

363

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

USNRC

August 27, 1985

85 AUG 29 A11:56

OFFICE OF SECRETARY DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

James L. Kelley, Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Glen O. Bright Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dr. James H. Carpenter Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

> In the Matter of • Carolina Power and Light Company and North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency (Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2) Docket Nos. 50-400 OL and 50-401 OL

Dear Administrative Judges:

5083002

ADOCK

Currently pending before the Board is "Applicants' Motion For Summary Disposition of CCNC Contention WB-3 (Drug Abuse During Construction)" filed on July 12, 1985. On July 31, 1985, the Attorney General of North Carolina filed a petition to intervene pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.715(c), accompanied by a response to Applicants' Motion and the Affidavit of S.L. Burch. The Burch affidavit was proffered to assist the Board in developing a complete record and to enable the Board to make a more informed decision. Applicants were granted leave to submit a reply to the filing by the Attorney General of North Carolina and submitted their reply on August 16, 1985.

The NRC Staff response to the Applicants' Motion was scheduled to be filed on August 22, 1985. However, because of the seriousness with which the Staff regards this issue and the need for the Staff's senior management to consider the Staff's position, the Staff sought an extension of time. The extension of time was granted until August 27, 1985.

-

Having carefully considered the information available to it, the Staff concludes that at this time it does not have sufficient facts to take a position on the Applicants' Motion for Summary Disposition. The Staff has concluded that it needs to obtain additional information concerning the specific work performed by persons known or suspected to be involved in drug use. The Staff will be in communication with the Applicants regarding the need for additional information. Accordingly, the Staff wishes to advise the Board and parties that it will not be filing any response to the Applicants Motion for Summary Disposition.

Sincerely,

Quart A Telby

Stuart A. Treby Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel

cc: Service List