

275

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION II 101 MARIETTA STREET, N.W., SUITE 2900 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30323-0199

December 8, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO:

Ellis W. Merschoff, Director Division of Reactor Projects

Johns P. Jaudon, Deputy Director Division of Reactor Safety

David B. Matthews, Director Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office Of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM:

Kerry D. Landis, Chief Reactor Projects Branch 3 NEWY & Division of Reactor Projects

SUBJECT:

SYSTEMATIC ASSESSMENT OF LICENSEE PERFORMANCE (SALP) INPUT REQUEST

maria

Enclosure 1 is the Input Feeder Information on the licensee's performance at the St. Lucie facility during SALP period 24, from January 2, 1994, to January 6, 1996. You are requested to provide confirmation that all pertinent information on the licensee's performance has been accurately captured in Enclosure 1. Please provide any corrections to Enclosure 1 using the Input Feeder QA Form which is Enclosure 2. The blank feeder forms are also available on the computer LAN "I" drive as I:\BLKFDRFM.LSR (for laser printers' and I:\BLKFDRFM.DOT (for dot matrix printers). One input feeder form per SALP functional area is provided. Each division is requested to have their technical staff complete their review/QA of the Input Feeder Form for each SALP functional area. The forms should be completed by your respective division and all inputs forwarded to me by December 22, 1995.

General guidance for completing the forms is given on the bottom of each blank form. For each example that you list on the input feeder forms, include the reference inspection report number and paragraph number. Also, please provide copies of pertinent pages of the inspection reports that you reference on the feeder forms. Please mark the inspection report number and paragraph number clearly on the upper right corner of each inspection report page. This will allow easy reference to the source report during review of the feeder form and development of the outline. The completed input feeder forms should be transmitted electronically (via e-mail to KDL and EXL2). Hard copies of the IR pages should be 'ransmitted by internal mail or FAX.

The enclosed supplemental information package will be generated and distributed only once. Updated supplemental and new information that would effect the assessment of a licensee SALP period performance will be forwarded to the Board members for inclusion to their briefing books prior to the Board meeting date.

9704070347 970325 PDR FOIA BINDER96-485 PDR The SALP Board meeting for St. Lucie is scheduled for January 24, 1995. The assessment period and phase that should be assessed are described below:

Site Name Salp Period Beginning Date - End Date

St. Lucie SALP Cycle 24 January 2, 1994 - January 6, 1996

The assigned responsibility by functional areas for the subject SALP assessment is as follows:

Plant Operations - Ellis W. Merschoff Engineering - David B. Matthews Maintenance - Johns P. Jaudon Plant Support - Johns P. Jaudon

For purposes of manpower recording, the following inspection report number should be used when recording time spent on SALP assessment preparations:

Report Number: 50-335/95-99; 50-389/95-99

Inputs are due by December 22, which is approximately 15 days prior to the end of the SALP period. This will mean that the computer printouts and other SALP information which DRP will provide your staff may not contain data on inspection reports that have not been issued by the end of the SALP period. Your staff should ensure that their inputs cover all inspection reports inclusive to the SALP period completed. Listed below are reports which are planned for this SALP period, but have not been issued to date:

Report NumberLead InspectorInspection DatesInspection Area95-21R. Prevatte10/29 - 12/2/95All Areas

Inputs should be written in accordance with the ROI 0516 "SALP." Also enclosed is a copy of the Master Inspection Plan (MIP) detailing which inspection modules are in each functional area. Your staff will be provided with a copy of this memorandum, as well as listings of the inspections performed, license event reports (LERS), and violations identified for the appraised period. The report numbers associated with the particular noncompliance should be verified to ensure that all of the violations are included for discussions at the Board meeting.

If violations, LERs, or inspection activities belong in a functional area different from that indicated on the enclosures, the DRP Branch Chief or Project Engineer should be notified to ensure appropriate coordination.

Your staff will also be provided with a copy of the previous SALP report and previous SALP strengths and challenges. Challenges identified in the previous SALP report should be reflected in the input feeder forms, to be used as supporting information for the outline which will be presented at the Board meeting. The challenges will be discussed during the Board meeting to determine if any improvements have been achieved during the SALP period.

In the course of your input and assessment, it is essential that you provide sufficient information for the SALP Board to obtain an accurate perspective of the inspection activity in the area. The SALP Board does not wish to render a rating in an area in which the licensee did not conduct activities or in which

the region performed insufficient inspection activity to evaluate the area.

I would like to emphasize the importance of providing complete, accurate, and timely inputs to the SALP process. Our desire is to produce a professional report which will be beneficial, now and in the future, to both the NRC and the licensee.

If there are any questions concerning the SALP process or preparation of your input, I would be glad to discuss them with you.

Enclosures:

- 1. Input Feeder Information
- 2. Input Feeder QA Form
- 3. Master Inspection Plan
- Listing of Inspection Hours by Functional Area
- 5. Previous SALP Report, SALP Strengths and Challenges
- 6. Listing of Inspections
- 7. Listing of Violations.
- Enforcement Actions, and CALs
- Listing of LERS and LER Root Cause Analysis
- Major Inspections, NRC Review Activities, Licensee Conferences, and Licensee Activities
- Reactor Trips, TS-Required Shutdowns

cc w/encls: DRS BC EB

DRS BC OLB DRS BC OLB DRS BC MB DRS BC PSB DRS BC SIB E. Lea, DRP R. Prevatte, St. Lucie

J. Norris, NRR

/	INSPECTOR		FD WRIGHT	TH2 I H1	UR I GHT	UPICHT	URIGHT	THEFT	URIGHT	UR I GHT	UR I GR1	UR 1 GHT	149140	E1 R00	KREH	KBEH	квен	KREN	KREH	KDFH	PREVATTE
	I FEEDERS ASSESSMENT		NUTLICATION METHONS LATMETY NOTIFICATIONS/ONE FAILURE TO DOCUMENT NOTIFICATION IDENTIFIED WRIGHT	LEFFECTIVE EVALUATION OF CONDITIONS/DECLARATIONS TIMELY	OBJECTIVES/SCENARIOS «SCENARIO/CONTROLLERS ADEOUATE	MOND FERFORMANCE ("Results" section)	EMERGENCY FACTITITES VSIMILATOR, TSC., OSC., EDF., EMERG NEWS CENTER MANNED PROFERTY, GOOD	PRESPONSIBILITIES ADEOUATELY DESCRIBED/ADEOUATE PERSONNEL AVAILABLE	RESPONSES MCONTAINMENT FAILURE? NOT CLEARLY DEFINED, LICENSEE FAILED TO ID SCURCE OF RELEASE (\underline{UFL})	LETATE EFFECTIVE IN EVALUATING RAD CONDITIONS	LADEQUACY, OPERABILITY, AND EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATIONS FOURTMENT DEMONSTRATED	L'ADE QUATE	SATISTACTORY	CR "A ICENSEE'S OPEN LIEM SYSTEM FAILES TO TRACK ISSUE TO CLOSURE COEV.	FERSONNEL PROPERLY IRAINED - IT I IIIII	THE RALLY SALISTACTORY, MINOR DEFICIENCIES	DETAILED AND THOROUGH	STAR SYSTEM EFFECTIVE FOR ENSURING CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	VERY WELL MANAGED AND IMPLEMENTED	APPROPRIATE AND ACCEPTABLE	STRONG PERFORMANCE
	ST LUCIE SALP INDUT FEEDERS		NUTERCATION METHON	SYSTEM	OBJECTIVES/SCENARJC	ICIPATION EXERCISE	EMERGENCY FACILITIE	AFFING	PROTECTIVE RESPONSE	ACCIDENT ASSESSMENT	EMERGENCY	CRITIOUE	RADIATION EXPOSURE	1 10		ES, EQUIPMENT, L	5		NAGEMENT CONTROL		2
	EXAMPLES	Ability Construction	ANNUAL EXENCISE	CLASSIFICATION SY	ANNUAL EXERCISE	Results 1996 TULL PARTICI	ANNUAL EXERCISE AND FOUIPMENT	ANNUAL EXERCISE - RESPONSIBILITY/STAFFING	ANNUAL EVERCISE	ANNUAL EXERCISE -	ANNUAL EXERCISE COMPRUNICATIONS	ANNUAL EXERCISE	ANNUAL ENERCISE - CONTROL	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS $7, {\cal A}(z)$ accedent preparations inadequate	TRAINING	EMERGENCY FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, INSTRUMENTATION, AND SUPPLIES	REVIEUS/AUDITS	EFFECTIVENESS OF LICENSEE CONTROLS	ORGANIZATION MANAG	EPIPs	7, de annual exercise
		Para,	+ W		Ч		8	N.	01	8	5	2	11	7.9 (3)	N	a	e	2	4	2	7,2
	Issue	DO SRU SOVO SOLO AUNTO SOLO	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS		EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERCENCY PREPAREDNESS	ICY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS
		E ME BCE	EMERGE			EMERGE	EMERGE	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	ENERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGEN	EMERGENC
	UNIT NO.	c	0		-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	# 148 31	LP AREA PS 03/04/94 94-004	03/04/94 94-004		03/04/94 94 004	03/04/94 94 004	03/04/94 94-004	03/04/94 94-004	03/04/94 94 004	03/04/94 94-004	03/04/94 94 004	03/14/94 94-004	03/14/94 94-004	06/27/94 94-013	02/17/95 95-003	02/17/95 95-003	02/17/95 95-003	02/17/95 95-003	02/17/95 95-003	02/17/95 95-003	06/03/95 95-010
11/15/95	SALP DATE AREA	** SALP AREA PS PS 03/04/94 94	PS 03/		PS 03/	PS 03/	PS 03/	PS 03/	PS 03/1	PS 03/(PS 03/(PS 03/	bs 03/1	bs 06/2	1/20 S.	1/20 54	PS 02/1	1/20 54	1/20 Sd	PS 02/1	0/90 54
											5.0		- C			-			**	-	6

Page No. 23

Page No. 24 11/15/95

ž,

24

ST LUCIE SALP INPUT FEEDERS

						ST LOCIE SHET THTOI	TEDERS .	
	SALP	DATE	RP1 #	UNIT NO.	ISSUE	EXAMPLES	ASSESSMENT	INSPECTOR
	PS	16/16/95	95-015	0	EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	PLANT SHUTDOWN FOR HURRICANE ERIN	PREPARATION AND STAFFING, PLANNING, AND SHUTDOWN WAS EXCELLENT	PREVATE
	PS	02/18/94	94-001	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SATISFACTORY DAS IN	
	PS	03/17/94	94-005	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SALISTACIONY Plato	PETERO
	PS	04/28/94	94-009	2	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SATISFACTORY SWITT	FL ROD
	PS	05/20/94	94-011	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	NO DISCREPANCIES IDENTIFIED	BELROO
	PS	06/27/94	94-013	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SATISFACTORY	ELROS
	PS	07/29/94	94-014	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS/1 HEAT DETECTOR SURVETLLANCE OBSERVED	SATISFACTORY	ELROD
	PS	01/20/95	94-025	0	FIRE PROTECTION	FIRE DRILL	STIE FIRE RRIGADE AND OFFSITE ASSISTANCE RESPONSE WAS TIMELY WITH EXCELLENT COOPERATION	PREVATTE
	PS	03/31/95	95-004	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SATISFACTORY	PREVATTE
e.	PS	04/01/95	95-007	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SATISFACTORY	FREVATTE
	PS	04/01/95	95-007	1	FIRE PROTECTION	UNIT 1 PRESSURIZER CUBICLE FIRE	APPROPRIATELY EVALUATED AND ADDRESSED	PREVATIE
	PS	04/29/95	95-009	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SATISFACTORY	PREVATTE
	PS	06/03/95	95-010	0	FIRE PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	SATISFACTORY	PREVATIE
	PS	07/01/95	95-012	0	FIRE PROTECTION	FIRE PROTECTION EQUIPMENT	XFRM DELUGE PIPING BADLY CORRODED/REPLACED	PREVATTE
	PS	07/01/95	95-012	0	FIRE PROTECTION	SURVEILLANCES	SATISFACTORY	PREVATIE
	PS	07/01/95	95-012	0	FIRE PROTECTION	PROCEDURE AUDI1	MINOR DEFICIENCIES	PREVATIE
	PS	07/01/95	95-012	0	FIRE PROTECTION	FIRE BRIGADE	DRILL SATISFACTORY, LACKED REALISM, TECHNIQUES WEAK	PREVATIE
	PS	07/01/95	95-012	0	FIRE PROTECTION	FIRE BRIGADE	DEFICIENCIES NOTED IN PHYSICAL QUALIFICATIONS	PREVATIE
	PS	07/01/95	95-012	0	FIRE PROTECTION	FIRE PROTECTION EQUIPMENT - EXTINGUISHERS	SATISFACTORY	PREVATTE
	PS	08/23/94	94-015	0	FIRE PROTECTION, PHYSICAL PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATION	PERFORMED IN HIGHLY PROFESSIONAL MANNER	PREVATTE
	PS	11	95-018	0	FIRE PROTECTION, PHYSICAL PROTECTION, RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION	MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS	NO DEFICIENCIES IDENTIFIED	PREVATTE