



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 111 TO DPR-65

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO. 50-336

INTRODUCTION

NRC Generic Letter 83-43, dated December 19, 1983, discussed revisions to notification and reporting requirements in 10 CFR Part 50.72 and Part 50.73 and requested licensees to revise technical specifications to be consistent with the new requirements. By letter dated July 9, 1985, Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (the licensee) submitted a request for a proposed amendment to Appendix A of Operating License No. DPR-65, Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2, to accomplish these revisions.

EVALUATION

The proposed revisions include changing the definition of "reportable occurrence" to that of "reportable event," deleting unnecessary and conflicting references to reporting requirements in the limiting conditions for operations and surveillance requirements section, and revising the administrative controls section to reference 10 CFR Parts 50.72 and 50.73 and to delete the previous reporting requirements, now unnecessary or conflicting.

The proposed revisions are administrative in nature since they revise only the reporting requirements for reportable events. The revisions do not involve physical changes in plant safety related systems, components, or structures. The revisions will not increase the likelihood of a malfunction of safety related equipment, will not increase the consequences of an accident previously analyzed, nor create the possibility of a malfunction different from those previously evaluated in the Final Safety Analysis Report. The proposed changes contained several errors that were administrative in nature. The index page was corrected to reference the proper section numbers and another section was corrected to reference the proper section. Also, in one section there was a reference to another section of the specification which in turn contained a general reference to the Commission's regulations. This was changed to a clearer direct reference

to the applicable provisions of the Commission's regulations. These errors were corrected by the staff after discussion with the licensee and did not change the substance of the action previously noticed.

Based on the above, we find the proposed reporting requirement revisions, as revised, acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves only changes in administrative procedures and requirements. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Section 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: April 9, 1986

Principal Contributor: David M. Johnson, Region I