

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

September 29, 1983

83-128

The Honorable George J. Mitchell United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Mitchell:

This letter responds to your letter inquiring whether the Gommission has any information reflecting specific instances where the hearing process has delayed a nuclear power plant's operation, or knows of any instances of unnecessary backfit requirements.

The direct answer to your questions is in both cases no. On the details of these responses, the individual Commissioners have a variety of views, some of which were presented in their testimony before the Subcommittee on Energy Conservation and Power of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce on September 23, 1983 or in the information submitted to supplement the record of the hearing.

Sincerely,

Nunzio J. Palladino

83162660 27 XP

ROBERT T. STAFFORD, VT., CHAIRMAN HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
JOHN H. CHAFEE, R.I.
ALAN K. SIMPSON, WYO.
JAMES ABONOR, S. DAK.
JASTEVE SYJAMS, IDAHO
PETE V. DOMENICI, N. MEY.
GEORGE J. MITCHELL, MAINE STEVE STIAMS, IDAHO PETE V. DOMENICI, N. MEX. DAVE DURENBERGER, MINN. United States Senate MAX BAUCUS, MONT. DON HUMPHREY, N.H. COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS BAILEY GUARD, STAFF DIRECTOR JOHN W. YAGO, JR., MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510 July 20, 1983 Honorable Nunzio J. Palladino Chairman Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20555 Dear Mr. Chairman: I would like to thank you for the Commissioners participation in the hearing before the Subcommittee on Nuclear Regulation on May 25, 1983, regarding the nuclear licensing reform proposals. On Thursday, July 14, 1983, the Sub-Committee held further hearings on these proposals. One of my continuing concerns about these proposals is the attempt to modify the current hearing and backfitting procedures in a way that might not serve the public interest. In his testimony before the Subcommittee, former NRC Commissioner Peter Bradford, representing the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, stated: ... contrary to a popularly held myth, the public hearings aspects of the licensing process has never delayed a single nuclear powerplant's operation by a single week. Indeed, one reads the many pages of industry, NRC and DOE testimony in vain for a single specific illustration of a licensing delay (or an unnecessary backfit) that this legislation would cure. Indeed, I have carefully reviewed your statement presented to the Subcommittee and the transcripts of that hearing and have found no such illustration. If the Commission has information about any specific instances where the hearing process has delayed a nuclear powerplant's operation, or know of any instances of unnecessary backfit requirements, could you please supply the details so I can fully understand the nature of any problems 8310180016 298 with which we are attempting to address by this legislation.

Your prompt reply would be of great benefit to this Subcommittee's deliberations.

With best regards,

. . . .

Sincerely,

George J. Mitchell
United States Senate