C. Frammell Designated original CM Travell



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON D C. 20555

FEB 2 1 1986

Docket Nos. 50-445/50-446

MEMORANDUM FOR: Larry C. Shao, Group Leader, Comanche Peak Task Force

David Terao, Piping & Supports Leader, Comanche Peak Task FROM: Force

AUDIT SUMMARY OF CPSES TRANSIENT ANALYSES SUBJECT:

On February 10, 1986, the NRC staff and its consultants from Teledyne Engineering Services (TES) conducted an audit of the piping dynamic transient analyses performed by Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation (SWEC) for Comanche Peak. The audit was held at SWEC offices in Boston, MA. The following paragraphs summarize the highlights of the topics discussed during the audit. A list of persons attending the audit are included in Attachment 1 to this memorandum.

Process for the Selection of Fluid Transients 1.

The staff discussed with SWEC those piping systems at CPSES which are susceptible to the dynamic fluid transients. The fluid transient of concern are those which produce a sudden one-dimensional force along the pipe axis. SWEC has established a special fluid transient group which reviews the piping systems and pipe configurations and develops the time-history forcing functions used in the piping dynamic analyses. The fluid transient group used NUREG-0582, "Water Hammer in Nuclear Power Plants" for guidance in determining which systems are most susceptible to water hammer loadings. SWEC also reviewed the input from previous fluid transient analyses performed by Gibbs & Hill.

A general discussion regarding the susceptibility of steam-generator water hammer in the feedwater piping arose. The staff questioned whether CPSES had implemented Westinghouse generic recommendations for reducing the possibility of water hammer in Model D4 and D5 steam generators as a result of the CRSKO event. In addition, it was unclear whether the licensing commitment to perform preoperational tests for water hammer had been implemented yet. The applicant stated it would review the staff's questions and address it at a later date.

Systems Subject to Fluid Transients 2.

SWEC gave a detailed presentation describing for each piping system the nature and type of fluid transient which could occur in each system, the conditions causing the most limiting conditions, and the severity of the loadings. In those cases where system modifications could be implemented to eliminate or reduce the possibility for a fluid transient, the modifications or proposed resolutions were presented. Discussion also focused on the assumptions used to develop the forcing functions although it was noted by SWEC that the assumptions and methodology D026 used were the same as those used in other SWEC designed nuclear plants.

8602280282 86022 PDR ADOCK 05000

FLE . 1

The SWEC presentation covered the containment spray, service water, chemical and volume control system (CVCS), main steam, safety injection, residual heat removal, and feedwater systems.

It was noted that SWEC is not using the revised ASME Code Case N-411 damping values for the time history analysis but rather is using the damping values given in Regulatory Guide 1.61.

Table 1, attached to this memorandum, provides a summary of the fluid transients anticipated for CPSES for each piping system.

Schedule and Status of Completion

The schedule for completion of the fluid transient analysis of each piping system is provided below.

	Unit 1		Unit 2	
System	Scheduled Completion Date	Percent Complete	Scheduled Completion Date	Percent Complete
CT SI SW RH CS MS FW	02-05-86 02-24-86 02-05-86 10-29-85 01-25-86 02-24-86 02-15-86	100 80 100 100 100 90 75	02-21-86 03-11-86 03-14-86 01-13-86 03-21-86 03-09-86 02-17-86	50 10 20 100 15 10 60

The staff discussed its plan to audit the transient analysis for the residual heat removal (RH) system during the week of February 24, 1986, at the SWEC offices in New York, NY, as part of the piping system reanalysis audit.

A total of 30 staff hours was involved with this audit. No violations were identified.

David Derat

David Terao Piping & Supports Leader Comanche Peak Task Force

Attachment: As stated

cc: V. Noonan

- T. Westerman
- C. Trammell
- A. Vietti-Cook
- S. Hou
- G. Mizuno
- D. Landers (TES)
- R. Hookway (TES)
- P. Chen (ETEC)

Attachment 1

SWEC, BOSTON

Attendance List

D. Hermon P. Carelland P. Dunlop T. Snyder R. Strong D. Termo J. Finneran R. Stuart B. Hookway D. A. Van Duyfie L. Semprucci M. Yow R. Klause M. Mang D. Foster R. Sulfi B. Jacobra

14 - CS*

Pain 4

FC

ERA

C

GOD

ele

10

6.0

le ledy

HEC-

Area of Assponsibilities

- 10 19 1 1 - 6 A

EB 21

Beert

26.78 1

Assistant Project Asst. Manager-PWR Engineering CPRT-DAP Third-Party CPRT-DAP Third-Party Comanche Peak Task B Piping & Supports NRC Audit NRC Audit Assistant Chief, EMD. NRC Audit EMD Fluid Project Manager EMD Stress Chief. Eng. - END Power Division NRC ANDIE DID Fluid Dynamtca

de tra parte

.

A CONTRACTOR WATER