PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY DOCKET NOS. 50-272 AND 50-311

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY PERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75 issued to Public Service Electric & Gas Company (the licensee) for operation of Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Salem County. New Jersey.

The proposed amendments would revise Technical Specification (TS) 3.5.2 to eliminate the flow path from the residual heat removal (RHR) system to the reactor coolant system (RCS) hot legs that is specified in Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.5.2.c.2.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant

reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change involves removing the RHR hot leg injection flow path (RH26 valve) during the hot leg recirculation phase of accident mitigation. There are no physical plant modifications being made as a result of the proposed changes and no new accident initiators are created by the proposed changes. This change only involves a system used for ECCS [emergency core cooling system] accident mitigation and is consistent with the flow requirement assumptions made in the safety analysis for hot leg recirculation. Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated.

Removal of the RHR hot leg injection flow path does not impact the ability of the ECCS to mitigate the consequences of an accident but clarifies the flow paths in the ECCS that are required to meet the accident analysis. Operation of one Intermediate Head Safety Injection (IHSI) pump during hot leg recirculation continues to provide adequate core cooling flow such that the hot leg flow directly from the RHR system is not required. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

 The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change to LCO 3.5.2.c.2 does not involve any physical changes to the plant components, systems, or structures. This change does not affect the ability of the Emergency Core Cooling System to meet the flow required in the accident analysis to remove core decay heat without creating superheated steam during hot leg recirculation. There are no new failure modes introduced as a result of the proposed change since the RH26 valve will remain in the closed position with power to the valve removed during operation in Modes 1 - 3 as required by TS surveillance requirement 4.5.2.a.l.e and will remain in the closed position following a LOCA [loss-of-coolant accident] in Modes 1 - 3. Therefore, the proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

 The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Hot leg recirculation occurs approximately 14 hours into the accident. At this time, the RCS pressure is at equilibrium with the containment pressure, which is conservatively assumed to be at 25.0 psig. At this pressure, the enthalpy of saturated steam is 1160.1 BTU/1bm, and of saturated liquid is 208.52 BTU/1bm. Decay heat generation at this time is 24,540 BTU/sec. Therefore, the required hot leg injection flowrate to prevent superheat is 24.540/(1160.1-208.52) - 25.77 lbm/sec. The flow delivered by one Intermediate Head Safety Injection (IHSI) pump to two hot legs is 76.03 lbm/sec at a backpressure of 25.0 psig. For the break locations considered for long-term transients, nearly all of this flow will enter the vessel and will be available to cool the core. Additional cooling will be provided by simultaneous cold leg injection flow. Therefore, sufficient injection flow exists to prevent superheat and the change to the hot leg recirculation does not affect the LOCA mass and energy of containment integrity calculation.

With the elimination of the RCS hot leg flow path, the ECCS system will continue to meet the limiting design basis hot leg flow requirement assuming a single failure which can result in operation of a single IHSI pump aligned for hot leg recirculation.

Therefore, the proposed change does not reduce the margin of safety since the accident analysis flow requirements and design basis single failure requirements continue to be met for hot leg recirculation.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch. Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By June 13, 1997 . the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and

who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, New Jersey 08079. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding: (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has

filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the

opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services
Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the
Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification
Number N1023 and the following message addressed to John F. Stolz, Director,
Project Directorate I-2, petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL
REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of

the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire, Winston and Strawn, 1400 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-3502, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated April 25, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, New Jersey 08079.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of May, 1997.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Leonard N. Olshan, Project Manager Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation