

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Houston Lighting & Power Company
South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2

Dockets: 50-498
50-499
Permits: CPPR-128
CPPR-129

During an NRC inspection conducted on January 6 - February 28, 1986, one violation of NRC requirements was identified. The violation involved failure to follow procedures. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1985), the violation is listed below:

Failure To Follow Procedures

Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 requires that activities affecting quality be prescribed by and accomplished in accordance with appropriate instructions, procedures, or drawings. This requirement is amplified by the approved QAPD (Quality Assurance Plan Description) for the South Texas Project.

Ebasco Services Inc. Quality Control Procedure QCP-9.3, Revision 2, states that when the post weld heat treatment operation has been found to be acceptable, the QC Supervisor-Mechanical, or his designee, shall sign the Hold Point on the Process Data Checklist.

Contrary to the above, the QC Hold Point on the Process Data Checklist for the post weld heat treatment operation was signed off by QC as not applicable for three welds, MS 1003-FW0054, MS 1002-FW0052, and MS 2002-FW0052, requiring post weld heat treatment. Furthermore, although the post weld heat treatment operation for these welds had not been performed, the status of weld MS 1003-FW0054 was listed as complete based upon the improper QC signoff.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II.D)(498/8601-01 and 499/8601-01).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Houston Lighting & Power Company is hereby required to submit to this office, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) the reason for the violations if admitted, (2) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (3) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas,
this 24th day of March, 1986.

8604010225 860324
PDR ADOCK 05000498
G PDR