

DUKE POWER COMPANY

P.O. BOX 33189
CHARLOTTE, N.C. 28242

HAL B. TUCKER
VICE PRESIDENT
NUCLEAR PRODUCTION

TELEPHONE
(704) 373-4531

May 31, 1984

JUN 5 12:33

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: RII:WHM/GRW
50-413/84-36

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Please find attached a response to Deviation No. 413/84-36-04, as identified in the above referenced inspection report. Duke Power Company does not consider any information contained in this inspection report to be proprietary.

Very truly yours,

H.B. Tucker
Hal B. Tucker

LTP/php

Attachment

cc: NRC Resident Inspector
Catawba Nuclear Station

Mr. Robert Guild, Esq.
Attorney-at-Law
P. O. Box 12097
Charleston, South Carolina 29412

Palmetto Alliance
2135½ Devine Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29205

Mr. Jesse L. Riley
Carolina Environmental Study Group
854 Henley Place
Charlotte, North Carolina 28207

Response to Deviation 413/84-36-04

Corrective Actions

In Duke's letter of April 14, 1983 to the NRC/NRR, it was stated that in each Reactor Building there are two (2) 150 lb. hydrogen cylinders and associated piping which provides a gas blanket for Reactor Coolant Pump drain tanks. The subject cylinders have been relocated to the hydrogen shed in the plant yard (Ref: letter of clarification dated April 25, 1984.). An excess flow valve will be provided in the discharge piping at the hydrogen shed. Mechanical systems will review pipe routing to assure that if non-seismic pipe were to fail, concentration of hydrogen in the area would be below 2%. This complies with the Standard Review Plan. Also, a pressure test of this QA Condition 3 piping does not require QA inspection.

Status

Full compliance to be achieved prior to Fuel Load.