VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION



P.O. Box 157, Governor Hunt Road Vernon, Vermont 05354-0157 (802) 257-7711

> June 2, 1997 BVY-97-076

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference: (a) License No. DPR-28 (Docket No. 50-271)

Subject: Reportable Occurrence No. LER 97-012, Rev. 0.

As defined by 10CFR50.73, we are reporting the attached Reportable Occurrence as LER 97-01, Rev. 0.

Sincerely,

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

Jer

Gregory

Plant Manager

c: USNRC Region 1 Administrator USNRC Resident Inspector - VYNPS USNRC Project Manager - VYNPS

PDR ADDCK 05000271 PDR ADDCK 05000271 PDR 00005



NRC Form 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (4-95) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)						APPROVED BY OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20566-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), DFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.													
FACILITY NAME (1) VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POW								WER STATION				DOCKET NUMBER () 05000271				PAGE (3) 01 OF 03			
TITL	E (4) R I	esidual H hstrument	eat Rem Inaccu	noval S iracies	ervice Wat	ter Flow	Could	d Be Po	otenti	ally	Less th	an the	Design	Basis	Flow due	to			
EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6)								REPORT DATE				OTHE	R FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)						
MONTH	DAY YEAR YEAR		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REV	REVISION NUMBER		TH DAY		YEAR	FACI	FACILITY NAME		D	OCKET I	ET NO.(S)		
05	02	97	97		012	* *	00	06		02	97	N/A	/A						
OPERAT	ING		TH	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED F				URSUANT TO THE REQUIREM				EMENTS OF 10 CFR 5: CHECK ONE OR MORE (11)							
MODE (y)	N	Z	20.2201(b)			20.2203(a)(2)(v)			50.7	(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)					ii)			
POWER 0		0	2	20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(a)(3)(i) X			x 50.7	50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.				.73(a)(2)(x)				
LI.VEL	LEVEL (10)			20.2203(a)(2)(i)			20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)			73.71						
	• • • • • • • • •		ž	20.2203(a)(2)(ii)			20.2203(a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)				OTHER				
	• • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)				(Specify in Abstract					
			20.2203(a)(2)(iv))	50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)				Form 366A)				
					LIC	ENSEE CO	WTACT	FOR TH	HIS LE	R (1)	2)			a yali da kana gali sa					
NAME	ORY A.	MARET, PL	ANT MAN	AGER									TELEPH 80	ONE NO. 2-257-7	(Inclue	le Area	Code)		
		COMPLET	E ONE I	INE FO	R EACH CO	MPONENT	FAILU	RE DESC	CRIBED	IN	THIS REP	PORT (13	()						
CAUSE	SYSTE	M COMP	ONENT	MANU	FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			CAUS	E	SYSTEM	COMPONENT		MANUFACTURER		REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			
NA									NA	-									
NA						de la constante			NA		Contract from the second second				-				
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)												EXPECTED MI SUBMISSION DATE (15) DI			MO	DAY	YEAR		
X YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)							NO				80				29	97			
BSTRA)n 5/2/1 Vater(S	CT (Li 97 at 1 W) flow	mit to 14 300 hour v rate dur	400 spa rs, duri ring a L	ng the	e., approx AE inspe-	ction prentially	15 s eparat	ingle-s ion it been be	paced was d	l typ letern the re	ewritter mined the	h lines) hat the design	(16) Residu flow.	al Heat The de	Remov	al(RHR w of th) Servi		

Water(SW) flow rate during a LOCA could potentially have been below the required design flow. The design flow of the RHRSW heat exchanger and required flow for a LOCA is 2700 Gallons Per Minute (GPM). Instrument accuracy for flow indication is +/- 200 GPM, which could have resulted in an actual RHRSW flow rate as low as 2500 GPM. This condition has been evaluated and it was determined that the RHRSW system can meet its design cooling capacity provided that the plant is only operated if river water temperature (primary cooling medium) is equal to or less than 70 degrees F. Additionally, if flow for the RHRSW system was diverted from other loads such that the actual heat exchanger flow was 2900 GPM, with instrument inaccuracy in the conservative direction, it was determined that the remaining loads would still have the required amount of cooling with the river water temperature restriction in use.

The root cause investigation is in progress. A supplemental LER will be submitted once the root cause has been determined. Immediate corrective actions included the initiation of an Event Report to document the concern and notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the initiation of a Basis for Maintaining Operation (BMO) document with a mandatory read and sign form for the Operations on-shift crews, and the establishment of a river water temperature administrative limit of 70 degrees F. Since plant start-up there has been no accident or LOCA conditions that would have required the use of the RHRSW system in the accident mode. Analysis of the event shows that the potential low flow can be augmented using the opposite RHR loop. There was no threat to the health and safety of the public and no safety consequences resulted from this event.

NRC Form 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMIS (4-95) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20566-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCYION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DO	CKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV #				
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION		05000271	97	012	001	02 OF 0	3		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On 5/2/97 at 1300 hours, during an AE inspection preparation, it was determined that the Residual Heat

Removal(RHR)(EIIS = BO) Service Water(SW)(EIIS = BI) flow rate during a LOCA could potentially have been below the required design flow. The design flow of the RHRSW heat exchanger is 2700 Gallons Per minute (GPM), the same flow rate which is required by the LOCA analysis. To prevent exceeding the RHRSW heat exchanger design flow rate, plant procedures direct the operators to limit the RHRSW heat exchanger flow rate to the design limit of 2700 GPM. This limit would be imposed using available indication; however, instrument accuracy for flow indication is +/- 200 GPM which could have resulted in an actual RHRSW flow rate as low as 2500 GPM.

This condition has been evaluated and it was determined by engineering analysis that the RHRSW system can meet its design cooling capacity, with the reduced flow of 2500 GPM, provided that plant operation only continue if river water temperature (primary cooling medium) is equal to or less than 70 degrees F. Additionally, if flow for the RHRSW system was diverted from other loads such that the actual flow was 2900 GPM, to account for instrument inaccuracy in the conservative direction, it was determined that the other loads would still have the required amount of cooling provided the river water temperature restriction was maintained. There would be no adverse impact on the heat exchanger as a result of the increased flow.

CAUSE OF EVENT

The root cause of this event is under investigation. A supplemental License Event Report will be submitted once the root cause has been determined.

ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The RHRSW System provides a dynamic heat sink for the RHR System by supplying sufficient cooling capacity during a design basis accident (DBA) and minimizes the probability of a release of radioactive contaminants to the environs.

The design basis LOCA parameters for the RHRSW system are: a flow of 2700 GPM, initial torus temperature of 90 degrees F, and a maximum river temperature of 85 degrees F. This allows the torus water to be maintained at or below 176 degrees F following a LOCA. The maximum Torus water temperature of 176 degrees F ensures that there is sufficient Net Positive Suction Head (NPSH) for the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) pumps and prevents degradation of Environmentally Qualified equipment. During a LOCA, the Core Spray system would be used for injecting water into the reactor vessel and the one or both RHR loops could be used for Torus cooling.

Instrument accuracies were not initially considered when determining design basis parameters. The flow indication accuracy is +/- 200 GPM. Subsequently, if the RHRSW flow, to cool the Torus during an accident, was set at 2700 GPM the potential exists for the actual flow to be 2500 GPM. This is less flow than assumed in the analysis of record which demonstrates Vermont Yankee's ability to maintain the Torus water at or below the required temperature with river water temperature at or near 85 degrees F. If this had happened, operators would have been alerted and given appropriate direction by plant Emergency Operating Procedures (EOP's) using the parameters available in the Control Room which would have indicated the adverse trend in Torus water temperature. Options available to the operators would be to place both RHR loops on Torus cooling, using the Core Spray System to fill and maintain the reactor vessel cooling. Engineering evaluations would have been provided by the Technical Support Center.

Therefore, adequate cooling was available for the Torus and there was no threat to the health and safety of the public.

NRC Form 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMIS (4-95) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNE ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20566-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.									
FACILITY NAME (1)	(1) DC			LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)						
			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV #					
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION		05000271	97	012	001	03	OF	03		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Immediate Corrective Actions.

- 1. An Event Report to document the event was written.
- 2. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) was notified in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72.
- 3. A Basis for Maintaining Operation (BMO) document was written.
- A mandatory read and sign form requiring the Operations on-shift crews to read and understand the BMO was initiated.
- 5. An administrative river water temperature limit of 70 degrees F was established.

Long Term Corrective Actions

 The long term corrective action will evaluate the current Service Water flow model at the upper limits for river temperature and flow under the conditions assumed for the RHR System operation. This will be completed by 7/1/97.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

During the past five years similar events involving original design specifications have been reported as LER's 93-13, 95-02, 97-02, and 97-06.