## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON D. C. 20555

APR 1 0 1975

R. C. DeYoung, Assistant Director for Light Water Reactors, Group 1, RL V. A. Moore, Assistant Director for Light Water Reactors, Group 2, RL

STANDARD LETTER TO APPLICANTS CONCERNING PRIMARY SYSTEM PRESSURE RELIEF VALVE LOADS FOR PLANTS WITH MARK III TYPE CONTAINMENTS

Enclosed is a draft of a generic letter requesting applicants of plants with Mark III type containments to describe their design provisions to accommodate loads in the suppression pool resulting from operation of the primary system pressure relief valves. These loads are due to two distinct phenomena. First, pressure waves are generated within the suppression pool when, on first opening, relief valves discharge high pressure air followed by steam into the pool water. These are referred to as the steam vent clearing loads. Second, steam quenching vibrations can accompany extended relief valve discharge into the pool if the pool water is at an elevated temperature.

We have maintained periodic contact with GE on a generic basis regarding their progress in resolving these problems. Specific reviews of plants with Mark I type containments are being coordinated by Operating Reactor (OR) using a standard letter transmittal to each licensee. Reviews of plants with Mark III type containments have generally proceeded on a case-by-case basis although heavy reliance was placed on a generic resolution through GE. In our recent reviews of Mark III plants, however, we have noted that at least several plants (Grand Gulf and Skagit) are proceeding on the basis of relief valve discharge line designs and load analyses done by their architect/engineer. This precludes a strictly generic review in this area and we therefore recommend that a standard letter, of the type enclosed, should be sent to the applicants for plants with Mark III type containments listed below.

For Mark III plants currently under review which have not issued Q-2's (Barton and Skagit) the requests for information will be included in the next round of questions for the plant. As discussed with the LPM (E. Butcher), the Grand Gulf plant is being handled separately on an expedited basis due to its advanced design status.

## AFFECTED MARK III PLANTS

Perry, Units 1 & 2 GESSAR River Bend, Units 1 & 2

Douglas Point, Units 1 & 2 Clinton, Units 1 & 2 Montague, Units 1 & 2



D-13

Enclosure: As stated

cc: E. Case

F. Schroeder

A. Giambusso

S. Hanauer

R. Boyd

R. Maccary

G. Lainas

J. Glynn

J. Kudrick

J. Shapaker

L. Slegers

R. Cudlin

C. Grimes

C. Anderson

K. Goller

RL B/C's

## SAMPLE LETTER TO APPLICANT WITH MARK III CONTAINMENT

## Gentlemen:

An ongoing review area for BWR plants with pressure suppression-type containments has been the capability of the suppression pool retaining structures to tolerate loads due to operation of the primary system pressure relief valves. Recent experience at several operating BWR plants has indicated that loads due to relief valve actuation may not have been fully considered in the structural design of the suppression chamber. In addition, the General Electric Company is now preparing to start a series of small-scale relief valve tests which will be used to verify analytical predictions of these loads.

Pool dynamic loads due to relief valve operation are due to two distinct phenomena. First, pressure waves are generated within the suppression pool when, on first opening, relief valves discharge high pressure air followed by steam into the pool water. These are referred to as steam vent clearing loads. Second, steam quenching vibrations can accompany extended relief valve discharge into the pool if the pool water is at an elevated temperature. Enclosed are specific requests for information pertaining to these effects which we will require to evaluate your design with regard to these phenomena.

We believe that these developments warrant further consideration of your particular design of the Mark III containment at this time. Therefore, we are requesting that you provide us with the status of your design and planned course of action to ensure that your design will reflect the latest available information. To the extent that these items have not already been covered in your present PSAR, we request that sufficient information be provided prior to issuance of the OL to resolve this issue. The attached list of questions provides the basis for your response.

You should provide us within 30 days after receipt of this letter a schedule for submittal of the requested information. Please contact us if you desire additional discussion or clarification of the material requested.

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

- transport the main reports and the second of the second of
- Preventile that I and appendicular other formality integrals. Since their intermediate the conference of appendicular of the analysis of the appendicular appendicular and since of the little.
- 3. Provide the design loss copability for the supercurved combined surveyor.
- 4. Provide justification for the load specification in a (2) makes by the one of appropriate experimental data and appropriate Company is responsible for specifying these loads, a statement to that effect is swifteight.
- 5. Identify, with the aid of drawings, any compensate or a restures for the suppression good replan, other than the houseing while of the suppression common, and the location of such compensate relative to the valief valve discharge line swits. Discuss the street and capability of these compensate to accommodate loads due to relief value outuntson.
- 6. Exitate the maximum number of affects and addings ratiof value on the tipe of your plant.

- E. B. Arthrich der Stein und der Anthologien der Profile in Stein geging.
  Eine der Anthologien der Anthologien der Anthologien der Profile in Stein geging.
- The Property of the transfer of the Start of the American Published Start of the St
  - (a) to in severa line declaring;
  - (0) while person and other training.
  - (c) Acude spea tolied was a.

Ter purposes of this analysis, the resistant more some and assumed in the experienten goal.

and the sampling or averaging technique that will be applied an evelope at a definitive pool temperature.