LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT / 142 DELARONDE STREET • P.O. BOX 6008
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70174-6008 • (504) 366-2345

MIDDLE SOUTH UTILITIES SYSTEM

November 15, 1985

W3P85-3158
A4.05
NQA

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Attention: Mr. G. W. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Waterford SES Unit 3
Docket No. 50-382
License Condition No. 13

REFERENCE: 1.) NRR Generic Letter 83-28
"Required Actions Based on Generic Implications of Salem ATWS Events"
2.) Letter W3P84-3344 dated 11/30/84 from K.W. Cook to G. W. Knighton
3.) Letter W3P85-0245 dated 01/30/85 from K.W. Cook to G. W. Knighton

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to the requirement stipulated in License Condition No. 13 of the Waterford 3 Facility Operating License No. NPF-38, Louisiana Power & Light Co. hereby submits the responses to Items 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 3.2 of Reference 1.

Attachment 1 describes the Equipment Classification Program that has been established for all safety-related components at Waterford 3. The information presented in Attachment 1 is consistent with the prerequisites contained in Item 2.2.1.

Attachment 2 addresses the Vendor Interface Program that has been accepted and implemented at Waterford 3 to satisfy the prerequisites of Item 2.2.2.

Attachment 3 documents LP&L's position in relation to the post-maintenance testing of safety-related components, consistent with the actions requested in Item 3.2.

LP&L is confident that the programs established in Attachments 1, 2 & 3 adequately satisfy the guidelines of GL 83-28, Items 2.2.1, 2.2.2 & 3.2, respectively.

A055
1/1

Completion of the above actions and those discussed in References 2 & 3 satisfies the requirement of License Condition No. 13.

Please contact me or R. J. Murillo at (504) 595-2838 should you require further information regarding this matter.

Yours very truly,

K W Cook

K. W. Cook
Nuclear Support and Licensing Manager

KWC/TJG/smb

cc: B. Churchill, W. M. Stevenson, R. D. Martin , D. M. Crutchfield,
J. Wilson, J. Luehman

NS20440

ATTACHMENT 1

Equipment Classification Program

In compliance with the commitment stipulated in W3P83-3911, Section 2.2.1, LP&L has completed a comprehensive review of the Waterford 3 equipment scheme and subsequently has developed a refined listing of safety-related components through the implementation of the Waterford 3 Master Equipment List/Quality List (MEL/Q-List).

The context of the MEL/Q-List is described below as the Waterford 3 Equipment Classification Program for ensuring that components of safety-related systems necessary for accomplishing required safety functions are identified as safety-related.

The criteria for identifying components that are safety-related is based on the following categories as specified in LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-321, "Determination of Safety/Q-Level Components for the MEL/Q-List":

- Piping system components designed and installed in accordance with the requirements of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Section III, subsections NB (Safety Class 1), NC (Safety Class 2) or ND (Safety Class 3);

- Electrical components designated as IEEE Class IE;

- Components designated as Seismic Class 1.

Consequently those components in the plant that fall into one of the above categories are designated as safety-related and identified as such in the MEL/Q-List.

Additionally, the equipment in the plant is considered to be one of the following: an assembly, a component, or a piece part. Cataloging of this equipment has been established at the component level by a Unique Identification (UNID) Numbering Program. This program consists of an alphanumeric identification for each component. Components in the plant are being field verified with a UNID number in accordance with LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-319, "Component Unique Identification (UNID) Numbering Program".

The components are then cataloged by operational system in a computerized Master Equipment List (MEL) utilizing the Station Information Management System (SIMS) data base. The Q-List is a subset of the MEL consisting of all the safety-related components in the plant. The definition of a component for the MEL is governed by LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-310, "MEL/Q-List Component Determination"; determination if a component is safety-related and defined as such for the Q-List is governed by LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-321. Components that have been determined to be safety-related are designated as 'Q-List' in the SIMS data base.

Development of the Q-List was based on an analysis of operational systems to determine if safety-related components exist in each system. An evaluation was completed for each individual component within those systems determined to be 'Q Systems' or 'Part-Q Systems'. Those systems that were determined not to contain any safety-related components were identified as Non-Q Systems. The determination of whether a system was Q, Part-Q or Non-Q was based on a review of controlled design source documents. Documentation of this review is provided by Q System Scoping Forms (QSSF) which identify the source documents used to substantiate the system evaluation.

The basis for determination of the Q-List is an evaluation of each individual component outlined in the QSSF. A review of controlled design source documents identified the Piping code class, electrical designation, and seismic category for each component. Documentation of the evaluation is provided by Q-List Data Forms (QLDF) which are prepared for every component within the system scope and list the source documents utilized in the evaluation.

Assurance that components within the scope of review had been accounted for and none inadvertently excluded from evaluation is documented by a Q System Completion Form (QSCF). The QSCF lists all components that were evaluated against a SIMS printout which contains a list of all components in the operational system.

The Q-List is maintained current by a dedicated staff whose activities are governed by LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-321. This procedure is currently undergoing revision to include the requirements for Q-List maintenance activities, a summary of which follows. Design changes to the plant are evaluated to incorporate any revisions in the Q-List status of components identified to date. New components, regardless of whether the system was previously determined to be Q, Part-Q or Non-Q, are evaluated and documented by an individual QLDF. Upon completion of system review, the QSCF is used to verify completion. This procedure revision is expected to be incorporated by February, 1986.

The hard copy file consisting of all documentation is fully maintained and stored by operational system in the LP&L Document Control Center - Project Files to provide auditable documentation of the basis for component evaluation and validation of the SIMS data base.

The Q-List information for components in the plant is entered into the SIMS data base and validated in accordance with LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-320, "Data Input and Control". It is made available to the Plant Staff by on line access to the data base or by computer reports.

Prior to the implementation of the Q-List, the process by which station personnel determined that an activity was safety-related was governed by Section 3.2 and Table 3.2-1 of the Waterford 3 FSAR. Additionally, various plant procedures such as UNT-5-002 and UNT-8-001 as well as those contained in Appendix A provided guidance to reflect this position.

As a result of the Q-List development, Plant Administrative Procedures UNT-5-002, "Condition Identification and Work Authorization", and UNT-8-001, "Processing of Procurement Documents", are currently under revision to make reference to the use of the Q-List.

In addition to the above noted changes, the procedures contained in Appendix A are also under revision to include utilization of the Q-List for determining the specific classification level of safety-related components. The revision consists of the following:

- Adding the Q-List Report in the Reference Section of the procedure;
- Ensuring that the definitions of a Q-List Report and of safety-related components are incorporated into the procedure; and
- Including a special note in the procedure to not only make reference to the Q-List Report for equipment classification determination but also for identifying equipment qualification requirements.

The above mentioned revisions to Procedures UNT-5-002, UNT-8-001 and those contained in Appendix A will be incorporated by November 30, 1985.

Based on the information provided in the aforementioned procedures, plant personnel can then request data information on a specific component or obtain computer reports for the component in question.

Waterford 3 Administrative Procedure UNT-1-002, "Procedure Classification, Numbering and Format", identifies that if a procedure affects, or could potentially affect, safety-related activities, components, structures or systems, the words "Safety Related" are prominently displayed (stamped) on the title page. A list of procedures that apply to safety-related components for maintenance, surveillance, parts replacement, etc., is provided in APPENDIX C.

The management controls established for activities related to the development, validation and maintenance of the Q-List are governed by procedures and instructions which are prepared, reviewed, and approved in accordance with LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-001, "Preparation and Revision of Project Management Procedure/Instructions". The management controls established for activities related to the routine utilization of the Q-List are governed by Waterford 3 Administrative Procedures UNT-1-002 and QP-5-001, "Instructions, Procedures and Drawings".

The current Waterford 3 Plant Procurement specifications are used for design verification and qualification testing in the procurement of safety-related components. These Procurement specifications include qualification testing for expected safety service conditions and provide support for the receipt of testing documentation to support the limits of life recommended by the supplier.

The Waterford 3 procedure that governs the Plant's Procurement process is UNT-8-001 entitled, "Processing of Procurement Documents".

Specifications imposed upon the vendor are referenced on the Purchase Order Requisition based on either previous orders for the same equipment or specifications supplied by Engineering. Standard Clauses in UNT-8-001 are used to ensure that technical and quality requirements are specified consistently for safety and quality related equipment orders.

Design control and process controls are assured by specifying equipment as indicated on the updated design documents from vendors with a 10 CFR 50 Appendix B QA Program. Any exceptions noted by the vendor must be reviewed and approved by Engineering, in accordance with the applicable regulatory requirements.

Qualification testing is specified by citing the original equipment specifications and by including in the requisition the standard clauses as contained in UNT-8-001, on seismic and environmental qualification specifications. Exceptions noted by the vendor are reviewed and approved by Engineering by one of the following methods:

- UNT-8-001 for a major exception; or

- UNT-7-021 entitled, "Spare Parts Equivalency Evaluation" by a Spare Parts Equivalency Evaluation Request (SPEER).

- PE-2-006 entitled, "Plant Engineering Station Modifications"

Parts received that do not meet purchase order requirements are identified on discrepancy notices which are resolved in a similar manner as the vendor exceptions mentioned above.

APPENDIX A*

Affected Procedures Where Safety Determination Is Reflected

UNT-1-002

UNT-7-003
UNT-7-010
UNT-7-014
UNT-7-021
UNT-7-022
UNT-7-023

PE-1-001

PE-2-005
PE-2-006

* See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations

APPENDIX B

LIST OF PROCEDURE DESIGNATIONS

ME	**Electrical Maintenance Department Procedures**
ME - 3 -	Surveillance Procedures
ME - 4 -	System Maintenance Procedures
ME - 5 -	Calibration Procedures
ME - 7 -	Testing Procedures
MM	**Mechanical Maintenance Department Procedures**
MM - 3 -	Surveillance (Technical Specification Req.) Procedures
MM - 6 -	Repair (Corrective Maintenance) Procedures
MM - 8 -	Refueling Procedures
MI	**Instrumentation and Control Department Procedures**
MI - 1 -	Administrative Procedures
MI - 3 -	Surveillance Procedures
MI - 4 -	Preventive Maintenance Procedures
MI - 5 -	Calibration Procedures
MI - 12 -	Installation Procedures
MI - 13 -	Technical Procedures
OP	**System Operation Procedures**
OP - 4 -	Instrumentation and Control Procedures
OP - 6 -	Electrical Procedures
OP - 9 -	Safety Systems Procedures
OP - 901 -	OFF - Normal Operating Procedures
OP - 902 -	Emergency Operating Procedures
OP - 903 -	Operation Group Surveillance Procedures
PE	**Plant Engineering Procedures**
PE - 1 -	Administrative Procedures
PE - 2 -	Engineering Procedures
PE - 5 -	Surveillances Procedures
UNT	**Waterford 3 Administrative Procedures**
UNT - 1 -	Waterford 3 SES Procedural Program
UNT - 5 -	Plant Operations and Maintenance Procedures
UNT - 7 -	Engineering Controls and Communications Procedures
UNT - 8 -	Budget, Procurement and Material Controls Procedures
QP - 5 -	Plant Quality Administrative Procedure

APPENDIX C*

SAFETY-RELATED PROCEDURES

UNT-7-003
UNT-7-014
UNT-7-021

OP-4-003
OP-4-004
OP-4-006
OP-4-008
OP-4-012

OP-6-001
OP-6-003

OP-9-007

OP-901-011
OP-901-053

OP-902-001
OP-902-008

OP-903-005
OP-903-006
OP-903-102

MM-8-011
MM-8-040
MM-8-041
MM-8-042

ME-4-141
ME-4-145
ME-4-155
ME-4-703
ME-4-809

ME-7-002
ME-7-003
ME-7-004
ME-7-007

MI-1-004
MI-1-005

ALL MI 3's

MI-4-137
MI-4-302
MI-4-303
MI-4-304
MI-4-307
MI-4-308
MI-4-309
MI-4-310
MI-4-313
MI-4-314
MI-4-315
MI-4-316

MI-5-201
MI-5-202
MI-5-203
MI-5-204
MI-5-205
MI-5-206
MI-5-207
MI-5-208
MI-5-209
MI-5-210
MI-5-211
MI-5-212
MI-5-213
MI-5-214
MI-5-215
MI-5-216
MI-5-217
MI-5-218
MI-5-219
MI-5-220
MI-5-221
MI-5-223
MI-5-224
MI-5-231
MI-5-232
MI-5-233
MI-5-235
MI-5-240
MI-5-251
MI-5-254
MI-5-255
MI-5-256
MI-5-257
MI-5-258
MI-5-259
MI-5-260
MI-5-261
MI-5-262
MI-5-263
MI-5-264
MI-5-265
MI-5-266
MI-5-267
MI-5-268
MI-5-269
MI-5-270
MI-5-271
MI-5-272
MI-5-273
MI-5-274
MI-5-275
MI-5-276
MI-5-277
MI-5-278
MI-5-279
MI-5-280
MI-5-281
MI-5-282
MI-5-299
MI-5-306
MI-5-402
MI-5-427
MI-5-428
MI-5-436
MI-5-465
MI-5-501
MI-5-502
MI-5-503
MI-5-504

Continued on next page

* See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations

APPENDIX C*

SAFETY-RELATED PROCEDURES

MI-5-506
MI-5-507
MI-5-508
MI-5-511
MI-5-512
MI-5-514
MI-5-518
MI-5-519
MI-5-520
MI-5-521
MI-5-524
MI-5-525
MI-5-526
MI-5-527
MI-5-528
MI-5-529
MI-5-530
MI-5-532
MI-5-534
MI-5-535
MI-5-536
MI-5-537
MI-5-538
MI-5-539
MI-5-541
MI-5-542
MI-5-545
MI-5-551
MI-5-552
MI-5-554
MI-5-556
MI-5-558
MI-5-561
MI-5-563
MI-5-566
MI-5-569
MI-5-571
MI-5-573
MI-5-574
MI-5-575
MI-5-576
MI-5-581
MI-5-582
MI-5-585
MI-5-587
MI-5-588
MI-5-591
MI-5-592
MI-5-593
MI-5-594
MI-5-595
MI-5-596
MI-5-614
MI-5-621
MI-5-622
MI-5-624
MI-5-629
MI-5-634
MI-5-708
MI-5-709
MI-12-012
MI-12-020
MI-13-121
MI-13-522
MI-13-523
PE-1-001
PE-1-003
PE-1-004
PE-1-006
PE-2-005
PE-2-006
ALL PE 5's

* See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations

ATTACHMENT 2

Vendor Interface Program

For the specific purpose of defining an appropriate vendor interface program, LP&L participated in the Nuclear Utility Task Action Committee (NUTAC) which was formed on September 1, 1983. As stipulated in W3P83-3911, LP&L committed to the implementation of such a program based on the results of the NUTAC recommendations.

In a letter to the NRC (Griffing to Eisenhut dated 09/15/83), NUTAC advised the NRC of the formation and function of the Committee. The NRC concurred with the Committee's position and announced the appointment of a NRC Project Coordinator to participate in the NUTAC matters (as documented in a letter to NUTAC from Eisenhut to Griffing dated 09/28/83).

The NUTAC completed its work in March, 1984 and published its report as the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) document 84-010. LP&L, acting as a participating member, voted to approve the NUTAC final report and thereby endorsed the logic and methodology of that program to satisfy the requirements of Section 2.2.2 of GL 83-28.

Implementation of specific items presented in the NUTAC Report entitled the "Vendor Equipment Technical Information Program" is discussed below. Additionally, LP&L's position and/or status of each item is provided for clarification.

SECTION 4.1: "RESPONSIBILITIES FOR IMPLEMENTATION"

REGARDING SECTION 4.1.1.1: "Existing Programs"

NSS VENDOR CONTACT

LP&L has in place a continuously active, two-way technical information exchange and direct contact with its NSSS supplier, Combustion Engineering.

NPRDS/SEE-IN

LP&L continues to be an active participant in both the Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) and the Significant Event Evaluation and Information Network (SEE-IN) Programs. LP&L can access the NPRDS data base information to assist in the preparation and planning activities that are currently in progress at Waterford 3. Currently, the NPRDS data base contains engineering/test information for systems and components of various plants in the industry which includes Waterford 3. Through constant participation in the NPRDS, LP&L is able to submit failure reports in a timely manner.

The internal procedures needed to receive, analyze, control and disseminate information, whether it is to retrieve such information from or to enter it into the NPRDS and SEE-IN Programs, are complete

and functional. LP&L Project Management Procedure PMP-504, "Operations Assessment and Information Dissemination Group", provides the necessary instructions for evaluating material received from the SEE-IN Program and for disseminating such information to plant personnel and other utilities. LP&L Plant Administrative Procedure PE-01-010, "Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) Data Submission and Retrieval", provides the necessary information for submitting or retrieving data from the NPRDS.

It is the intent of LP&L to continue its active involvement in the NPRDS and SEE-IN Programs and to incorporate, as appropriate and reasonable, any future revisions to these Programs.

OTHER VENDORS

In the past and present circumstances, LP&L has never hesitated to seek assistance and Equipment Technical Information (ETI) from vendors of safety-related equipment. LP&L will continue to make use of this practice as recommended in the NUTAC Report and will also generate the appropriate reports through the use of the NPRDS and SEE-IN Programs.

INTERNAL HANDLING OF ETI

As noted above, the LP&L internal administrative procedures for the NPRDS and SEE-IN Programs are complete and functional. The controls stipulated in the aforementioned procedures (PMP-504, PE-01-010) provide for the processing of incoming ETI such that the objectives outlined in the NUTAC Report are achieved.

INTERNAL HANDLING OF VENDOR SERVICES

LP&L has Plant Procedures that provide for the internal control of vendor services. These controls include the provisions outlined in the NUTAC Report and also provide for the assurance that ETI furnished in conjunction with the performance of Vendor Services is handled in the same manner as other incoming ETI. LP&L Management Procedure PMP-004, "Control of Vendor Information", establishes the methods for receiving, logging, indexing, tracking, reviewing, controlling and distributing vendor information related to Waterford 3. LP&L Plant Administrative Procedure QP-007-001, "Control of Purchased Material, Equipment and Services", describes the acceptable methods to be utilized by the Plant Staff in controlling suppliers of purchased material, quality related material, equipment and services, to include the monitoring and acceptance of the items and services.

REGARDING SECTION 4.1.1.2: "Enhanced Programs"

As previously noted, it is the intent of LP&L to continue its active participation in the NPRDS and SEE-IN Programs and to incorporate, as appropriate and reasonable, any future revisions or enhancements to the Programs. LP&L recognizes that incorporation of such enhancements could involve revisions to existing administrative programs, procedures, training or other related activities.

SECTION 4.2: "SCHEDULE FOR IMPLEMENTATION"

REGARDING SECTION 4.2.1: "Existing Programs"

The LP&L internal procedures as described above incorporate and achieve the guidance and recommendation of the NUTAC Report, particularly that of Sections 3.1 and 4.1.1.1. Future revisions, found to be necessary as the result of extensive usage, will be incorporated on a timely basis.

REGARDING SECTION 4.2.2: "Enchancements to Existing Programs"

As recommended and discussed under Sections 3.2 and 4.1.1.2 of the Report, LP&L will incorporate enhancements to the existing programs on a timely basis. Such incorporation will be implemented 6 months after promulgation by INPO.

ATTACHMENT 3

Post-Maintenance Testing Review

LP&L has conducted a comprehensive review of the Waterford 3 test and maintenance procedures and Technical Specifications (TSs) to assure that post-maintenance operability testing of all safety-related equipment is required to be conducted, and that the testing does demonstrate that the equipment is capable of performing its safety functions prior to being returned to service. The results of the TSs review revealed that post-maintenance testing, when required, is adequate to demonstrate operability. The results of the test and maintenance procedure review concluded that the procedures which require testing will adequately assure the operability of safety-related components before being returned to service. A list of these procedures is provided in Appendix D. In the course of the review, eight (8) procedures were found that require enhancement to demonstrate operability. Consequently, the procedures contained in Appendix E are under revision to include post-maintenance testing. These revisions are expected to be incorporated by November 30, 1985.

In conjunction with the above review, the TSs which required post-maintenance testing were also evaluated to identify those testing requirements which degrade rather than enhance safety. LP&L has concluded that as a result of the evaluation, no post-maintenance testing actually degraded rather than enhanced safety.

Also completed was a check of vendor and engineering recommendations to ensure that appropriate post-maintenance test guidance, where required, is included in the test and maintenance procedures and TSs mentioned above. It was concluded that the existing procedures (See APPENDIX D) implement the post-maintenance guidance. Three (3) Electrical Maintenance Procedures (ME-5-004, ME-7-002 and MM-7-006) are under revision to include recommended test guidance. Revisions are expected to be incorporated by November 30, 1985.

While researching the aforementioned procedures the following observations were noted:

- Information for specific equipment models was available and retrievable for approximately 95% of the safety-related components;

- Guidance applicable to the same component type (i.e., relay, transmitter, etc.) and supplied by the same manufacturer is included in the test and maintenance procedures.

Based on the information provided above, LP&L is confident that the program implemented at Waterford 3 to address post-maintenance testing is adequate for all safety-related components.

APPENDIX D*

PROCEDURES THAT WILL ADEQUATELY ASSURE OPERABILITY
OF SAFETY-RELATED EQUIPMENT

ME-3-001
ME-3-002
ME-3-003
ME-3-005
ME-3-010
ME-3-025
ME-3-030
ME-3-050
ME-3-100
ME-3-110
ME-3-120
ME-3-200
ME-3-210
ME-3-220
ME-3-300
ME-3-306
ME-3-308
ME-3-310
ME-3-311
ME-3-312
ME-3-314
ME-3-315
ME-3-316
ME-3-318
ME-3-319
ME-3-325
ME-3-327
ME-3-330
ME-3-410

ME-4-081
ME-4-085
ME-4-121
ME-4-131
ME-4-141
ME-4-145
ME-4-146
ME-4-151
ME-4-155
ME-4-161
ME-4-172
ME-4-175
ME-4-177
ME-4-201
ME-4-213
ME-4-231
ME-4-235
ME-4-237
ME-4-246
ME-4-252
ME-4-254
ME-4-261
ME-4-271
ME-4-345
ME-4-346
ME-4-351
ME-4-355
ME-4-356
ME-4-361
ME-4-365
ME-4-371
ME-4-385
ME-4-406
ME-4-421
ME-4-452
ME-4-458
ME-4-476
ME-4-479
ME-4-480
ME-4-485
ME-4-501
ME-4-541

ME-5-001
ME-5-002
ME-5-003
ME-5-004
ME-5-005
ME-5-031
ME-5-033
ME-5-051
ME-5-052
ME-5-053
ME-5-062
ME-5-063
ME-5-067
ME-5-070
ME-5-072
ME-5-077
ME-5-084
ME-5-085
ME-5-086
ME-5-087
ME-5-089
ME-5-094
ME-5-099
ME-5-100
ME-5-101
ME-5-102
ME-5-105
ME-5-106
ME-5-107
ME-5-108
ME-5-525

ME-7-001
ME-7-002
ME-7-003
ME-7-005
ME-7-006
ME-7-008
ME-7-009
ME-7-013
ME-7-014
ME-7-026
ME-7-030
ME-7-031
ME-7-032
ME-7-033
ME-7-035
ME-7-036
ME-7-037
ME-7-060

Continued on next page

* See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations

APPENDIX D*

PROCEDURES THAT WILL ADEQUATELY ASSURE OPERABILITY
OF SAFETY-RELATED EQUIPMENT

MM-3-015
MM-3-017
MM-3-027
MM-3-028
MM-3-032

MM-6-001
MM-6-002
MM-6-003
MM-6-005
MM-6-006
MM-6-008
MM-6-009
MM-6-011
MM-6-014
MM-6-016
MM-6-019
MM-6-020
MM-6-102
MM-6-105

MM-8-002
MM-8-003
MM-8-012
MM-8-035

MI-3-111
MI-3-112
MI-3-113
MI-3-114
MI-3-115
MI-3-124
MI-3-140
MI-3-208
MI-3-220
MI-3-317
MI-3-318
MI-3-319
MI-3-320
MI-3-321
MI-3-323
MI-3-324
MI-3-325
MI-3-326
MI-3-331
MI-3-332
MI-3-334
MI-3-335
MI-3-340
MI-3-341
MI-3-342
MI-3-343
MI-3-344
MI-3-345
MI-3-346
MI-3-350
MI-3-352
MI-3-358
MI-3-360
MI-3-362
MI-3-364
MI-3-365
MI-3-366
MI-3-368
MI-3-370
MI-3-371
MI-3-372
MI-3-374
MI-3-376
MI-3-377
MI-3-378
MI-3-380
MI-3-382
MI-3-383
MI-3-384
MI-3-385
MI-3-386
MI-3-387
MI-3-388
MI-3-389
MI-3-390
MI-3-391
MI-3-395
MI-3-396
MI-3-400
MI-3-401
MI-3-409
MI-3-422
MI-3-424
MI-3-425
MI-3-426
MI-3-427
MI-3-430
MI-3-431
MI-3-432
MI-3-434
MI-3-435
MI-3-436
MI-3-437
MI-3-438
MI-3-439
MI-3-441
MI-3-456
MI-3-457
MI-3-458
MI-3-459
MI-3-460
MI-3-461
MI-3-462
MI-3-463
MI-3-464
MI-3-466
MI-3-468
MI-3-469
MI-3-470
MI-3-471
MI-3-472
MI-3-473
MI-3-513
MI-3-514
MI-3-515

Continued on next page

* See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations

APPENDIX D*

PROCEDURES THAT WILL ADEQUATELY ASSURE OPERABILITY
OF SAFETY-RELATED EQUIPMENT

MI-4-303	MI-5-233	MI-5-524	MI-5-576
MI-4-304	MI-5-235	MI-5-525	MI-5-581
MI-4-308	MI-5-251	MI-5-526	MI-5-582
MI-4-309	MI-5-254	MI-5-527	MI-5-583
MI-4-310	MI-5-299	MI-5-528	MI-5-584
MI-4-313	MI-5-306	MI-5-529	MI-5-586
MI-4-314	MI-5-402	MI-5-530	MI-5-587
MI-4-315	MI-5-425	MI-5-532	MI-5-588
MI-4-317	MI-5-426	MI-5-533	MI-5-590
	MI-5-427	MI-5-534	MI-5-591
MI-5-160	MI-5-428	MI-5-535	MI-5-592
MI-5-201	MI-5-461	MI-5-536	MI-5-593
MI-5-202	MI-5-470	MI-5-537	MI-5-594
MI-5-203	MI-5-471	MI-5-538	MI-5-595
MI-5-204	MI-5-472	MI-5-539	MI-5-596
MI-5-205	MI-5-473	MI-5-541	MI-5-598
MI-5-206	MI-5-475	MI-5-545	MI-5-599
MI-5-207	MI-5-501	MI-5-551	MI-5-600
MI-5-208	MI-5-502	MI-5-552	MI-5-602
MI-5-209	MI-5-503	MI-5-554	MI-5-605
MI-5-210	MI-5-504	MI-5-556	MI-5-609
MI-5-211	MI-5-506	MI-5-557	MI-5-610
MI-5-212	MI-5-507	MI-5-558	MI-5-613
MI-5-213	MI-5-508	MI-5-561	MI-5-614
MI-5-214	MI-5-510	MI-5-563	MI-5-619
MI-5-215	MI-5-511	MI-5-566	MI-5-621
MI-5-216	MI-5-512	MI-5-569	MI-5-622
MI-5-217	MI-5-513	MI-5-571	MI-5-623
MI-5-220	MI-5-514	MI-5-572	MI-5-624
MI-5-221	MI-5-518	MI-5-573	MI-5-626
MI-5-223	MI-5-519	MI-5-574	MI-5-628
MI-5-224	MI-5-520	MI-5-575	MI-5-632

Continued on next page

*See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations

APPENDIX D*

PROCEDURES THAT WILL ADEQUATELY ASSURE OPERABILITY
OF SAFETY-RELATED EQUIPMENT

MI-5-634
MI-5-643
MI-5-644
MI-5-645
MI-5-648
MI-5-650
MI-5-702
MI-5-703
MI-5-704
MI-5-705
MI-5-708
MI-5-709
MI-5-900
MI-5-902
MI-5-904
MI-5-910
MI-5-913
MI-5-918
MI-5-919
MI-5-920
MI-5-923
MI-5-947

MI-12-011
MI-12-012
MI-12-013
MI-12-020

MI-13-522
MI-13-523
MI-13-524

*See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations

APPENDIX E*

PROCEDURES UNDER REVISION
TO INCORPORATE
RETEST OPERABILITY REQUIREMENT

MI-5-218
MI-5-219
MI-5-232
MI-5-430
MI-5-460
MI-5-542
MI-5-601

MI-13-004

* See APPENDIX B for List of Procedure Designations