

UNITED STATES

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGIONIV

611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 75011-8064

NOV 27 1996

William T. Cottle, Group Vice President, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION REPORT 50-498/96-04; 50-499/96-04

Thank you for your letter of August 29, 1996, in response to our letter and Notice of Violation dated July 30, 1996. We have reviewed your reply and find it responsive to the concerns raised in our Notice of Violation. We will review the implementation of your corrective actions during a future inspection to determine that full compliance has been achieved and will be maintained.

Sincerely,

L J. E. Dyer, Director

Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos.: 50-498

50-499

License Nos.: NPF-76

NPF-80

cc w/enclosure:

Lawrence E. Martin, General Manager Nuclear Assurance & Licensing Houston Lighting & Power Company P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

Mr. J. C. Lanier/Mr. M. B. Lee City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, Texas 78704 Mr. K. J. Fiedler/Mr. M. T. Hardt City Public Service Board P.O. Box 1771 San Antonio, Texas 78296

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5869

Mr. G. E. Vaughn/Mr. C. A. Johnson Central Power & Light Company P.O. Box 289 Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

INPO Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5957

Dr. Bertram Wolfe 15453 Via Vaquero Monte Sereno, California 95030

Bureau of Radiation Control State of Texas 1100 West 49th Street Austin, Texas 78756

Andy Barrett, Director Environmental Policy Office of the Governor P.O. Box 12428 Austin, Texas 78711

Judge, Matagorda County Matagorda County Courthouse 1700 Seventh Street Bay City, Texas 77414 Licensing Representative Houston Lighting & Power Company Suite 610 Three Metro Center Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Rufus S. Scott, Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P.O. Box 61867 Houston, Texas 77208

Joseph R. Egan, Esq. Egan & Associates. P.C. 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Mr. J. W. Beck Little Harbor Consultants, Inc 44 Nichols Road Cohasset, MA 02025-1166

bcc to DMB (IEO1)

bcc distrib. by RIV:

L. J. Callan Resident Inspector

DRS-PSB

Branch Chief (DRP/A) MIS System
Project Engineer (DRP/A) RIV File

Branch Chief (DRP/TSS) R. Bachmann, OGC (MS: 15-B-18)

Leah Tremper (OC/LFDCB, MS: TWFN 9E10)

DOCUMENT NAME: R:\ STP\ST604AK.DPL

To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

RIV:DRP/A	C:DRP/A	D:DRP000	
RAKopriva;df	JITapia ATT	JEDY67 fr	
11/25/96	11/27/96 /	11/21/96	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

bcc to DMB (IEO1)

bcc distrib. by RIV:

L. J. Callan

Resident Inspector

DRS-PSB

Branch Chief (DRP/A)

MIS System RIV File

Project Engineer (DRP/A)
Branch Chief (DRP/TSS)

R. Bachmann, OGC (MS: 15-B-18)

Leah Tremper (OC/LFDCB, MS: TWFN 9E10)

DOCUMENT NAME: R:\ STP\ST604AK.DPL

To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

RIV:DRP/A	C:DRP/A	D:DRP	
RAKopriva;df	JITapia ATL	JEDYER fr	
11/25/96	11/27/96	11/21/96	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

The Light company

COMPANY
Houston Lighting & Power South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483



August 29, 1996 ST-HL-AE-5451 File No.: G02.04.02 10CFR2.201

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory CommissionAttention: Document Control DeskWashington, DC 20555

South Texas Project
Unit 1
Docket No. STN 50-498
Replies to Notices of Violation 96004-01 and -04

South Texas Project has reviewed Notices of Violation 96004-01 and 96004-04, dated July 30, 1996, and submits the attached replies. It should be noted that information pertaining to the first violation was submitted to the NRC as Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 96-003, dated July 17, 1996. The events described in the Notices of Violation did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

If there are any questions regarding these replies, please contact Mr. S. M. Head at (512) 972-7136 or me at (512) 972-7800.

G. L. Parkey Plant Manager,

Unit 1

DBS/dbs

Attachments: 1. Reply to Notice of Violation 96004-01

2. Reply to Notice of Violation 96004-04

96-1956

Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station

Leonard J. Callan Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Thomas W. Alexion
Project Manager, Mail Code 13H3
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

David P. Loveless
Sr. Resident Inspector
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.
P. O. Box 910
Bay City, TX 77404-0910

J. R. Newman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

M. T. Hardt/W. C. Gunst City Public Service P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

J. C. Lanier/M. B. Lee City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Central Power and Light Company ATTN: G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 77483 ST-HL-AE-5451 File No.: G02.04.02 Page 2

Rufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 61067 Houston, TX 77208

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations - Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

Dr. Bertran Wolfe 15453 Via Vaquero Monte Sereno, CA 95030

Richard A. Ratliff Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

J. R. Egan, Esquire Egan & Associates, P.C. 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

J. W. Beck Little Harbor Consultants, Inc. 44 Nichols Road Cohassett, MA 02025-1166

Reply to Notice of Violation 96004-01

I. Statement of Violation:

Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires, in part, that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained concerning the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978. Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A, recommends, in part, that procedures should be written covering surveillance tests. This requirement is implemented, in part, via the following licensee procedure:

The South Texas Project Electric Generating Station Plant Surveillance Procedure OPSP03-XC-0002, P. VISION 9, "Containment Inspection," was established to implement Technical Specification 4.5.2.c.2. This specification requires that plant personnel verify the operability of the containment emergency core cooling system sump.

By a visual inspection which verifies that no loose debris (rags, trash, clothing, etc.) is present in the containment which could be transported to the containment sump and cause restriction of pump suctions during LOCA conditions.

This visual inspection is required in the areas affected within containment at the completion of each containment entry when containment integrity is established.

Contrary to the above, the licensee failed to maintain Procedure OPSP03-XC-0002, because on May 15, 1996, a visual inspection of areas affected by a containment entry was conducted in accordance with the procedure and failed to verify that no loose debris was present in containment, in that, plastic bags covering equipment staged during the entry were present in containment, could have been transported to the containment sump, and could have caused restriction of pump suctions. This condition existed from 3:50 p.m. on May 15, 1996, until the material was removed and a containment reinspection was completed at 5:13 a.m. on May 16, 1996.

This is a Level IV violation (Supplement I) (498/96004-01).

II. South Texas Project Position:

South Texas Project concurs that the violation occurred.

Attachment 1 ST-HL-AE-5451 Page 2 of 2

III. Reason for the Violation:

The event is described in detail, including the causes, in Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 96-003, dated July 17, 1996.

IV. Corrective Actions:

The corrective actions are outlined in Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 96-003, dated July 17, 1996.

V. Date of Full Compliance:

South Texas Project is in full compliance.

Reply to Notice of Violation 96004-04

I. Statement of Violation:

Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires, in part, that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained concerning the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978. Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A, recommends, in part, that procedures should be written covering general plant operations involving preparation for refueling. This requirement is implemented, in part, via the following licensee procedure:

The South Texas Project Electric Generating Station Plant Operating Procedure 0POP03-ZG-0009, Revision 12, "Mid-Loop Operation," Step 4.37, requires that the two narrow range hot leg water level instruments, including associated remote indications, sightglasses and alarms be available during reactor coolant system drain down to the midloop level.

Contrary to the above, on May 21, 1996, plant personnel failed to implement Procedure 0POP03-ZG-0009, in that, the two narrow range hot leg water level instruments, Level Transmitters LT-3660 and LT-3661, were isolated and unavailable during the reactor coolant system drain down to the midloop.

This is a Level IV violation (Supplement I) (498/96004-04).

II. South Texas Project Position:

South Texas Project concurs that the violation occurred.

III. Reason for the Violation:

The reason for the violation was inadequate procedural guidance.

The narrow range hot leg level transmitters were calibrated on May 20, 1996, in preparation for mid-loop activities. The preventative maintenance document that was used for the calibration contains the following with regards to restoration of the transmitter:

Attachment 2 ST-HL-AE-5451 Page 2 of 3

Coordinate with Operations for the restoration of transmitter to service. A joint conclusion should be reached as to whether transmitter should be placed in service or valved out until mid-loop is reached, depending on plant condition.

Due to the fact that the Reactor Coolant System was still pressurized, it was decided to maintain the narrow range hot leg level transmitters isolated. It was anticipated at this time that the instruments would be unisolated just prior to draindown to mid-loop.

Station procedure 0POP03-ZG-0009 contains the following note in the "Notes and Precautions" section:

The two narrow range hot leg (Loops 1 and 3) water level instruments including associated remote indications, sightglasses and alarms shall be available for draindown to Mid-Loop level.

However, there was no procedure step directing the transmitters be placed in service.

IV. Corrective Actions:

0POP03-ZG-0009 was revised to include an action step requiring I&C to place the narrow range hot leg level instruments in service.

An evaluation of the interface between Operations and I&C regarding configuration control of instrumentation was conducted to ensure there are no associated generic issues. This evaluation revealed no other similar occurrences. Hence, this event is considered an isolated case.

V. Date of Full Compliance:

South Texas Project is in full compliance.

Attachment 2 ST-HL-AE-5451 Page 3 of 3

VI. Additional Information

During the time that draindown to mid-loop was in progress, redundant indications of Reactor Coolant System inventory were available. These included the two trains of Reactor Vess I Water Level indication, the Reactor Coolant System level sightglass, and the loops 1 and 3 Reactor Coolant System level gauges. Throughout the draindown process, personnel were stationed in the Reactor Containment Building, in direct communication with the control room, to monitor the Reactor Coolant System level sightglass, and the loops 1 and 3 Reactor Coolant System level gauges. Frequent comparisons were made between available water level instruments to ensure that water level indications were consistent.

Other indirect indications of Reactor Coolant System level were also available. These included Residual Heat Removal pump discharge pressure, pump flow, and pump amperage. Additionally, an extra Reactor Operator, with no other concurrent duties, was stationed at the Residual Heat Removal System controls in the control room.