

FEB 27 1986

54-247

Consolidated Edison Company of
New York, Inc.
ATTN: Mr. B. Lindgren
Emergency Planning Manager
Indian Point Station
Broadway and Bleakley Avenue
Buchanan, New York 10511

Mr. Lindgren:

At your request, NRC Region I has performed a review of the preliminary draft of the scenario for the June 1986 emergency exercise at Indian Point 2. The comments noted below are presented in a general format so as not to compromise the scenario content for those who may be participating as players during the drill.

1. The overall event sequence appears to provide an acceptable method for implementing the emergency plan and meeting the stated objectives.
2. General comments on drill messages
 - Page V-2, Item A of the scenario package states that the controller will give a hard copy of the message to the designated participant at the specified time. Since many of the drill messages contain extraneous controller information and anticipated player actions, the messages should be restructured so that the player will only be given the appropriate information.
 - Messages should not give parameter trending information (pressure is increasing, temperature is decreasing, ...) if the player is being given the information on a periodic basis. The players should be given every opportunity to determine emergency implications from the data which has been presented. A large number of the messages should be checked for this.
 - Message No. 8 states that a call to Indian Point 3 will be simulated. It is not stated whether this simulated call to Indian Point 3 will be made by the control room operator after considering the plant condition or by the controller. It would seem appropriate for the control room operator to be given the opportunity to determine whether this phone call should be made or given a contingency message if this is not done.
3. Statement C. on page IV-2 of the scenario package appears to be rather strongly worded. Although we agree that the purpose of the exercise is to test the implementation of the emergency plan and not the ability of the control room operator to manipulate the plant, realistic play and problem solving activities add to the flow of the exercise and promote enthusiasm on the part of those who are involved with the technical response. We do not see an advantage in deemphasizing technical participation.

8603250546 860227
PDR ADOCK 05000247
F PDR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

IE35
110

Consolidated Edison Company of
New York, Inc.

2

4. Ground Rules Addendum No. 4, page IV-3. It is not understood why facility controllers should be allowed to provide player names to the facility managers. Shouldn't there be other persons (players) in the facilities who can provide this function?

If you have any questions or would like to discuss the comments more specifically, please contact Mr. D. J. Vito of my staff . . . (215) 337-5142.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Terry L. Harpster, Chief
Emergency Preparedness Section
Division of Radiation Safety
and Safeguards

bcc: D. Vito
C. Amato
L. Rossbach

RI:DRSS
Vito *DJV*
2/27/86

RI:DRSS
~~Harpster~~
2/27/86

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY