




SEILER

INSTRUMENT & MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.
170 East Kirkham Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri 63119-1791 USA
1-800-489-2282
314-968-2282
Fax: 314-968-2637

PUBLIC/PDR (9)

030-28598



US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle IL 60532-4351

January 10, 1997

license # 24-24451-01

Dear Sir or Madam,

Our license is for tritium gas in sealed source condition only. We have complied with all regulations requiring record keeping. These records go back to 1985 when we firsts received our license. Since tritium, in this form, does not create a problem unless released and my records show that we have conducted a safe program in compliance with the regulations and confirmed by the NRC field inspections. Tritium also cleanses through the body within 31 days again making the five and ten years old records meaningless. Records of one or two years of age could provide the same evidence trail of safety that is necessary and thus making the other data worthless.

The regulation requiring record keeping does allow for the records to be disposed of if permission is granted from the NRC. Therefore, it is our request that this permission to dispose of the records that are more than one year old or past the last NRC Inspection, whichever is older, be granted to us.

Would you please review our request and advise us of your decision. Thank you for taking the time to assist us.

Sincerely,
Seiler Instr & Mfg Co Inc

Andrew Leahy
Andrew G. Leahy
Radiation Safety Officer

*I spoke with Seiler on 1/17/97
and referred him to our letter
dated Jan 18, 1995 on the
subject of records disposal. Using
the letter I answered specific
questions and referred him to the
records being requested by NRC*

Pm: 1-13-97 30.51.

RECEIVED

JAN 16 1997

REGION III



9701290299 970110
PDR ADDCK 03028598
C PDR

JAN 16 1997

*ml
31 AH*

DATE: 1-16-97

CORRESPONDENCE CLARIFICATION SHEET

REVIEWER: BJ HOLT
LICENSEE: SEILER INSTRU,
LICENSE NUMBER: 24-24451-01

The following correspondence has been received from the above licensee and it is not clear what action(s) is(are) required: Please review this correspondence and indicate which of the following applies, and please return to Debbie Hersey, as soon as possible.

☐ Additional Information to Control No. _____
Process in as a new action, additional information, and no fee required.

☐ Process as new licensing action. Review has already been started on Control No. _____ and this information cannot be combined with current in-house action.

☐ Can be combined with Control No. _____. Review has not started.

☒ Appears to be information for the license file - file it.

☐ Licensee is adding Nuclear Pharmacists.

☐ Amendment is necessary _____. Amendment is not necessary _____.
(Information for license file)

☐ Licensee is adding authorized users.

☐ A check is included _____. No check is included _____.
Amendment is necessary _____. Amendment is not necessary _____.
(This is a Notification)

☐ Process in as a new licensing action:

- A. Amendment _____
B. Renewal _____
C. New License Application _____

☐ Other: _____

Thank You For Your Help!!!

10/16/96