DIVISION, 1700 SOUTH 2ND STREET, ST. LOUIS, MO. FROM INFORMATION ON THE APPLICATION, THE SITE OF USE APPEARS TO BE A LABORATORY SETTING. APPLICATION MENTIONED CHEMICAL HOODS AND REMOTE CONTROL EQUIPMENT. Description of LICENSEE ACTIVITY UNDER THIS LICENSE LICENSE AUTHORIZED C-14 AND S-35 TO BE USED FOR AGRICULTURE AND BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES IN PLANTS AND LOWER ANIMALS. MATERIALS INFORMATION FOR THIS LICENSE ---Material-- -SEALED OR LOOSE-- POSS. LIMIT Unit Initial score 0.500 CI C-14 LOOSE 1.4 S-35 LOOSE 0.250 CI REVIEWER INDICATED ONE OR MORE OF THE MATLS MAY HAVE BEEN DISPOSED BY FLUSHING THE INITIAL SITE SCORE, based on the LOOSE material possession limits: : 1.44 \_\_\_\_\_

FINAL DECISION FOR SITE, BASED ON LOOSE MATERIALS:

POTENTIAL SITE CONTAMINATION: HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR REVIEW

The final SCORE for SITE CONTAMINATION is: 83 SEQUENCE OF RECORDED REASONING

 There was at least one loose material on this license for which the amount remaining was reduced according to the length of the half-life

 This license had some indication of improper disposal, and could not be eliminated on the basis of low score for loose materials. Reviewer opted to continue the evaluation further.

3/45

License number: 24-01113-01 ORNL License Evaluation System Report

Page 1

- 3. Although there was some information indicating the possible disposal or dumping, the reviewer's opinion was that the potential hazard was not high, based on the best information available. Therefore, the score is increased by a factor of 1.5.
- There was one identifiable site with this license.
- FIRST SITE: Building contamination was judged by the reviewer to be unlikely, and reviewer found no evidence in file to indicate building contamination. Score reduced by a factor of 0.9.
- Very unlikely or impossible for significant outdoor contamination to occur under this license at this site. Score=score\*0.85
- FIRST SITE: There was no evidence or reason to suspect the occurrence of M )NROUTINE or EPISODIC releases to the environment or out oors at this site. Score is unchanged.
- FIRST SITE: There was PROBABLE use of glove boxes, hoods, or protective clothing. Change in score depends on subsequent questions.
- FIRST SITE: Possible inappropriate disposal or abandonment of contaminated material from glove boxes.hoods. or equipment. Score=score\*1.1
- FIRST SITE: There was possible or limited generation of contaminated material from machinery used in the operation. Score=score\*1.2.
- FIRST SITE: Possible inappropriate disposal or abandonment of contaminated material from machinery (cloths.parts.etc). Score=score\*1.1
- FIRST SITE: There was evidence that solid waste was generated in the operation. Score=score\*1.5
- FIRST SITE: Evidence of inappropriate disposal or abandonment of significant solid waste Score=score\*1.8
- FIRST SITE: There was evidence that liquid waste was generated in the operation. Score=score\*1.5
- 15. FIRST SITE: THERE WAS DEFINITE INDICATION of disposal of liquid waste through the SEWER SYSTEM. Score=score\*1.8

License number: 24-01113-01 ORNL License Evaluation System Report P

Page 2

- 16. FIRST SITE: Contaminated liquid waste was generated by operation. File evidence de NOT INDICATE disposal through means other than the sewer system. No change in score on current answer.
- 17. FIRST SITE: There was CONCRETE EVIDENCE OF POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT BURIAL OR DUMPING at the site. Score=score\*2
- 18. FIRST SITE: Based on sparse information , the possibility of frequent turnover of materials limits under this license cannot be excluded. Thus, the score is being raised by a factor of 1.1
- 19. FIRST SITE: There was either no documentation of materials disposition or the documentation was inadequate. Score=score\*1.2
- 20. FIRST SITE: There was no evidence available that a closeout survey was conducted at the site. Because the score was above 40 at this point. the current score is increased by a factor of 1.8.
- 21. FIRST SITE: There was NOT an NRC FINAL INSPECTION of the facility. Score not changed.

#### COMMENTS FOR LICENSE EVALUATION

### Description of LICENSEE ACTIVITY UNDER THIS LICENSE

LICENSE AUTHORIZED C-14 AND S-35 TO BE USED FOR AGRICULTURE AND BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES IN PLANTS AND LOWER ANIMALS.

Reviewer's comments concerning potential TURNOVER OF MATERIALS THERE WAS NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION IN FILE TO DETERMINE THE RATE OF TURNOVER OF THE BYPRODUCT MATERIALS.

---- continued on next page ---

License number: 24-01113-01

ORNL License Evaluation System Report Page 3

Reviewer's comments concerning potential GENERATION OF WASTE APPLICATION STATED THAT REACTIONS WERE PERFORMED IN A CLOSED SYSTEM IN CHEMICAL HOOD. BECAUSE REACTIONS WERE TO BE PERFORMED IN CLOSED SYSTEM OF CHEMICAL HOOD, REVIEWER FELT THAT THERE WAS ONLY A LOW LIKELIHOOD OF INDOOR CONTAMINATION. REVIEWER HAD NO REASON TO SUSPECT RELEASES OR OUTDOOR CONTAMINATION. BECAUSE CHEMICAL HOODS WERE BEING USED, REVIEWER FELT THAT LARGE EQUIPMENT COULD HAVE BEEN POSSIBLY LEFT ON-SITE. COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT STATED THAT PERSONAL EQUIPMENT. SUCH AS CLOTHING, AND APPARATUS WERE USED AND MONITORED. NO DISPOSITION INFORMATION FOR THE SMALL EQUIPMENT OR CLOTHING WAS FOUND. PASED ON INFORMATION IN THE COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT, SOLID WASTE WAS GENERATED AND WAS BURIED ON-SITE, LIQUID WASTES WERE GENERATED AND WERE DISPOSED THROUGH THE SEWER SYSTEM BASED ON THE COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT. AS OF MARCH 20, 1957 (ROUGHLY SIX MONTHS BEFORE LICENSE EXPIRED), 225 MILLICURIES OF RADIOACTIVE WASTES (POSSIBLY BOTH C-14 AND S-35 WASTES) HAD BEEN BURIED AND 125 MILLICURIES OF C-14 WASTE WERE DISPOSED THROUGH THE SEWER SYSTEM.

END OF COMMENTS FOR LICENSE EVALUATION

The application contained some information on material use.

INVENTORY RECORD COMMENTS:

AGRICULTURE AND BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES IN PLANTS AND LOWER ANIMALS

JOB NUMBER: 1722

BOX NUMBER: 100

COMMENTS ON INVENTORY ENTRY FOR 24-01113-01

DISPOSITION INFORMATION WAS FOUND IN 1957 GENERAL FILE IN AN INSPECTION COMPLIANCE REPORT. INSOLUBLE WASTE MATERIAL WAS WAS BURIED IN AN ABANDONED COAL MINE OWNED BY MONSANTO AND LOCATED ON ITS PREMISES NEAR THE ST. LOUIS FACILITY. OVER A TWO YEAR PERIOD. SIX BURIALS TOTALLING 225 MILLICURIES WERE MADE. THE MINE WAS ALSO USED BY THE MONSANTO CHEMICAL COMPANY FOR HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL WASTE STORAGE RESULTING FROM THEIR CHEMICAL PROCESSING ACTIVITIES. SOLUBLE WASTES WERE DISPOSED IN THE SEWER SYSTEM AT A RATE OF 1 MILLICURIE PER HOUR. THIS REPORT DATED 03-20-57 INDICATED THAT 125 MILLICURIES OF C-14 WERE DISPOSED IN THE SEWER SYSTEM.

# INFORMATION ON LICENSEE APPLICATION FOR 24-01113-01

ACCORDING TO THE APPLICATION. WASTES WOULD BE DISS CITY SEWER SYSTEM AT A RATE OF 1 MILLICURIE PER HO	
Cate of last evaluation or revision: 04/04/95	Reviewer's initials: EBL
License number: 24-01113-01 ORNL License Evalua	ation System Report Page 4

.....

CONVERSATION R	TIME 10'00	DATE 10/16	195		
TYPE VISIT	CONFERENCE	TELEP		ROUTING NAME/SYMBOL	IN
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU	ORGANIZATION (Ofference)	ce, dept., bureau, 1 3	ELEPHONE NO.		
JUBJECT BURIAL at ST LOUI	MONS ARY TO		1474		-
burial at ST Lour	s coal min	ie			-
Not in office Le need to life Le Il Kavlick seter. and Dasky him Pitwater info	vo my cal	to few s	mine		
	4-622 14				
					_
ACTION REQUIRED					
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION	SIGNATURE		DATE		
			DATE		
ACTION TAKEN				B/156	
SIGNATURE	TITLE		DATE	1	
50271-101	CONVERSATION RE	CORD	OPT	ONAL FORM 271 (1	0.70

# TELEPHONE LOG

Call By: William Spell

Date: Feb 15, 1996 Time: 2:00 PM

Called: Name: Mike Murphy Title: Industrial Hygienist Organization: Monsanto Chemical Company Phone No.: (314) 694-8837

SUBJECT: 20.304 Burials

Discussion:

I called Mike Murphy to discuss contents of the letter we were about to send to him. It was in reference to the 1956-57 20.304 burials that had taken place under License No. 24-1113-01. I discussed the fact that I had conducted an initial conservative assessment using RESRAD and the 225 mCi of C-14 that had been buried, and determined that a health and safety concern may exist. The letter would ask that they review their records to see if additional information could be found that would allow them to conduct their own assessment and determine if they thought a health and safety issue existed. I told him "worst case" they may have to dig the waste up and have it disposed of in an approved waste burial site. He asked about RESRAD and what our methodology was to perform our assessment. I gave him the document number (ANL/EAD/LD-2) for the RESRAD Manual, and the name of and phone number of Scott Dovel at ANL, who had recently taught a course in RIII on RESRAD. He did state that they had on microfiche the logs of the individual named on the license from back then.