

([REDACTED])
November 13, 1969

W. E. Stratton, Chairman
Oyster Creek Subcommittee

J. M. Hendrie, Vice Chairman, ACES

CATEGORY B REPORTS - OYSTER CREEK - JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
TELEGRAM DATED NOVEMBER 7, 1969; JERSEY CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
LETTER DATED NOVEMBER 3, 1969

Attached is a brief summary of the information presented in the subject
correspondence. No ACES action appears warranted.

Please items should be incorporated in your set of project documents to
maintain an up-to-date description of the plant, organization, proce-
dures, etc.

Original Signed by
J. C. McKinley

J. C. McKinley
Staff Assistant

Attachments:

- 1) Summary
- 2) JC telegram dated 11/7/69
- 3) JC letter dated 11/3/69

9203250075 910807
PDR FOIA
DEKOK91-282 PDR

OFFICE ►	ACRS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
SURNAME ►	JCM/c1	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
DATE ►	11/13/69	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

3/28/75
PLB/R

CHILLING CREEK UNIT 1

Jersey Central Power and Light Company, LLC dated November 7, 1969 requests a waiver of Technical Specifications for a single emergency demonstration of a loss of auxiliary power. They request that the containment spray system be shifted from automatic to manual control to prevent inadvertent operation and resultant plant damage. The containment spray system will be returned to automatic actuation upon completion of the test.

Jersey Central Power and Light Company letter dated November 3, 1969 provides a report of an abnormal occurrence (malfunction of the electronic pressure controller) that occurred on September 9, 1969. This incident was previously summarized in Category B Supplement No. 122 from a Division of Causality report.

The cause of the electronic malfunction has not been determined.

The results of this failure are compared with the analysis presented in the PFSAR, Section VII-8-1, Figure VII-8-1a and the actual data plotted on a copy of Figure VII-8-1a.

The licensee concludes that the transient was less severe than the previously analyzed event and all nuclear safety systems functioned properly.

No ACRS action appears warranted.

OFFICE ►						
SURNAME ►						
DATE ►						