WESTINGHOUSE NON-PROPRIETARY CLASS 3

WCAP-14779

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

T. J. Laubham J. F. Williams G. K. Roberts

January 1997

Work Performed Under Shop Order NLDP-106

Prepared by Westinghouse Electric Corporation for Northern States Power Company

Approved:

D. E. Boyle, Manager Reactor Equipment & Materials Engineering

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION Systems and Major Projects Division P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230-0355

© 1997 Westinghouse Electric Corporation All Rights Reserved

9701290146 970115 PDR ADOCK 05000282 PDR PDR

PREFACE

This report has been technically reviewed and verified.

Reviewer:

Sections 1 through 5, 7, 8 Appendices A, B, and C

Section 6

P. A. Grendys F. A. Grendys T. M. Lloyd Timeth Two

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST C	OF TABLES
LIST C	PF ILLUSTRATIONS
1.0	SUMMARY OF RESULTS 1-1
2.0	INTRODUCTION 2-1
3.0	BACKGROUND 3-1
4.0	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM 4-1
5.0	TESTING OF SPECIMENS FROM CAPSULE S 5-1 5.1 Overview 5.2 Charpy V-Notch Impact Test Results
	5.3 Tensile Test Results 5-5 5.4 Wedge Opening Loading (WOL) Specimens 5-6
6.0	RADIATION ANALYSIS AND NEUTRON DOSIMETRY 6-1 6.1 Introduction 6-1 6.2 Discrete Ordinates Analysis 6-2 6.3 Neutron Dosimetry 6-5 6.4 Projections of Pressure Vessel Exposure 6-10
7.0	RECOMMENDED SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE REMOVAL SCHEDULE
8.0	REFERENCES
	APPENDIX A - LOAD-TIME RECORDS FOR CAPSULE S CHARPY IMPACT TESTS A-0
	APPENDIX B - CHARPY V-NOTCH SHIFT RESULTS FOR EACH CAPSULE HAND-DRAWN VS. HYPERBOLIC TANGENT CURVE-FITTING METHOD (CVGRAPH VERSION 4.1)
	APPENDIX C - CHARPY V-NOTCH PLOTS FOR EACH CAPSULE USING HYPERBOLIC TANGENT CURVE-FITTING METHOD
	APPENDIX D - SURVEILLANCE DATA CREDIBILTY EVALUATION C-0
ANALYSIS	OF CAPSULES FROM THE NORTHERN STATES DOWED CONDUCT DE LIDE IN THE MORTHERN

LIST OF TABLES

*

Table 4-1	Heat Treatment of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials	4-3
Table 4-2	Chemical Composition (wt%) of the Unirradiated Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials	4-4
Table 4-3	Chemical Composition of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Charpy Specimens Removed from Surveillance Capsule S	4-5
Table 4-4	Chemistry Results from the Alloy Steel NBS Certified Reference Standards	4-6
Table 4-5	Calculation of Average Cu and Ni Weight Percent Values for Beltline Materials	4-7
Table 5-1	Charpy V-notch Data for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Intermediate Shell Forging C Irradiated to a Fluence of $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV) (Tangential Orientation)	5.7
Table 5-2	Charpy V-notch Data for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Intermediate Shell Forging C Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Axial Orientation)	5-8
Table 5-3	Charpy V-notch Data for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Surveillance Weid Metal Irradiated to a Fluence of $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-9
Table 5-4	Charpy V-notch Data for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-10
Table 5-5	Charpy V-notch Data for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Correlation Monitor Material Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-11
Table 5-6	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Intermediate Shell Forging C Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10 ¹⁹ n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Tangential Orientation)	5-12
Table 5-7	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Intermediate Shell Forging C Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10 ¹⁹ n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Axial Orientation)	5-13

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table 5-8	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Surveillance Weld Metal Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-14
Table 5-9	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-15
Table 5-10	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Correlation Monitor Material Irradiated to a Fluence of $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ (E > 1.0 MeV)}$	5-16
Table 5-11	Effect of Irradiation to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV) on the Notch Toughness Properties of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Capsule S Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials	5-17
Table 5-12	Comparison of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Surveillance Material 30 ft-lb Transition Temperature Shifts and Upper Shelf Energy Decreases with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Predictions	5-18
Table 5-13	Tensile Properties of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials Irradiated to 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-19
Table 6-1	Calculated Fast Neutron Exposure Rates and Iron Atom Displacement Rates at the Surveillance Capsule Center	6-14
Table 6-2	Calculated Azimuthal Variation of Fast Neutron Exposure Rates and Iron Atom Displacement Rates at the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface	6-16
Table 6-3	Relative Radial Distribution of $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ Within the Reactor Vessel Wall	6-18
Table 6-4	Relative Radial Distribution of $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$ Within the Reactor Vessel Wall	6-19
Table 6-5	Relative Radial Distribution of dpa/sec Within the Reactor Vessel Wall	6-20

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table 6-6	Nuclear Parameters Used in the Evaluation of Neutron Sensors	6-21
Table 6-7	Monthly Thermal Generation During the First Seventeen Fuel Cycles of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor	6-22
Table 6-8	Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates, Surveillance Capsule S Saturated Activities and Reaction Rates	6-26
Table 6-9	Summary of Neutron Dosimetry Results Surveillance Capsules S, R, P, and V	6-30
Table 6-10	Comparison of Measured and FERRET Calculated Reaction Rates at the Surveillance Capsule Center	6-31
Table 6-11	Adjusted Neutron Energy Spectrum at the Center of Surveillance Capsule	6-33
Table 6-12	Comparison of Calculated and Measured Integrated Neutron Exposure of Prairie Island Unit 1 Surveillance Capsulas S, R, P, and V	6-37
Table 6-13	Neutron Exposure Projections at Key Locations on the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface	6-38
Table 6-14	Neutron Exposure Values Within the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel	6-39
Table 6-15	Updated Lead Factors for Prairie Island Unit 1 Surveillance Capsules	6-40
Table 7-1	Recommended Surveillance Capsule Removal Schedule for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel	7-1

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 4-1	Arrangement of Surveillance Capsules in the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel	4-8
Figure 4-2	Capsule S Diagram Showing the Location of Specimens, Thermal Monitors, and Dosimeters	4-9
Figure 5-1	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)	5-20
Figure 5-2	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)	5-21
Figure 5-3	Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)	5-22
Figure 5-4	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)	5-23
Figure 5-5	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)	5-24
Figure 5-6	Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)	5-25
Figure 5-7	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal	5-26
Figure 5-8	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal	5-27
Figure 5-9	Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal	5-28
Figure 5-10	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal	5-29
Figure 5-11	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal	5-30

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

×

Figure 5-12 Chai Read	rpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 ctor Vessel Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal	5-31
Figure 5-13 Chai Read	rpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 ctor Vessel Correlation Monitor Material	5-32
Figure 5-14 Cha Unit	rpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island 1 Reactor Vessel Correlation Monitor Material	5-33
Figure 5-15 Cha Rea	rpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 ctor Vessel Correlation Monitor Material	5-34
Figure 5-16 Cha Rea	rpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 ctor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)	5-35
Figure 5-17 Cha Rea	rpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 ctor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)	5-36
Figure 5-18 Cha Fica	rpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 July Vessel Weld Metal	5-37
Figure 5-19 Cha Rea	rpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 actor Vessel Weld Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal	5-38
Figure 5-20 Cha Rea	rpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 actor Vessel Correlation Monitor Material	5-39
Figure 5-21 Ten Inter	sile Properties for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel rmediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)	5-40
Figure 5-22 Ten Inte	sile Properties for the Prairie island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel rmediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)	5-41
Figure 5-23 Ten Met	sile Properties for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld	5-42
Figure 5-24 Frac Ves	ctured Tensile Specimens from the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor sel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)	F,-43

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Figure 5-25	Fractured Tensile Specimens from the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)	5-44
Figure 5-26	Fractured Tensile Specimens from the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal	5-45
Figure 5-27	Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Tensile Specimens N7 and N8 (Tangential Orientation)	5-46
Figure 5-28	Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Tensile Specimen N9 (Tangential Orientation)	5-47
Figure 5-29	Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Tensile Specimens S7 and S8 (Axial Orientation)	5-48
Figure 5-30	Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Tensile Specimen S9 (Axial Orientation)	5-49
Figure 5-31	Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal Tensile Specimens W7 and W8	5-50
Figure 5-32	Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal Tensile Specimen W9	5-51
Figure 6-1	Plan View of a Reactor Vessel Surveillance Capsule	6-13

1.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The analysis of the reactor vessel materials contained in surveillance Capsule S, the fourth capsule to be removed from the Northern States Power Company Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor pressure vessel, led to the following conclusions:

- The capsule received an average fast neutron fluence (E > 1.0 MeV) of 4.017 x 10¹⁹
 n/cm² after 18.12 Effective Full Power Years (EFPY) of plant operation.
- Irradiation of the reactor vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Charpy specimens, oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen parallel to the major rolling direction (tangential orientation), to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 101.46°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 105.15°F. This results in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 62.55°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 98.80°F for the tangentially-oriented specimens.
- Irradiation of the reactor vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Charpy specimens, oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen perpendicular to the major rolling direction (axial orientation), to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 74.27°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 76.68°F. This results in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 42.95°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 80.63°F for the axially-oriented specimens.
- Irradiation of the weld metal Charpy specimens to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 160.43°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 170.84°F. This results in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 95.98°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 143.91°F.
- Irradiation of the weld Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) metal Charpy specimens to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 137.11°F and a 50 tt-lb transition temperature increase of 98.20°F. This results in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of -62.89°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of -26.80°F.
- Irradiation of the correlation monitor material Charpy specimens to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 166.08°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 159.58°F. This results in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 212.29°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 237.98°F.

- The average upper shelf energy of Intermediate Shell Forging C (tangential orientation) resulted in an energy decrease of 15.5 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV). This results in an irradiated average upper shelf energy of 142.5 ft-lb for the tangentially-oriented specimens.
- The average upper shelf energy of Intermediate Shell Forging C (axial orientation) resulted in an energy decrease of 8 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV). This results in an irradiated average upper shelf energy of 135 ft-lb for the axially-oriented specimens.
- The average upper shelf energy of the weld metal Charpy specimens resulted in an energy increase of 6 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV). This results in an irradiated upper shelf energy of 84.5 ft-lb for the weld metal specimens.
- The average upper shelf energy of the weld HAZ metal decreased 75 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV). This results in an irradiated upper shelf energy of 136 ft-lb for the weld HAZ metal.
- The average upper shelf energy of the correlation monitor material decreased 41 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV). This results in an irradiated upper shelf energy of 82.5 ft-lb for the correlation monitor material.
- The surveillance Capsule S test results indicate that all 30 ft-lb transition temperature shifts are greater than the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1], predictions. However, the shift values are less than the two-sigma allowance required by Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 for all of the materials except intermediate shell forging C (tangential orientation) and the weld metal.
- The surveillance Capsule S test results indicate that all average upper shelf energy decreases of the surveillance materials are less than the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, with exception of the correlation monitor material.
- The surveillance capsule materials exhibit a more than adequate upper shelf energy level for continued safe plant operation and are expected to maintain an upper shelf energy of no less than 50 ft-lb throughout the life of the vessel (35 EFPY) as required by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G^[2].

The calculated 35 EFPY maximum neutron fluence (E > 1.0 MeV) for the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel is as follows:

Vessel inner radius*	=	3.07 x	10 ¹⁹	n/cm ²
Vessel 1/4 thickness	=	1.96 x	10 ¹⁹	n/cm ²
Vessel 3/4 thickness	=	6.02 x	10 ¹⁸	n/cm ²
* Clad/base metal inte	erfac	e		

.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the examination of Capsule S, the fourth capsule to be removed from the reactor in the continuing surveillance program which monitors the effects of neutron irradiation on the Northern States Power Company Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor pressure vessel materials under actual operating conditions.

The surveillance program for the Northern States Power Company Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor pressure vessel materials was designed and recommended by the Westinghouse Electric Corporation. A description of the surveillance program and the pre-irradiation mechanical properties of the reactor vessel materials is presented in WCAP-8086 entitled "Northern States Power Co. Prairie Island Unit No. 1 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program"^[3]. The surveillance program was planned to cover the 40-year design life of the reactor pressure vessel and was based on ASTM E185-70, "Recommended Practice for Surveillance Tests for Nuclear Reactor Vessels". Westinghouse personnel were contracted to aid in the preparation of procedures for removing Capsule S from the reactor and its shipment to the Westinghouse Science and Technology Center Hot Cell Facility, where the post-irradiation mechanical testing of the Charpy V-notch impact and tensile surveillance speciments was performed.

This report summarizes the testing of and the post-irradiation data obtained from surveillance Capsule S removed from the Northern States Power Company Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel and discusses the analysis of the data.

3.0 BACKGROUND

The ability of the large steel pressure vessel containing the reactor core and its primary coolant to resist fracture constitutes an important factor in ensuring safety in the nuclear industry. The beltline region of the reactor pressure vessel is the most critical region of the vessel because it is subjected to significant fast neutron bombardment. The overall effects of fast neutron irradiation on the mechanical properties of low alloy, ferritic pressure vessel steels such as A508 Class 3 (base material of the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor pressure vessel) are well documented in the literature. Generally, low alloy ferritic materials show an increase in hardness and tensile properties and a decrease in ductility and toughness during high energy irradiation.

A method for performing analyses to guard against fast fracture in reactor pressure vessels has been presented in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code^[4]. The method uses fracture mechanics concepts and is based on the reference nil-ductility temperature (RT_{NDT}).

RT_{NDT} is defined as the greater of either the drop weight nil-ductility transition temperature (NDTT per ASTM E208⁽⁵⁾) or the temperature 60°F less than the 50 ft-lb (and 35-mil lateral expansion) temperature as determined from Charpy specimens oriented normal (tangential) to the major working direction of the forging. The RT_{NDT} of a given material is used to index that the aterial to a reference stress intensity factor curve (K_{ia} curve) which appears in Appendix G to the ASME Code. The K_{ia} curve is a lower bound of dynamic, crack arrest, and static fracture toughness results obtained from several heats of pressure vessel steel. When a given material is indexed to the K_{ia} curve, allowable stress intensity factors can be obtained for this material as a function of temperature. Allowable operating limits can then be determined using these allowable stress intensity factors.

 RT_{NDT} and, in turn, the operating limits of nuclear power plants, can be adjusted to account for the effects of radiation on the reactor vessel material properties. The radiation embrittlement changes in mechanical properties of a given reactor pressure vessel steel can be monitored by a reactor surveillance program, such as the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program^[3], in which a surveillance capsule is periodically removed from the operating nuclear reactor and the encapsulated specimens tested. The increase in the average Charpy V-notch 30 ft-lb temperature (ΔRT_{NDT}) due to irradiation is added to the initial RT_{NDT} to adjust the RT_{NDT} for radiation embrittlement. This adjusted reference temperature ($ART = initial RT_{NDT} + \Delta RT_{NDT}$) is used to index the material to the K_{la} curve and, in turn, to set operating limits for the nuclear power plant which take into account the effects of irradiation on the reactor vessel materials.

4.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM

Six surveillance capsules for monitoring the effects of neutron exposure on the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor pressure vessel core region materials were inserted in the reactor vessel prior to initial plant start-up. The six capsules were positioned in the reactor vessel between the thermal shield and the vessel wall as shown in Figure 4-1. The test capsules are in baskets attached to the thermal shield. The vertical center of the capsules is opposite the vertical center of the core.

Capsule S was removed after 18.12 Effective Full Power Years (EFPY) of plant operation. The capsule contained Charpy V-notch impact specimens made from Intermediate Shell Forging C and weld metal which joined sections of material from the intermediate and lower shell rings, heat-affected-zone, and ASTM correlation monitor material. Additionally, tensile and Wedge Opening Loading (WOL) specimens were included in the capsule (Figure 4-2).

Test material obtained from the Intermediate Shell Forging (heat-treated with the shell) was taken at least one forging thickness (6.692 inches) from the quenched edges of the forging. All test specimens were machined from the 1/4-thickness location of the forging after performing a simulated postweld, stress-relieving treatment. Specimens were machined from weld metal and the heat-affected-zone (HAZ) metal of a stress-relieved weldment joining sections of the intermediate and lower shell forgings. All heat-affected-zone specimens were obtained from the weld heat-affected-zone of Intermediate Shell Forging C. The A533 Grade B Class 1 material (HSST Plate 02) for the correlation monitor plate specimens was supplied by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory from a 12-inch-thick plate.

Charpy V-notch impact specimens from Intermediate Shell Forging C were machined in both the axial orientation (longitudinal axis of specimen normal to major working direction) and tangential orientation (longitudinal axis of specimen parallel to major working direction). The core region weld Charpy impact specimens were machined from the weldment such that the long dimension of the Charpy was normal to the weld direction; the notch was machined such that the direction of crack propagation in the specimen was in the weld direction.

Tensile specimens were machined with the longitudinal axis of the specimen in the major working direction (tangential) and also normal to the major working direction (axial) of the shell ring forging.

WOL test specimens were machined in a tangential direction so that the loading of the specimen would be in the major working direction of the forging with the simulated crack propagating in the axal direction. In addition, axial specimens were machined so that the loading of the specimens would be in the axial direction of the forging with the simulated crack propagating in the major working direction. All specimens were fatigue pre-cracked per ASTM E399-70T.

The heat treatment of the beltline region materials is presented in Table 4-1. The results of the chemical analyses on the unirradiated beltline region materials are presented in Table 4-2, which were obtained from the surveillance program report^[3]. Additionally, a chemical analysis using Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICPS) was performed on four irradiated Charpy specimens, three weld metal and one base metal, and is reported in Table 4-3. The chemistry results from the NBS certified reference standards are reported in Table 4-4. The results were obtained from the Westinghouse Electric Corporation Nuclear Services Division CMT Analytical Laboratory^[7]. Table 4-5 provides the calculations of the average Cu and Ni weight percent values of the reactor vessel beltline materials, which were used in the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance Capsule S calculations.

Capsule S contained dosimeter wires of pure copper, iron, nickel, and aluminum-0.15 weight percent cobalt wire (cadmium-shielded and unshielded). In addition, cadmium shielded dosimeters of neptunium (Np²³⁷) and uranium (U²³⁸) were placed in the capsule to measure the integrated flux at specific neutron energy levels.

Thermal monitors made of two low-melting eutectic alloys and sealed in Pyrex tubes were included in the capsule. These thermal monitors were used to define the maximum temperature attained by the test specimens during irradiation. The composition of the two alloys and their melting points are as follows:

2.5% Ag, 97.5% Pb	Melting Point:	579°F (304°C)
1.75% Ag, 0.75% Sn, 97.5% Pb	Melting Point:	590°F (310°C)

The arrangement of the various mechanical specimens, dosimeters and thermal monitors contained in Capsule S is shown in Figure 4-2.

	TABLE	4-1	
Heat Treatment	of the Prairie Island U Material	nit 1 Reactor Ves s ^[3]	sel Surveillance
Material	Temperature (°F)	Time (hours)	Coolant
	Heated to 1652/1715	5	Water-quenched
	Tempered at 1175/1238	5	Furnace-cooled
	Heated to 1652/1724	5 1/2	Water-quenched
Intermediate Shell Forging C	Tempered at 1202/1238	5	Furnace-cooled
	Stress Relieved at 1022	8	Furnace-cooled
	Stress Relieved at 1112	14	Furnace-cooled
Woldmont	Stress Relieved at 1022	5	Furnace-cooled
Weldment	Stress Relieved at 1112	7	Furnace-cooled
	1675 ± 25	4	Air-cooled
Correlation	1600 ± 25	4	Water-quenched
Monitor Material	1125 ± 25	4	Furnace-cooled
	1150 ± 25	40	Furnace-cooled to 600°F

 $\dot{\pi}$

(Chemical Composition (wt9 Reactor Ves	6) of the Unirradiated sel Surveillance Mate	Prairie Island Unit 1 rials ^[3]	1		
Element Intermediate Shell Weld Metal Correlation Monitor M						
	Forging C		Ladle	Check		
С	0.17	0.052	0.22	0.22		
Mn	1.41	1.30	1.45	1.48		
P	0.013	0.017	0.011	0.012		
S	0.005	0.014	0.019	0.018		
Si	0.28	0.36	0.22	0.25		
Mo	0.48	0.51	0.53	0.52		
Ni	0.72		0.62	0.68		
Cr	0.17	0.015	**			
v	<0.002	0.001				
Cu	0.06	0.13	**	0.14		
Co	0.010	0.001 ^(a)				
AI	0.033	0.015				
N ₂	0.006	0.014				
Sn	0.007	0.007				
Zn	0.001	0.001 ^(a)				
Ti	0.001 ^(a)	0.001	85	80		
Zr	0.001	0.001				
As	0.011	0.061		8.4		
Sb	0.001	0.001				
В	0.003 ^(a)	0.003 ^(a)				

(a) Not detected. The number indicates the minimum limit of detection.

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

And the other states and a state of the		TABLE 4-3		
Chemica	al Composition of th Removed fi	he Prairie Island rom Surveillance	Unit 1 Charpy Sp Capsule S	pecimens
Base Metal Weld Metal				
Element	S-25	W-18	W-22	W-23
AI	0.03	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
As	0.03	0.14	0.13	0.12
В	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Со	0.014	0.022	0.021	0.019
Cr	0.217	0.024	0.018	0.014
Cu	0.078	0.149	0.138	0.143
Mn	1.97	1.67	1.60	1.42
Mo	0.71	0.67	0.64	0.58
Ni	0.956	0.138	0.118	0.091
Р	0.018	0.025	0.024	0.022
Si	0.350	0.334	0.325	0.338
Sn	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Ti	0.005	<0.002	<0.002	0.017
V	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Zr	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Carbon	0.182	0.067	0.072	0.060
Sulfur	0.012	0.015	0.016	0.016

		TABLE 4-4		entering and the second second		
Chemistı)	Results from the	Low Alloy Steel N	BS Certified Referen	nce Standards		
		Concentration in	n Weight Percent			
	NBS	-362	NBS-1	21d		
Element	Measured	Certified	Measured	Certified		
AI	0.06	0.09				
As	0.10	0.09				
В	**	0.003				
Co	0.32	0.30	**			
Cr	0.29	0.30				
Cu	0.50	0.50				
Mn	1.17	1.04		90'00		
Мо	0.069	0.068				
Ni	0.62	0.59				
Ρ	0.03	0.04	**	**		
Si	0.410	0.39	5%	**		
Sn	0.017	0.016		ara		
Ti	0.030	0.08		**		
V	0.042	0.040				
Zr	0.22	0.19		**		
	NBS	-362	NBS-121d			
С	0.161	0.160	**			
S	**	5 9	0.013	0.013		

				TABLE 4	5			
	Calculation	of Average	Cu and N	i Weight P	ercent Value	es for Beltlin	e Materials	
	Intermedi Forgir	iate Shell ng C ^(a)	Lower Forg	r Shell ing D	Inter. to L Circum Wei	ower Shell Ierential Id ^(a, b)	A533 Gr. B, CL1 Correlation Monito Material (HSST Plate 02)	
Ref.	Cu %	Ni %	Cu %	Ni %	Cu %	Ni %	Cu %	Ni %
8	0.06	0.72						
8	0.06	0.72						
9			0.07	0.66				
9			0.065	0.66				
3					0.13		0.14	0.68
6					0.13	0.09		
7	0.078	0.956			0.149	0.138		
7		and and an appropriate a superior			0.138	0.118		
7					0.143	0.091		
Avg.	0.07	0.80	0.07	0.66	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.68

NOTES:

(a) Surveillance program material

(b) The surveillance weld specimens were made of the same wire and flux as the intermediate to lower shell circular seam (Wire UM 89, Heat Number 1752, UM 89 Flux, Batch Number 1230).

4-7



Figure 4-1 Arrangement of Surveillance Capsules in the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel

4-8



SPECIMEN NUMBERING CODE:

N - FORGING C (TANGENTIAL)

S - FORGING C (AXIAL)

R - ASTM CORRELATION MONITOR

W - WELD METAL

H - HEAT AFFECTED ZONE MATERIAL



CENTER REGION OF VESSEL

TO TOP OF VESSEL

TO BOTTOM OF VESSEL

Figure 4-2 Capsule S Diagram Showing the Location of Specimens, Thermal Monitors and Dosimeters

5.0 TESTING OF SPECIMENS FROM CAPSULE S

5.1 Overview

The post-irradiation mechanical testing of the Charpy V-notch and tensile specimens was performed at the Remote Metallographic Facility (RMF) at the Westinghouse Science and Technology Center (STC). Testing was performed in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H^[10], ASTM Specification E185-82^[11], and Westinghouse Procedure RMF 8402, Revision 2, as modified by Westinghouse RMF Procedures 8102, Revision 1, and 8103, Revision 1.

Upon receipt of the capsule at the hot cell laboratory, the specimens and spacer blocks were carefully removed, inspected for identification number, and checked against the master list in WCAP-8086^[3]. No discrepancies were found.

Thermal monitors made from two low-melting point eutectic alloys sealed in Pyrex tubes were included in the capsule. Examination of the two low-melting point 579°F (304°C) and 590°F (310°C) eutectic alloys indicated no melting of either type of thermal monitor. Based on this examination, the maximum temperature to which the specimens were exposed was less than 579°F (304°C).

The Charpy impact tests were performed per ASTM Specification E23-93a^[12] and RMF Procedure 8103, Revision 1, on a Tinius-Olsen Model 74, 358J machine. The tup (striker) of the Charpy machine is instrumented with a GRC 830-I instrumentation system, feeding into an IBM compatible 486 computer. With this system, load-time and energy-time signals can be recorded in addition to the standard measurement of Charpy energy (E_D). From the load-time curve (Appendix A), the load of general yielding (P_{GY}), time to general yielding (t_{GY}), maximum load (P_M), and time to maximum load (t_M) can be determined. Under some test conditions, a sharp drop in load indicative of fast fracture was observed. The load at which fast fracture was initiated is identified as the fast fracture load (P_F), and the load at which fast fracture terminated is identified as the arrest load (P_A).

The energy at maximum load (E_M) was determined by comparing the energy-time record and the load-time record. The energy at maximum load is approximately equivalent to the energy required to initiate a crack in the specimen. Therefore, the propagation energy for the crack (E_p) is the difference between the total energy to fracture (E_p) and the energy at maximum load (E_M) .

The yield stress (σ_{y}) was calculated from the three-point bend formula having the following expression:

$$\sigma_{\gamma} = P_{G\gamma} \frac{L}{B(W-a)^2 C}$$
(1)

where L is the distance between the specimen supports in the impact testing machine; B is the width of the specime measured parallel to the notch; W is the height of the specimen, measured perpendicularly to the notch; and a is the notch depth. The constant C is dependent on the notch flank angle (ϕ), notch root radius (ρ), and the type of loading (i.e., pure bending or three-point bending).

In three-point bending a Charpy specimen in which $\phi = 45^{\circ}$ and $\rho = 0.010$ inches, Equation 1 is valid with C = 1.21. Therefore (for L = 4W),

$$\sigma_{Y} = P_{GY} \frac{L}{B(W-a)^{2} 1.21} = \frac{3.33 P_{GY} W}{B(W-a)^{2}}$$
(2)

For the Charpy specimens, B is 0.394 in., W is 0.394 in., and a is 0.079 in. Equation 2 then reduces to:

$$\sigma_{\gamma} = 33.3 * P_{GY} \tag{3}$$

where σ_{γ} is in units of psi and P_{GY} is in units of Ib. The flow stress was calculated from the average of the yield and maximum loads, also using the three-point bend formula.

Symbol A is columns 4, 5, and 6 of Tables 5-6 through 5-10 is the cross-sectional area under the notch of the Charpy specimens:

A=B*(W-a)=0.1241 square inches (4)

Percent shear was determined from post-fracture photographs using the ratio-of-areas methods in compliance with ASTM Specification A370-92^[13]. The lateral expansion was measured using a dial gage rig similar to that shown in the same specification.

Tension tests were performed on a 20,000-pound Instron Model 1115, split-console test machine, per /.STM Specification E8-93^[14] and E21-92^[15], and RMF Procedure 8102, Revision 1. The upper pull rod of the test machine was connected through a universal joint to improve axiality of loading. The tests were conducted at a constant crosshead speed of 0.05 inches per minute throughout the test.

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM Extension measurements were made with a linear variable displacement transducer (LVDT) extensioneter. The extensioneter knife edges were spring-loaded to the specimen and operated through specimen failure. The extensioneter gage length is 1.00 inch. The extensioneter is rated as Class B-2 per ASTM E83-93^[16].

Elevated test temperatures were obtained with a three-zone electric resistance split-tube furnace with a nine-inch hot zone. All tests were conducted in air.

Because of the difficulty in remotely attaching a thermocouple directly to the specimen, the following procedure was used to monitor specimen temperature. Chromel-Alumel thermocouples were inserted in shallow holes in the center, each end of the gage section of a dummy specimen, and in each grip. In the test configuration, with a slight load on the specimen, a plot of specimen temperature versus upper and lower grip and controller temperatures was developed over the range of room temperature to 550°F (288°C). The upper grip was used to control the furnace temperature. During the actual testing, the grip temperatures were used to obtain desired specimen temperatures. Experiments indicate that this method is accurate to $\pm 2°F$.

The yield load, ultimate load, fracture load, total elongation, and uniform elongation were determined directly from the load-extension curve. The yield strength, ultimate strength, and fracture strength were calculated using the original cross-sectional area. The final diameter and final gage length were determined from post-fracture photographs. The fracture area used to calculate the fracture stress (true stress at fracture) and percent reduction in area was computed using the final diameter measurement.

5.2 Charpy V-Notch Impact Test Results

The results of the Charpy V-notch impact tests performed on the various materials contained in Capsule S, which was irradiated to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV), are presented in Tables 5-1 through 5-10. The unirradiated and Capsule S results, as well as the results from previously tested capsules, are presented in Figures 5-1 through 5-15. These figures were generated using the hyperbolic tangent curve-fitting program CVGRAPH, Version 4.1. The transition temperature increases and upper shelf energy decreases for the Capsule S materials are summarized ble 5-11.

Irradiation of the reactor vesser intermediate Shell Forging C Charpy specimens oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen parallel to the major rolling direction of the forging (tangential orientation) to 4.017×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Figure 5-1) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 101.46°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 105.15°F. This resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 62.55°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 98.80°F (tangential orientation).

The average upper shelf energy (USE) of the Intermediate Shell Forging C Charpy specimens (tangential orientation) resulted in a energy decrease of 15.5 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV). This results in an irradiated average USE of 142.5 ft-lb (Figure 5-1).

Irradiation of the reactor vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Charpy specimens oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen perpendicular to the major rolling direction of the forging (axial orientation) to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV) (Figure 5-4) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 74.27°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 76.68°F. This resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 42.95°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 80.63°F (axial orientation).

The average upper shelf energy (USE) of the Intermediate Shell Forging C Charpy specimens (axial orientation) resulted in a energy decrease of 8 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV). This results in an irradiated average USE of 135 ft-lb (Figure 5-4).

Irradiation of the surveillance weld metal Charpy specimens to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV) (Figure 5-7) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature shift of 160.43°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 170.84°F. This results in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 95.98°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 143.91°F.

The average upper shelf energy (USE) of the surveillance weld metal resulted in an energy increase of 6 ft-lb after irradiation to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV). This resulted in an irradiated average USE of 84.5 ft-lb (Figure 5-7).

Irradiation of the reactor vessel weld HAZ metal Charpy specimens to 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Figure 5-10) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 127.11°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 98.20°F. This resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of -62.89°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of -26.80°F.

The average upper shelf energy (USE) of the weld HAZ metal resulted in an energy decrease of 75 ft-lb after irradiation to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV). This resulted in an irradiated average USE of 136 ft-lb (Figure 5-10).

Irradiation of the reactor vessel correlation monitor material Charpy specimens to 4.017×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Figure 5-13) resulted in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 166.08°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 159.58°F. This resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 212.29°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 237.98°F.

The average upper shelf energy (USE) of the correlation monitor material resulted in an energy decrease of 41 ft-lb after irradiation to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV). This resulted in an irradiated average USE of 82.5 ft-lb (Figure 5-13).

The fracture appearance of each irradiated Charpy specimen from the various materials is shown in Figures 5-16 through 5-20 and show an increasingly ductile or tougher appearance with increasing test temperature.

A comparison of the measured 30 ft-lb transition temperature increases and upper shelf energy decreases for the various Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance materials with predicted values using the methods of NRC Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1], is presented in Table 5-12 and led to the following conclusions:

- The surveillance Capsule S test results indicate that all 30 ft-lb transition temperature shifts are greater than the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, predictions. However, the shift values are less than the two-sigma allowance required by Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 for all of the materials except intermediate shell forging C (tangential orientation) and the weld metal.
- The surveillance Capsule S test results indicate that all average upper shelf energy decreases of the surveillance materials are less than the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, predictions with exception of the correlation monitor material.

The Charpy V-notch property changes presented in WCAP-8086, WCAP-8916, WCAP-10102, and WCAP-11006 are based on hand-fit Charpy curves using engineering judgement. However, the results presented in this report are based on a re-plot of the capsule data using CVGRAPH, Version 4.1, a hyperbolic tangent curve-fitting program. Hence, Appendix B contains a comparison of the Charpy V-notch shift results for each surveillance material, hand-fit versus hyperbolic tangent curve-fitting. Additionally, Appendix C presents the CVGRAPH, Version 4.1, Charpy V-notch plots and program input data.

The load-time records for the Capsule S individual instrumented Charpy specimen tests are presented in Appendix A.

5.3 Tensile Test Results

The results of the tensile tests performed on the various materials contained in Capsule S, irradiated to 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV), are presented in Table 5-13 and are compared with unirradiated results as shown in Figures 5-21 through 5-23.

The results of the tensile tests performed on the Intermediate Shell Forging C (tangential orientation) indicated that irradiation to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV) caused a 12 to 14 ksi increase in the 0.2 percent offset yield strength and a 9 to 10 ksi increase in the ultimate tensile strength when compared to unirradiated data (Figure 5-21).

The results of the tensile tests performed on the Intermediate Shell Forging C (axial orientation) indicated that irradiation to $4.017 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1.0 MeV) caused a 10 to 14 ksi increase in the 0.2 percent offset yield strength and a 8 to 12 ksi increase in the ultimate tensile strength when compared to unirradiated data (Figure 5-22).

The results of the tensile tests performed on the surveillance weld metal indicated that irradiation to 4.017 x 10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) caused a 9 to 16 ksi increase in the 0.2 percent offset yield strength and a 5 to 10 ksi increase in the ultimate tensile strength when compared to unirradiated data (Figure 5-23).

The fractured tensile specimens for the Intermediate Shell Forging C material are shown in Figures 5-24 and 5-25, while the fractured specimens for the surveillance weld metal are shown in Figure 5-26. The engineering stress-strain curves for the tensile tests are shown in Figures 5-27 through 5-32.

5.4 Wedge Opening Loading (WOL) Specimens

Per the surveillance capsule testing contract with the Northern States Power Company, WOL specimens will not be tested. The specimens will be stored at the Westinghouse Science and Technology Center Hot Cell.

			TABLE	5-1			
Charp	y V-notch I Irradiat	Data for the ed to a Flue (Prairie Islar nce of 4.01 Tangential C	nd Unit 1 In 7 x 10 ¹⁹ n/c Drientation)	termediate s m² (E > 1.0	Shell Forgin MeV)	g C
Sample	Temp	erature	Impact	Energy	Lateral E	xpansion	Shea
Number	(°F)	(°C)	(ft-lb)	(J)	(mils)	(mm)	(%)
N27	-25	-32	9	12	4	0.10	5
N28	25	-4	10	14	10	0.25	5
N32	26	-3	33	45	26	0.66	10
N25	72	22	35	47	29	0.74	10
N31	100	38	51	69	37	0.94	15
N29	125	52	68	92	51	1.30	30
N35	150	66	74	100	54	1.37	35
N34	175	79	106	144	77	1.96	60
N26	250	121	136	184	93	2.36	100
N36	300	149	150	203	80	2.03	100
N30	350	177	151	205	90	2.29	100
N33	400	204	133	180	94	2.39	100

			TABLE	5-2		_	
Charp	y V-notch I Irradiate	Data for the ed to a Flue	Prairie Islan ence of 4.017 (Axial Orie	d Unit 1 In 7 x 10 ¹⁹ n/c ntation)	ntermediate s cm ² (E > 1.0	Shell Forgin MeV)	gC
Sample	Tempe	erature	Impact	Energy	Lateral E	xpansion	Shear
Number	(°F)	(°C)	(ft-lb)	(J)	(mil) (mm)		(%)
S25	-25	-32	7	9	2	0.05	0
S34	25	-4	22	30	14	0.36	5
S29	50	10	19	26	14	0.36	10
S28	60	16	49	66	36	0.91	20
S30	72	22	63	85	45	1.14	25
S27	100	38	59	80	46	1.17	30
S33	125	52	69	94	50	1.27	40
S36	175	79	97	132	66	1.68	65
S26	225	107	135	183	90	2.29	100
S31*	250	121			***		
S35	250	121	138	187	85	2.16	100
S32	300	149	132	179	70	1.78	100

NOTE:

* Specimen alignment error. Data is not valid.

			TABLE	5-3			
Cha	rpy V-notc Irradiat	h Data for the	he Prairie Isl ence of 4.017	and Unit 1 7 x 10 ¹⁹ n/c	Surveillance m² (E > 1.0	e Weld Med MeV)	al
Sample	Temp	erature	Impact	Energy	Lateral E	xpansion	Shear
Number	(°F)	(°C)	(ft-lb)	(J)	(mils)	(mm)	(%)
W23	-25	-32	8	11	3	0.08	10
W18	25	-4	17	23	12	0.30	10
W22	72	22	24	33	16	0.41	40
W21	100	38	28	38	24	0.61	60
W19	150	66	45	61	37	0.94	80
W24	175	79	67	91	53	1.35	90
W17	225	107	77	104	64	1.63	100
W20	300	149	92	125	71	1.80	100

			TABLE	5-4			
Charpy	V-notch Da Irradiate	ata for the f ed to a Flue	Prairie Island ence of 4.01	Unit 1 He 7 x 10 ¹⁹ n/c	at-Affected-Z cm ² (E > 1.0	Cone (HAZ) MeV)	Metal
Sample	Tempe	erature	Impact	Energy	Lateral E	xpansion	Shea
Number	(°F)	(°C)	(ft-lb)	(J)	(mils)	(mm)	(%)
H17*	-100	-73		60 Mart 1			***
H21	-100	-73	20	27	8	0.20	10
H24	-50	-46	32	43	16	0.41	25
H22	0	-18	82	111	50	1.27	30
H20	50	10	58	79	40	1.02	50
H23	72	22	143	194	71	1.80	60
H19	175	79	149	202	74	1.88	100
H18	300	149	123	167	82	2.08	100

* Specimen alignment error. Data is not valid.

5-10

			TABLE	5-5			
Char	py V-notch Irradiate	Data for the ed to a Flue	e Prairie Isla ence of 4.01	nd Unit 1 (7 x 10 ¹⁹ n/c	Correlation N cm^2 (E > 1.0	lonitor Mate MeV)	rial
Sample	Tempe	erature	Impact	Energy	Lateral E	xpansion	Shea
Number	(°F)	(°C)	(ft-lb)	(J)	(mils)	(mm)	(%)
R17	150	66	9	12	9	0.23	15
R22	200	93	21	28	14	0.36	20
R24	206	97	19	26	13	0.33	15
R18	225	107	47	64	29	0.74	30
R21	250	121	57	77	41	1.04	55
R23	300	149	76	103	57	1.45	80
R19	350	177	78	106	64	1.63	95
R20	400	204	87	118	54	1.37	100

-

TABLE 5-6 Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Intermediate Shell Forging C Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Tangential Orientation)

			Normalized En	ergies				Mar	Time to	Fracture	Arrest	Yield	Flow
Sample	Test	Charpy	ft-lb/in ³			Yield	Time to	Max.	I time to	Fracture	ALLOS	L ICIU	
Number 7	Temp (°F)	Energy (ft-lb)	Charpy Ea/A	Max. Em/A	Prop. Ep/A	Load (lb)	Yield (msec)	Loed (lb)	Max. (msec)	Load (ib)	Load (lb)	Stress (ksi)	(ksi)
N27	-25	9	72	48	24	3724	0.14	3881	0.17	3881	0	124	126
NOR	25	10	81	60	20	3520	0.15	3737	0.2	3723	77	117	121
1120	20	10	266	219	47	3361	0.16	4427	0.51	4427	0	112	129
N32	20	33	200	217	51	3607	0.15	4640	0.51	4640	0	120	137
N25	12	35	202	200	101	3426	0.15	4482	0.67	4413	505	114	131
N31	100	51	411	309	240	3254	0.14	4328	0.67	4175	1172	108	126
N29	125	68	548	299	247	2251	0.14	4336	0.67	4182	1732	108	126
N35	150	74	596	300	290	2100	0.14	4333	0.84	3222	1899	106	125
N34	175	106	854	383	4/0	3198	0.14	4363	0.04	NUA	N/A	107	124
N26	250	136	1095	371	724	3209	0.17	4252	0.83	IN/A	N/A	104	122
N36	300	150	1208	374	834	3118	0.16	4233	0.83	N/A	N/A	104	122
N30	350	151	1216	279	936	2933	0.14	4096	0.67	N/A	N/A	97	117
N33	400	133	1071	267	804	2556	0.14	3902	0.67	N/A	N/A	85	107

TABLE 5-7 Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Intermediate Shell Forging C Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Axial Orientation)

			Normalized En	ergies									
Sample	Test	Charpy	ft-lb/in ²			Yield	Time to	Max.	. Time to	Fracture	Arrest	Yield	Flow
Number	Temp (⁹ F)	Energy (ft-lb)	Charpy Ea/A	Max. Em/A	Prop. Ep/A	Load (lb)	Yield (msec)	Load (lb)	Max. (msec)	Loed (lb)	Lond (lb)	Stress (ksi)	Stress (ksi)
S25	-25	7	56	29	27	3590	0.13	3590	0.13	3590	0	119	119
\$34	25	22	177	145	32	3608	0.14	4225	0.36	4225	0	120	130
\$29	50	19	153	97	56	3458	0.14	3857	0.28	3857	175	115	121
\$28	60	49	395	316	78	3538	0.15	4552	0.67	4510	0	118	134
\$30	72	63	.)7	308	200	3431	0.14	4467	0.67	4324	101	114	131
\$27	100	59	475	304	171	3363	0.15	4385	0.67	4336	931	112	129
\$33	125	69	556	297	258	3346	0.15	4367	0.67	4179	1045	111	128
\$36	175	97	781	291	490	3211	0.14	4256	0.67	3622	2111	107	124
\$26	225	135	1087	375	712	3179	0.16	4214	0.84	N/A	N/A	106	123
\$31*	250												
\$35	250	138	1111	377	734	3227	0.19	4320	0.83	N/A	N/A	107	125
\$32	300	132	1063	287	775	3046	0.14	4217	0.67	N/A	N/A	101	121

* Specimen Alignment Error. da is not valid.
| REACTOR | ANALYSIS |
|--------------|--------------|
| VESSEL RADIA | OF CAPSULE ! |
| ATION SUI | S FROM T |
| AVEILLAN | HE NORTI |
| CE PROG | HERN ST |
| FIAM | ATES PO |
| | VER CON |
| | PANY PR |
| | AIRIE ISL |
| | AND Unit |

TABLE 5-8Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Weld MetalIrradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

enica an an an an an an			Normalized En	ergies				- 1					
Sample Number	Test	Charpy Energy (ft-lb)	ftilb/in ²			Yield	Time to Yield (msec)	Max. Losd (lb)	Time to Max. (msec)	Fracture Load (lb)	Arrest Lond (lb)	Yield Stress (ksl)	Flow Stress (ksi)
	Temp (⁰ F)		Charpy Ea/A	Max. Em/A	Prop. Ep/A	Load (ib)							
W23	-25	8	64	26	39	3273	0.13	3273	0.13	3273	561	109	109
WIR	25	17	137	102	35	3719	0.16	3956	0.28	3956	300	124	127
W22	72	24	193	129	64	3329	0.15	4058	0.34	4058	1322	111	123
W21	100	28	225	138	87	3470	0.14	3964	0.36	3964	1949	115	123
W10	150	45	362	235	127	3185	0.2	3926	0.61	3926	2436	106	118
W24	175	67	540	280	259	3231	0.14	4029	0.66	3576	2497	107	121
W17	225	77	620	271	349	2998	0.13	4001	0.64	N/A	N/A	100	116
W20	300	92	741	275	465	3072	0.14	3981	0.66	N/A	N/A	102	117

TABLE 5-9

Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Metal Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

		La	Normalized En	ergies		Yield Time Load Yield (ib) (msee	Time to) Max. Load (lb)	Time to Max. (mrec)	Fracture Load (lb)	Arrest Lond (Ib)	Yield Stress (ksl)	Flow Stress (ksi)
Number Te	Temp (⁰ F)	Energy (ft-lb)	Charpy Ed/A	Max. Em/A	Prop. Ep/A		Yield (msec)						
H17*	-100										***		
H21	100	20	161	136	25	4522	0.19	4780	0.31	4780	0	150	154
1124	-100	32	258	150	99	4170	0.16	4640	0.36	4612	1321	139	146
1122	-30	92	650	334	326	3870	0.16	4808	0.67	4366	0	129	144
HZZ	0	50	467	80	378	3011	0.19	3998	0.27	1405	269	130	131
H20	50	38	407	69	510	2740	0.16	4070	0.84	2823	1344	124	145
H23	72	143	1151	437	714	3/48	0.10	4970	0.04	2025	2011	117	135
H19	175	149	1200	409	791	3523	0.14	4599	0.84	N/A	N/A	11/	135
H18	300	123	990	297	693	3269	0.14	4419	0.67	N/A	N/A	109	128

* Specimen Alignment Error. Data is not valid.

TABLE 5-10Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Correlation Monitor MaterialIrradiated to a Fluence of 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

	Test	La	Normalized En	ergies		Yield	d Time to	Max.	Time to	Fracture	Arrest	Yield	Flow
Sample Test Cha Number Temp Ene (⁰ F) (R-	Energy (ft-lb)	Charpy Ed/A	Max. Em/A	Prop. Ep/A	Load (lb)	Yield (msec)	Load (lb)	Max. (msec)	Load (ib)	Load (lb)	Stress (ksi)	Stress (ksi)	
D17	150	0	72	40	32	3795	0.16	3795	0.16	3795	74	126	126
KI/	150		12	110	50	3527	0.15	4087	0.32	4087	759	117	126
R22	200	21	169	119	30	3327	0.13	2002	0.29	3992	545	117	125
R24	206	19	153	104	49	3521	0.14	3774	0.67	4622	1700	120	130
R18	225	47	3.3	243	135	3623	0.14	4734	0.51	4033	1700	120	137
DOI	250	57	1 339	203	166	3449	0.14	4518	0.63	4498	2494	115	132
R21	230	51		205	217	2222	0.14	4300	0.65	3721	2495	110	127
R23	300	76	01.	295	317	3322	0.14	4500	0.05	2252	2470	112	130
R19	350	78	628	305	323	3379	0.14	4419	0.65	3233	2419	112	100
R20	400	87	701	306	395	3466	0.15	4474	0.65	N/A	N/A	115	132

TABLE 5-11 Effect of Irradiation to 4.017 x 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) on the Notch Toughness Properties of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Capsule S Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials(a)

	Averag Te	e 30 ft-lb Tra mperature (°	nsition F)	Average 35-mil Lateral Expansion Temperature (°F)			Avera T	ge 50 ft-lb Tra emperature (°	ansition F)	Average Energy Absorption at Full Shear (ft-lb)		
Material	Unirr. ^{®)} Irrad.		ΔΤ	Unirr. ^(b)	Irrad.	ΔΤ	Unirr. ^(b)	Irrad.	ΔΤ	Unirr. ^(c)	Irrad. ^(c)	ΔE
Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial)	-31.31	42.95	74.27	-13.05	75.02	88.07	3.95	80.63	76.68	143	135	-8
Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential)	-38.91	62.55	101.46	-24.28	88.08	112.37	-6.35	98 80	105.15	158	142.5	-15.5
Weld Metal	-64.44	95.98	160.43	-50.79	132.74	183.54	-26.93	143.91	170.84	78.5	84.5	6
HAZ Metal	-200.00	-62.89	127.11	-152.00 ^{ret}	-7.49	144.51	-125.00 ¹⁴	-26.80	98.20	211	136	-75
Correlation Monitor Material	46.20	212.29	166.08	58.63	238.47	179.83	78.39	237.98	:59.58	123.5	82.5	-41

NOTES:

- (a) All values obtained from CVGRAPH Version 4.1 results.
- (b) These values differ from those reported in WCAP-11006. Those reported in WCAP-11006 were developed from hand-fit curves using engineering judgement while the values reported here were determined from curves generated by CVGRAPH, Version 4.1.
- (c) Values datermined per the definition of "upper shelf energy" given in ASTM E185-82.
- (d) Because the hyperbolic tangent curve fitting process did not provide a smooth S-shaped curve for the unirradiated data, these values have been retained from the original unirradiated Charpy V-notch hand fit curves (WCAP-8086).

			TABLE 5-12					
Comparison and Up	of the Prairie I oper Shelf Ener	sland Unit 1 Surgy Decreases v	rveillance Materi with Regulatory C	al 30 ft-lb Transiti auide 1.99, Revis	on Temperature ion 2, Predictions	Shifts s		
	CARSINE	Capsule Fluence	30 ft-lb Tempera	Transition ature Shift	Upper Shelf Energy Decrease			
MATERIAL	CAPSULE	E>1.0MeV)	Predicted ^(a) (°F)	Measured ^(b) (°F)	Predicted ^(a) (%)	Measured (%)		
INTER. SHELL	v	0.5630	36.9	24.07	16.5	0		
(Axial Orientation)	Ρ	1.318	47.4	33.98	20.5	5		
	R	4.478	60.7	84.18	27	10		
	S	4.017	59.7	74.27	26.5	6		
INTER. SHELL	v	0.5630	36.9	56.36	16.5	9		
FORGING C (Tangential	P	1.318	47.4	23.11	20.5	10		
Onentation)	R	4.478	60.7	95.85	27	8		
	S	4.017	59.7	101.46	26.5	10		
WELD METAL	v	0.5630	59.5	34.38	25	0		
	P	1.318	76.4	45.15	30	0		
	R	4.478	91.8	122.47	40	4		
	s	4.017	96.2	160.43	39	0		
Heat Affected	v	0.5630		0.00		(c)		
Zone Material	P	1.318		74.65		32		
	R	4.478		149.69		54		
	S	4.017		137.11		36		
Correlation	v	0.5630	85.6	102.84	20	26		
Monitor Material (HSST Plate 02)	P	1.318	109.9	161.40	24.5	31		
(Longitudinal Orientation)	R	4.478	140.8	193.72	33	30		
	S	4.017	138.4	166.08	32	33		

NOTES:

(a) Based on Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, methodology using the Cu and Ni weight percent and capsule fluence values.

(b) The Charpy data was fit using the hyperbolic tangent curve fitting program CVGRAPH Version 4.1^[57]. (See Figures 5-1, 5-4, 5-7, 5-10 and 5-13.)

(c) Upper Shelf Energy not obtainable due to toughness, per WCAP-8916.

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

				1	TABLE 5-13										
	Tensile Properties of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials Irradiated to 4.017 x 10 ¹⁹ n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)														
Material	Sample Number	Test Temp. (° F)	0.2% Yield Strength (ksi)	Ultimate Strength (ksi)	Fracture Load (kip)	Fracture Stress (ksi)	Fracture Strength (ksi)	Uniform Elongatio n (%)	Total Elongatio n (%)	Reduction in Area (%)					
nter. Shell Forging C Tangential)	N7	125	77.4	93.7	2.75	166.0	56.0	10.5	26.0	66					
	N8	250	75.9	90.7	2.70	186.6	55.0	9.0	22.4	66					
	N9	550	69.8	90.7	3.10	178.2	63.2	9.0	21.8	65					
nter. Shell	S7	125	78.2	94.7	3.05	207.2	62.1	10.1	22.8	70					
Forging C Axial)	S8	200	74.4	92.7	3.95	282.9	80.5	9.3	21.5	72					
	S9	550	68.8	89.6	2.95	190.3	60.1	8.6	20.3	68					
Surveillance	W7	125	78.9	91.7	3.05	210.8	62.1	12.8	26.7	71					
Veld Vetal	W8	200	83.5	86.6	3.30	212.9	67.2	12.0	25.0	68					
	W9	550	75.4	91.7	3.35	195.8	68.2	9.6	21.6	65					
			1		l				A REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF ADDRE						

5-19

·

CVGRAPH 4.1 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed at 14:34:14 on 11-06-1996 Results Curve Fluence LSE d-LSE USE d-USE T • 30 d-T • 30 T o 50 d-T o 50 0 219 158 0 -38.91 1 0 0 -6.35 0 2 0 219 0 143 -15 17.44 56.36 44.34 50.69 3 0 219 0 142 23.11 -16 -15.8 16.92 2327 0 219 0 145 56.93 4 -13 95.85 94.84 101.19 5 0 219 0 1425 -155 62.55 101.46 105.15 98.8 300 250 Energy Ft-lbs 200 Ξ 150 100 5 50 0 0 -300 -200-100 100 200 300 400 0 500 600 Temperature in Degrees F Curve Legend 10-20----30 50 Data Set(s) Plotted Curve Plant Capsule Material Heat# Ori PII UNIRR LT 21918/38566 1 FURGING SA5083 2 PII V FORGING SA5083 LT 21918/38566 3 PU P LT 21918/38566 FORGING SA5083 4 PU R FORGING SA5083 LT 21918/38566 5 PII S FORGING SA5083 LT 21918/38566

Figure 5-1 Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Sheli Forging C (Tangential Orientation)

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

5-20



Figure 5-2 Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)



Figure 5-3 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)

CVGRAPH 4.1 Hyperbolic .angent Curve Printed at 16:31:17 on 11-07-1996 Results Fluence Curve LSE d-LSE d-USE USE T o 30 d-T • 30 T 0 50 d-T o 50 1 0 2.19 0 143 0 -31.31 0 3.95 0 2 0 219 0 155 12 -724 24.07 20.11 16.15 3 0 219 0 136 -7 2.66 33.98 5427 50.32 4 0 219 0 129 -14 52.87 84.18 99.55 35.6 5 0 219 0 135 -8 4295 7427 80.63 76.68 300 250 CVN Energy Ft-lbs 200 0 0 150 100 55 O -300 -100-200 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 Temperature in Degrees F Curve Legend 10 20-30 5 Data Set(s) Plotted Curve Plant Capsule Material Heat# Ori. 1 P11 UNIRR FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566 2 PU ¥ FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566 3 PII P FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566 4 PU R FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566 5 PII S FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566



CVGRAPH 4.1 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed at 16:35:10 on 11-07-1996 Results Curve Fluence USE d-USE T & LE35 d-T o LE35 0 96.01 0 -13.05 1 0 2 0 79.86 -16.15 18.92 31.97 3 0 61.87 -34.14 18.14 312 4 0 85.54 -10.47 85.16 98.21 5 0 81.11 -14.89 75.02 88.07 200 Lateral Exp mils 150 100 -50 5 -100 -300 -200 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 Temperature in Degrees F Curve Legend 10-20-30 5 Data Set(s) Plotted Curve Plant Capsule Material Ori. Heat# 1 PIL UNIRR FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566. 2 Pli V FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566 3 PII P FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566 PII 4 R FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566 5 PI1 S FORGING SA5083 TL 21918/38566

Vessel Inte

Figure 5-5

Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging (Axial Orientation)





Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

Results Fluence Curve LSE d-LSE USE d-USE T • 30 d-T • 30 T • 50 d-T o 50 0 1 219 0 78.5 0 -64.44 0 -26.93 0 2 0 219 0 91 125 -30.05 34.38 20.42 47.35 3 0 219 0 83 45 -19.28 45.15 45.01 71.94 0 4 219 0 75 -35 58.02 122.47 134.95 161.88 5 0 219 0 84.5 6 95.98 160.43 143.91 170.84 300 250 CVN Energy Ft-lbs 200 150 100 50 Ø

CVGRAPH 4.1 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed at 15:03:53 on 11-06-1996



ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

-300

Curve

1

2

3

4

5

10----

-200

-100

20-----

Plant

PII

PII

PII

PII

PI1

0

30-

Capsule

UNIRR

 \mathbf{V}

P

R

S

100

Data Set(s) Plotted

Material

WELD

WELD

WELD

WELD

WELD

Temperature in Degrees F

Curve Legend

200

40

Ori

300

5

Heat#

1752

1752

1752

1752

1752

400

500

600

CVGRAPH 4.1 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed at 15:07:09 on 11-06-1996 Results Curve Fluence USE d-USE T o LE35 d-T o LE35 0 76.41 1 0 -50.79 0 2 0 79.62 32 22.58 73.38 3 0 80.92 45 25.55 76.34 0 4 821 5.68 117.04 167.83 0 5 75.56 -.85 132.74 183.54 200 Lateral Exp mils 150 100 0 D 50 0 -300 -200 -100 100 0 200 300 400 500 600 Temperature in Degrees F Curve Legend 10-20---3 0 50 Data Set(s) Plotted Curve Plant Capsule Material Ori Heat# PII 1 UNIRR WELD 1752 2 PII V WELD 1752 3 Pll p WELD 1752 4 PII R WELD 1752 5 Pli S WELD 1752

Figure 5-8

Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM



Figure 5-9 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

CVGRAPH 4.1 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed at 16:44:41 on 11-07-1996 Results Curve Fluence LSE d-LSE USE d-USE T o 30 d-T • 30 T 0 50 d-T o 50 0 0 211 1 0 -200 0 -125 0 2 0 0 <211* 0 -200 0 -125 0 3 0 219 0 143 -68 -125.35 74.65 -88.8 36.2 4 0 219 0 97 -114 -50.31 149.69 -21.13 103.87 ő 0 219 0 136 -75 -62.89 137.11 -26.8 98.2 300 250 CVN Energy Ft-lbs 0 200 0 Ð 0 150 0 0 ŵ 00 100 00 0 50 A σ -300 -200 -100 100 0 200 300 400 500 600 Temperature in Degrees F Curve Legend 10-20 30 50 Tata Set(s) Plotted Curve Plant Capsule Material Ori Heat# PII 1 UNIRR HEAT AFFD ZONE 2 P11 V HEAT AFFD ZONE 3 PII P HEAT AFFD ZONE PII 4 R HEAT AFFD ZONE 5 Pli S HEAT AFFD ZONE * Upper shelf impact energy not obtainable due to excessive toughness.

Figure 5-10 Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal



Figure 5-11 Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal

5-30



Figure 5-12 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

CVGRAPH 4.1 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed at 152555 on 11-06-1996 Results LSE USE d-USE T • 30 Fluence d-LSE d-T • 30 T o 50 Curve d-T o 50 219 1235 46.2 0 0 0 78.39 0 0 1 2 0 219 0 91 -325 149.05 102.84 194.65 11625 3 0 219 85 -385 207.61 228.16 0 161.4 149.76 219 239.93 4 0 0 86 -37.5 193.72 280.48 202.08 212.29 5 0 219 82.5 0 -41 166.08 237.98 159.58 300 250 CVN Energy Ft-Ibs 200 150 12 100 â 50 or -300-200 -100 100 200 300 0 400 500 600 Temperature in Degrees F Curve Legend 10-20-30 50 Data Set(s) Plotted Curve Plant Capsule Material Ori Heat# PU UNIRR SRM HSSTO2 LT SA533BI 1 2 PII V SA533B1 SRM HSSTO2 LT 3 Plt P SRM SA533B1 HSST02 LT PI1 R SRM HSSTOR SA533B1 LT 5 PU S SA53391 SRM HSSTU2 LT

1

Figure 5-13 Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Correlation Monitor Material



Figure 5-14 Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Correlation Monitor Material



10

Figure 5-15 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Correlation Monitor Material



N27



N28



N25





N26



N36



N30



N33

Figure 5-16 Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)





S26

S31

\$35

\$32

Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Figure 5-17 Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)



Specimen

Alignment Error





H17











Figure 5-19 Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Heat-Affected-Zone (HAZ) Metal



REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

5-40



Figure 5-21 Tensile Properties for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)



▲ ● IRRADIATED AT 550°F, FLUENCE 4.017 x 10¹⁹n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

NSP02

AXIAL

Figure 5-22 Tensile Properties for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

5-42





ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

NSP03

VELD



Specimen N9 Tested at 550°F

Figure 5-24 Fractured Tensile Specimens from the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Tangential Orientation)



Figure 5-25 Fractured Tensile Specimens from the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C (Axial Orientation)



Figure 5-26 Fractured Tensile Specimens from the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal







Figure 5-28 Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Tensile Specimen N9 (Tangential Orientation)







Figure 5-30 Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Forging C Tensile Specimen S9 (Axial Orientation)


Figure 5-31 Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal Tensile Specimens W7 and W8

5-50



Figure 5-32 Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Weld Metal Tensile Specimen W9

5-51

6.0 RADIATION ANALYSIS AND NEUTRON DOSIMETRY

6.1 Introduction

Knowledge of the neutron environment within the reactor vessel and surveillance capsule geometry is required as an integral part of LWR reactor vessel surveillance programs for two reasons. First, in order to interpret the neutron radiation induced material property changes observed in the test specimens, the neutron environment (energy spectrum, flux, fluence) to which the test specimens were exposed must be known. Second, in order to relate the changes observed in the test specimens to the present and future condition of the reactor vessel, a relationship must be established between the neutron environment at various positions within the reactor vessel and that experienced by the test specimens. The former requirement is normally met by employing a combination of rigorous analytical techniques and measurements obtained with passive neutron flux monitors contained in each of the surveillance capsules. The latter information is generally derived solely from analysis.

The use of fast neutron fluence (E > 1.0 MeV) to correlate measured material property changes to the neutron exposure of the material has traditionally been accepted for development of damage trend curves as well as for the implementation of trend curve data to assess vessel condition. In recent years, however, it has been suggested that an exposure model that accounts for differences in neutron energy spectra between surveillance capsule locations and positions within the vessel wall could lead to an improvement in the uncertainties associated with damage trend curves as well as to a more accurate evaluation of damage gradients through the reactor vessel wall.

Because of this potential shift away from a threshold fluence toward an energy dependent damage function for data correlation, ASTM Standard Practice E853^[17], "Analysis and Interpretation of Light Water Reactor Surveillance Results," recommends reporting displacements per iron atom (dpa) along with fluence (E > 1.0 MeV) to provide a data base for future reference. The energy dependent dpa function to be used for this evaluation is specified in ASTM Standard Practice E693^[18], "Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Ferritic Steels in Terms of Displacements per Atom." The application of the dpa parameter to the assessment of embrittlement gradients through the thickness of the reactor vessel wall has already been promulgated in Revision 2 to Regulatory Guide 1.99, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials."

This section provides the results of the neutron dosimetry evaluations performed in conjunction with the analysis of test specimens contained in surveillance Capsule S, withdrawn at the end of the seventeenth fuel cycle. Also included is an update of the dosimetry evaluation for Capsules R, P, and V withdrawn at the end of the ninth, fifth, and first fuel cycles, respectively. This update is based on current state-of-the-art methodology and nuclear data including recently released neutron transport and dosimetry cross-section

libraries derived from the ENDF/B-VI data base. This report provides a consistent up-to-date neutron exposure data base for use in evaluating the material properties of the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel.

In each of the capsule dosimetry evaluations, fast neutron exposure parameters in terms of neutron fluence (E > 1.0 MeV), neutron fluence (E > 0.1 MeV), and iron atom displacements (dpa) are established for the capsule irradiation history. The analytical formalism relating the measured capsule exposure to the exposure of the vessel wall is described and used to project the integrated exposure of the vessel wall. Also, uncertainties associated with the derived exposure parameters at the surveillance capsules and with the projected exposure of the reactor vessel are provided.

6.2 Discrete Ordinates Analysis

A plan view of the reactor geometry at the core midplane is shown in Figure 4-1. Six irradiation capsules attached to the thermal shield are included in the reactor design to constitute the reactor vessel surveillance program. The capsules are located at azimuthal angles of 57°, 67°, 77°, 237°, 247°, and 257° relative to the core cardinal axis as shown in Figure 4-1. A plan view of a surveillance capsule holder attached to the thermal shield is shown in Figure 6-1. The stainless steel specimen containers are approximately 1-inch square and approximately 63 inches in height. The containers are positioned axially such that the test specimens are centered on the core midplane, thus spanning the central 5.25 feet of the 12-foot high reactor core.

From a neutronic standpoint, the surveillance capsules and associated support structures are significant. The presence of these materials has a marked effect on both the spatial distribution of neutron flux and the neutron energy spectrum in the water annulus between the thermal shield and the reactor vessel. In order to determine the neutron environment at the test specimen location, the capsules themselves must be included in the analytical model.

In performing the fast neutron exposure evaluations for the surveillance capsules and reactor vessel, two distinct sets of transport calculations were carried out. The first, a single computation in the conventional forward mode, was used primarily to obtain relative neutron energy distributions throughout the reactor geometry as well as to establish relative radial distributions of exposure parameters { $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$, and dpa/sec} through the vessel wall. The neutron spectral information was required for the interpretation of neutron dosimetry withdrawn from the surveillance capsules as well as for the determination of exposure parameter ratios; i.e., [dpa/sec]/[$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$], within the reactor vessel geometry. The relative radial gradient information was required to permit the projection of measured exposure parameters to locations interior to the reactor vessel wall; i.e., the ¼T, ½T, and ¾T locations.

The second set of calculations consisted of a series of adjoint analyses relating the fast neutron flux, $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, at surveillance capsule positions and at several azimuthal locations on the reactor vessel inner radius to neutron source distributions within the reactor core. The source importance functions generated from these adjoint analyses provided the basis for all absolute exposure calculations and comparison with measurement. These importance functions, when combined with fuel cycle specific neutron source distributions, yielded absolute predictions of neutron exposure at the locations of interest for each cycle of irradiation. They also established the means to perform similar predictions and dosimetry evaluations for all subsequent fuel cycles. It is important to note that the cycle specific neutron source distributions of fission rates within the reactor core but also accounted for the effects of varying neutron yield per fission and fission spectrum introduced by the build-up of plutonium as the burnup of individual fuel assemblies increased.

The absolute cycle-specific data from the adjoint evaluations together with the relative neutron energy spectra and radial distribution information from the reference forward calculation provided the means to:

- 1 Evaluate neutron dosimetry obtained from surveillance capsules,
- Relate dosimetry results to key locations at the inner radius and through the thickness
 of the reactor vessel wall,
- 3 Enable a direct comparison of analytical prediction with measurement, and
- Establish a mechanism for projection of reactor vessel exposure as the design of each new fuel cycle evolves.

The forward transport calculation for the reactor model summarized in Figures 4-1 and 6-1 was carried out in R, θ geometry using the DORT two-dimensional discrete codinates code Version 2.7.3^[19] and the BUGLE-93 cross-section library^[20]. The BUGLE-93 library is a 47 energy group ENDF/B-VI based data set produced specifically for light water reactor applications. In these analyses, anisotropic scattering was treated with a P₃ expansion of the scattering cross-sections and the angular discretization was modeled with an S₈ order of angular quadrature.

The core power distribution utilized in the reference forward transport calculation was derived from statistical studies of long-term operation of Westinghouse 2-loop plants. Inherent in the development of this reference core power distribution is the use of an out-in fuel management strategy; i.e., fresh fuel on the core periphery. Furthermore, for the peripheral fuel assemblies, the neutron source was increased by a 2 σ margin derived from the statistical evaluation of plant-to-plant and cycle-to-cycle variations in peripheral power. Since it is unlikely that any single reactor would exhibit power levels on the core periphery at the nominal + 2 σ value for a large number of fuel cycles, the use of this reference distribution is expected to yield somewhat conservative results.

All adjoint calculations were also carried out using an S₈ order of angular quadrature and the P₃ cross-section approximation from the BUGLE-93 library. Adjoint source locations were chosen at several azimuthal locations along the reactor vessel inner radius as well as at the geometric center of each surveillance capsule. Again, these calculations were run in R, θ geometry to provide neutron source distribution importance functions for the exposure parameter of interest, in this case ϕ (E > 1.0 MeV).

Having the importance functions and appropriate core source distributions, the response of interest could be calculated as:

$$R(r,\theta) = \int_{r} \int_{\theta} \int_{E} I(r,\theta,E) S(r,\theta,E) r dr d\theta dE$$

where:	R(r,θ)	=	$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ at radius r and azimuthal angle θ .
	l(r,θ,Ε)	=	Adjoint source importance function at radius r, azimuthal
			angle θ, and neutron source energy E.
	S(r,θ,Ε)	=	Neutron source strength at core location r, e and energy
			E.

Although the adjoint importance functions used in this analysis were based on a response function defined by the threshold neutron flux $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, prior calculations^[21] have shown that, while the implementation of low leakage loading patterns significantly impacts both the magnitude and spatial distribution of the neutron field, changes in the relative neutron energy spectrum are of second order. Thus, for a given location, the ratio of [dpa/sec]/[$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$] is insensitive to changing core source distributions. In the application of these adjoint importance functions to the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor, therefore, the iron atom displacement rates (dpa/sec) and the neutron flux $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$ were computed on a cycle-specific basis by using [dpa/sec]/[$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$] and [$\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$]/[$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$] ratios from the forward analysis in conjunction with the cycle specific $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ solutions from the individual adjoint evaluations.

The reactor core power distributions used in the plant specific adjoint calculations were taken from the fuel cycle design reports for the first seventeen operating cycles of Prairie Island Unit 1 ^[22 thru 38].

Selected results from the neutron transport analyses are provided in Tables 6-1 through 6-5. The data listed in these tables establish the means for absolute comparisons of analysis and measurement for the capsule irradiation periods and provide the means to correlate dosimetry results with the corresponding exposure of the reactor vessel wall.

In Table 6-1, the calculated exposure parameters [$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$, and dpa/sec] are given at the geometric center of the three azimuthally symmetric surveillance

capsule positions (13°, 23°, and 33°) for both the reference and the plant specific core power distributions. The plant-specific data, based on the adjoint transport analysis, are meant to establish the absolute comparison of measurement with analysis. The reference data derived from the forward calculation are provided as a conservative exposure evaluation against which plant specific fluence calculations can be compared. Similar data are given in Table 6-2 for the reactor vessel inner radius. Again, the three pertinent exposure parameters are listed for the reference and Cycles 1 through 17 plant specific power distributions.

It is important to note that the data for the vessel inner radius were taken at the clad/base metal interface; and, thus, represent the maximum predicted exposure levels of the vessel plates and welds.

Radial gradient information applicable to $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$, and dpa/sec is given in Tables 6-3, 6-4, and 6-5, respectively. The data, obtained from the reference forward neutron transport calculation, are presented on a relative basis for each exposure parameter at several azimuthal locations. Exposure distributions through the vessel wall may be obtained by normalizing the calculated or projected exposure at the vessel inner radius to the gradient data listed in Tables 6-3 through 6-5.

For example, the neutron flux $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ at the ¼T depth in the reactor vessel wall along the 0° azimuth is given by:

 $\phi_{1/47}(0^\circ) = \phi(168.04, 0^\circ) F(172.25, 0^\circ)$

where:

φ _{%7} (0°)	=	Projected neutron flux at the ¼T position on the 0° azimuth.
ф(168.04,0°)	-	Projected or calculated neutron flux at the vessel inner radius on the 0° azimuth.
F(172.25,0°)	=	Ratio of the neutron flux at the ¼T position to the flux a the vessel inner radius for the 0° azimuth. This data is obtained from Table 6-3.

Similar expressions apply for exposure parameters expressed in terms of $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$ and dpa/sec where the attenuation function F is obtained from Tables 6-4 and 6-5, respectively.

6.3 Neutron Dosimetry

The passive neutron sensors included in the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance program are listed in Table 6-6. Also given in Table 6-6 are the primary nuclear reactions and associated nuclear constants that were used in the evaluation of the neutron energy spectrum within the surveillance capsules and in the subsequent determination of the various exposure

parameters of interest [$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$, dpa/sec]. The relative locations of the neutron sensors within the capsules are shown in Figure 4-2. The iron, nickel, copper, and cobalt-aluminum monitors, in wire form, were placed in holes drilled in spacers at several axial levels within the capsules. The cadmium shielded uranium and neptunium fission monitors were accommodated within the dosimeter block located near the center of the capsule.

The use of passive monitors such as those listed in Table 6-6 does not yield a direct measure of the energy dependent neutron flux at the point of interest. Rather, the activation or fission process is a measure of the integrated effect that the time and energy dependent neutron flux has on the target material over the course of the irradiation period. An accurate assessment of the average neutron flux level incident on the various monitors may be derived from the activation measurements only if the irradiation parameters are well known. In particular, the following variables are of interest:

- The measured specific activity of each monitor,
- The physical characteristics of each monitor,
- The operating history of the reactor,
- The energy response of each monitor, and
- The neutron energy spectrum at the monitor location.

The specific activity of each of the neutron monitors was determined using established ASTM procedures^[39 through 50, 55, 56]. Following sample preparation and weighing, the activity of each monitor was determined by means of a lithium-drifted germanium, Ge(Li), gamma spectrometer. The irradiation history of the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor was obtained from NUREG-0020, "Licensed Operating Reactors Status Summary Report," for the Cycles 1 through 17 operating period. (For the last two months of Cycle 17, this data was obtained directly from Northern States Power Company personnel, i.e., J. E. Schaefer.) The irradiation history applicable to the exposure of Capsules S, R, P, and V is given in Table 6-7.

Having the measured specific activities, the physical characteristics of the sensors, and the operating history of the reactor, reaction rates referenced to full-power operation were determined from the following equation:

$$R = \frac{A}{N_0 \ F \ Y \sum \frac{P_j}{P_{ref}} C_j \left[1 - e^{-\lambda t_j}\right] \left[e^{-\lambda t_j}\right]}$$

where:

R	=	Reaction rate averaged over the adiation period and referenced to operation
		at a core power level of Pret (rps/nucleus).
A	=	Measured specific activity (dps/gm).
No	= -	Number of target element atoms per gram of sensor.
F	=	Weight fraction of the target isotope in the sensor material.
Y	=	Number of product atoms produced per reaction.
P,	=	Average core power level during irradiation period j (MW).
Pret	=	Maximum or reference power level of the reactor (MW).
C	=	Calculated ratio of $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ during irradiation period j to the time weighted average $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ over the entire irradiation period.
λ	=	Decay constant of the product isotope (1/sec).
t,	=	Length of irradiation period j (sec).
t _d	=	Decay time following irradiation period j (sec).

and the summation is carried out over the total number of monthly intervals comprising the irradiation period.

In the equation describing the reaction rate calculation, the ratio $[P_j]/[P_{ret}]$ accounts for month by month variation of reactor core power level within any given fuel cycle as well as over multiple fuel cycles. The ratio C_j, which can be calculated for each fuel cycle using the adjoint transport technology discussed in Section 6.2, accounts for the change in sensor reaction rates caused by variations in flux level induced by changes in core spatial power distributions from fuel cycle to fuel cycle. For a single cycle irradiation, C_j is normally taken to be 1.0. However, for multiple-cycle irradiations, particularly those employing low leakage fuel management, the additional C_j term should be employed. The impact of changing flux levels for constant power operation can be quite significant for sensor sets that have been irradiated for many cycles in a reactor that has transitioned from non-low leakage to low leakage fuel management or for sensor sets contained in surveillance capsules that have been moved from one capsule location to another.

For the irradiation history of Capsules S, R, P, and V, the flux level term in the reaction rate calculations was developed from the plant-specific analysis provided in Table 6-1. Measured and saturated reaction product specific activities as well as the derived full power reaction rates are listed in Table 6-8. The specific activities and reaction rates of the ²³⁸U sensors provided in Table 6-8 include corrections for ²³⁵U impurities, plutonium build-in, and gamma ray induced fissions. Corrections for gamma ray induced fissions were also included in the specific activities and reaction rates for the ²³⁷Np sensors as well.

Values of key fast neutron exposure parameters were derived from the measured reaction rates using the FERRET least squares adjustment code^[51]. The FERRET approach used the

measured reaction rate data, sensor reaction cross-sections, and a calculated trial spectrum as input and proceeded to adjust the group fluxes from the trial spectrum to produce a best fit (in a least squares sense) to the measured reaction rate data. The "measured" exposure parameters along with the associated uncertainties were then obtained from the adjusted spectrum.

In the FERRET evaluations, a log-normal least squares algorithm weights both the a priori values and the measured data in accordance with the assigned uncertainties and correlations. In general, the measured values f are linearly related to the flux ϕ by some response matrix A:

$$f_i^{(s,\alpha)} = \sum_g A_{ig}^{(s)} \phi_g^{(\alpha)}$$

where i indexes the measured values belonging to a single data set s, g designates the energy group, and α delineates spectra that may be simultaneously adjusted. For example,

$$R_i = \sum_g \sigma_{ig} \phi_g$$

relates a set of measured reaction rates R_i to a single spectrum ϕ_g by the multi-group reaction cross-section σ_{ig} . The log-normal approach automatically accounts for the physical constraint of positive fluxes, even with large assigned uncertainties.

In the least squares adjustment, the continuous quantities (i.e., neutron spectra and crosssections) were approximated in a multi-group format consisting of 53 energy groups. The trial input spectrum was converted to the FERRET 53 group structure using the SAND-II code^[52]. This procedure was carried out by first expanding the 47 group calculated spectrum into the SAND-II 620 group structure using a SPLINE interpolation procedure in regions where group boundaries do not coincide. The 620 point spectrum was then re-collapsed into the group structure used in FERRET.

The sensor set reaction cross-sections, obtained from the ENDF/B-VI dosimetry file^[53], were also collapsed into the 53 energy group structure using the SAND-II code. In this instance, the trial spectrum, as expanded to 620 groups, was employed as a weighting function in the cross-section collapsing procedure. Reaction cross-section uncertainties in the form of a 53 × 53 covariance matrix for each sensor reaction were also constructed from the information contained on the ENDF/B-VI data files. These matrices included energy group to energy group uncertainty correlations for each of the individual reactions. However, correlations between cross-sections for different sensor reactions were not included. The omission of this additional uncertainty information does not significantly impact the results of the adjustment.

Due to the importance of providing a trial spectrum that exhibits a relative energy distribution close to the actual spectrum at the sensor set locations, the neutron spectrum input to the FERRET evaluation was taken from the center of the surveillance capsule modeled in the reference forward transport calculation. While the 53×53 group covariance matrices applicable to the sensor reaction cross-sections were developed from the ENDF/B-VI data files, the covariance matrix for the input trial spectrum was constructed from the following relation:

$$M_{gg'} = R_n^2 + R_g R_{g'} P_{gg'}$$

where R_n specifies an overall fractional normalization uncertainty (i.e., complete correlation) for the set of values. The fractional uncertainties R_g specify additional random uncertainties for group g that are correlated with a correlation matrix given by:

$$P_{gg'} = [1-\theta] \delta_{gg'} + \theta e^{-H}$$

where:

$$H = \frac{(g-g')^2}{2\gamma^2}$$

The first term in the correlation matrix equation space purely random uncertainties, while the second term describes short range correlations over a group range γ (θ specifies the strength of the latter term). The value of δ is 1 when g = g' and 0 otherwise. For the trial spectrum used in the current evaluations, a short range correlation of γ = 6 groups was used. This choice implies that neighboring groups are strongly correlated when θ is close to 1. Strong long range correlations (or anti-correlations) were justified based on information presented by R. E. Maerker^[54]. The uncertainties associated with the measured reaction rates included both statistical (counting) and systematic components. The systematic component of the overall uncertainty accounts for counter efficiency, counter calibrations, irradiation history corrections, and corrections for competing reactions in the individual sensors.

Results of the FERRET evaluations of the Capsules S, R, P, and V dosimetry are given in Table 6-9. The data summarized in this table include fast neutron exposure evaluations in terms of $\Phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, $\Phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$, and dpa. In general, excellent results were achieved in the fits of the adjusted spectra to the individual measured reaction rates. The measured and FERRET adjusted reaction rates for each reaction are given in Table 6-10. An examination of Table 6-10 shows that, in all cases, reaction rates calculated with the adjusted

spectra match the measured reaction rates to better than 9%. The adjusted spectra from the least squares evaluation is given in Table 6-11 in the FERRET 53 energy group structure.

In Table 6-12, absolute comparisons of the measured and calculated fluence at the center of each capsule are presented. The results for the Capsules S, R, P, and V dosimetry evaluations (M/C ratios of 0.99 for $\Phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$) are consistent with results obtained from similar evaluations of dosimetry from other reactors using methodologies based on ENDF/B-VI cross-sections.

6.4 Projections of Reactor Vessel Exposure

The best estimate exposure of the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel was developed using a combination of absolute plant specific transport calculations and all available plant specific measurement data. In the case of Prairie Island Unit 1, the measurement data base consists of the four surveillance capsules discussed in this report.

Combining this measurement data base with the plant-specific calculations, the best estimate vessel exposure is obtained from the following relationship:

$$\Phi_{Best Est} = K \Phi_{Calc.}$$

where:	$\Phi_{\text{Best. Est.}}$	н	The best estimate fast neutron exposure at the location of interest.
	к	=	The plant specific measurement/calculation (M/C) bias factc. derived from the surveillance capsule dosimetry data.
	$\Phi_{Caic.}$	=	The absolute calculated fast neutron exposure at the location of interest.

The approach defined in the above equation is based on the premise that the measurement data represent the most accurate plant-specific information available at the locations of the dosimetry; and, further that the use of the measurement data on a plant-specific basis essentially removes biases present in the analytical approach and mitigates the uncertainties that would result from the use of analysis alone.

That is, at the measurement points the uncertainty in the best estimate exposure is dominated by the uncertainties in the measurement process. At locations within the reactor vessel wall, additional uncertainty is incurred due to the analytically determined relative ratios among the various measurement points and locations within the reactor vessel wall.

For Prairie Island Unit 1, the derived plant specific bias factors were 0.99, 1.07, and 1.03 for $\Phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$, $\Phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$, and dpa, respectively. Bias factors of this magnitude are fully consistent with experience using the BUGLE-93 cross-section library.

The use of the bias factors derived from the measurement data base acts to remove plant-specific biases associated with the definition of the core source, actual versus assumed reactor dimensions, and operational variations in water density within the reactor. As a result, the overall uncertainty in the best estimate exposure projections within the vessel wall depends on the individual uncertainties in the measurement process, the uncertainty in the dosimetry location, and, in the uncertainty in the calculated ratio of the neutron exposure at the point of interest to that at the measurement location.

The uncertainty in the derived neutron flux for an individual measurement is obtained directly from the results of a least squares evaluation of dosimetry data. The least squares approach combines individual uncertainty in the calculated neutron energy spectrum, the uncertainties in dosimetry cross-sections, and the uncertainties in measured foil specific activities to produce a net uncertainty in the derived neutron flux at the measurement point. The associated uncertainty in the plant specific bias factor, K, derived from the M/C data base, in turn, depends on the total number of available measurements as well as on the uncertainty of each measurement.

In developing the overall uncertainty associated with the reactor vessel exposure, the positioning uncertainties for dosimetry are taken from parametric studies of sensor position performed as part a series of analytical sensitivity studies included in the qualification of the methodology. The uncertainties in the exposure ratios relating dosimetry results to positions within the vessel wall are again based on the analytical sensitivity studies of the vessel thickness tolerance, downcomer water density variations, and vessel inner radius tolerance. Thus, this portion of the overall uncertainty is controlled entirely by dimensional tolerances associated with the reactor design and by the operational characteristics of the reactor.

The net uncertainty in the bias factor, K, is combined with the uncertainty from the analytical sensitivity study to define the overall fluence uncertainty at the reactor vessel wall. In the case of Prairie Island Unit 1, the derived uncertainties in the bias factor, K, and the additional uncertainty from the analytical sensitivity studies combine to yield a net uncertainty of ± 12 %.

Based on this best estimate approach, neutron exposure projections at key locations on the reactor vessel inner radius are given in Table 6-13. Along with the current (18.12 EFPY) exposure, projections are also provided for exposure periods of 24 EFPY and 35 EFPY. Projections for future operation were based on the assumption that the average exposure

rates averaged over the Cycles 13 through 17 irradiation period would continue to be applicable throughout plant life.

In the calculation of exposure gradients within the reactor vessel wall for the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel, exposure projections to 24, and 35 EFPY were also employed. Data based on both a $\Phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ slope and a plant-specific dpa slope through the vessel wall are provided in Table 6-14.

In order to access RT_{NDT} versus fluence curves, dpa equivalent fast neutron fluence levels for the ¼T, ½T and ¾T positions were defined by the relations:

$$\phi(1/4T) = \phi(0T) \frac{dpa(1/4T)}{dpa(0T)}$$

$$\phi(\frac{1}{2}T) = \phi(0T) \frac{dpa(\frac{1}{2}T)}{dpa(0T)}$$

and

$$\Phi(3/4T) = \Phi(0T) \frac{dpa(nT)}{dpa(0T)}$$

dna(3/, T)

Using this approach results in the dpa equivalent fluence values listed in Table 6-14. In Table 6-15 updated lead factors are listed for each of the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance capsules. Lead factor data based on the accumulated fluence through Cycle 17 are provided for each remaining capsule.





CALCULATED FAST NEUTRON EXPOSURE RATES AND IRON ATOM DISPLACEMENT RATES AT THE SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE CENTER

	φ(Ι	E > 1.0 MeV (n/cm ² -s	ec)
Cycle No.	13°	23°	33°
Reference	1.59e+11	9.35e+10	8.83e+10
1	1.421e+11	8.131e+10	7.656e+10
2	1.279e+11	7.730e+10	7.285e+10
3	1.568e+11	8.703e+10	7.931e+10
4	1.507e+11	8.943e+10	8.431e+10
5	1.586e+11	8.936e+10	8.304e+10
6	1.593e+11	9.117e+10	8.515e+10
7	1.318e+11	8.145e+10	8.422e+10
8	1.729e+11	9.813e+10	9.248e+10
9	1.256e+11	8.338e+10	8.098e+10
10	1.827e+11	9.315e+10	8.195e+10
11	1.825e+11	1.054e+11	9.506e+10
12	1.349e+11	9.243e+10	8.773e+10
13	1.004e+11	7.256e+10	6.842e+10
14	8.159e+10	5.947e+10	5.809e+10
15	8.218e+10	5.828e+10	5.672e+10
16	9.478e+10	7.061e+10	6.403e+10
17	9.668e+10	7.086e+10	6.241e+10
	φ(Ι	E > 0.1 MeV (n/cm ² -s	ec)
Cycle No.	13°	23°	33°
Reference	6.02-111		3.11e+11
1	6.020+11	3.22e+11	2.695e+11
2	5.384e+11	2.797e+11	2.564e+11
3	4.848e+11	2.659e+11	2.792e+11
4	5.942e+11	2.994e+11	2.968e+11
5	5./12e+11	3.077e+11	2.923e+11
6	6.012e+11	3.074e+11	2.997e+11
7	6.036e+11	3.136e+11	2.964e+11
8	4.996e+11	2.803e+11	3 255e+11
9	6.554e+11	3.376e+11	2.850e+11
10	4.761e+11	2.868e+11	2.885e+11
11	6.926e+11	3 205e+11	3.346e+11
12	6.917e+11	3.626e+11	3.088e+11
13	5.111e+11	3.180e+11	2 4090+11
14	3.804e+11	2 496e+11	2.4050+11
15	3.092e+11	2.4900411	1 0070+11
16	3.115e+11	2.005e+11	2.2540+11
17	3.592e+11	2.0030+11	2.2.540+11
	3.664e+11	2.4290+11	2.19/0+11
		2.4500411	

TABLE 6-1 cont'd

CALCULATED FAST NEUTRON EXPOSURE RATES AND IRON ATOM DISPLACEMENT RATES AT THE SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE CENTER

13° 83e-10 529e-10 277e-10 791e-10 582e-10 324e-10 335e-10 346e-10 78e-10	23° 1.59e-10 1.382e-10 1.314e-10 1.479e-10 1.520e-10 1.519e-10 1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	33° 1.52e-10 1.317e-10 1.253e-10 1.364e-10 1.450e-10 1.428e-10 1.465e-10 1.465e-10 1.449e-10 1.501e 10
83e-10 529e-10 277e-10 791e-10 582e-10 824e-10 835e-10 846e-10 978e-10	1.59e-10 1.382e-10 1.314e-10 1.479e-10 1.520e-10 1.519e-10 1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.52e-10 1.317e-10 1.253e-10 1.364e-10 1.450e-10 1.428e-10 1.465e-10 1.449e-10 1.591e-10
529e-10 277e-10 791e-10 582e-10 824e-10 835e-10 846e-10 978e-10	1.382e-10 1.314e-10 1.479e-10 1.520e-10 1.519e-10 1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.317e-10 1.253e-10 1.364e-10 1.450e-10 1.428e-10 1.465e-10 1.449e-10
277e-10 791e-10 582e-10 824e-10 835e-10 846e-10 978e-10	1.314e-10 1.479e-10 1.520e-10 1.519e-10 1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.253e-10 1.364e-10 1.450e-10 1.428e-10 1.465e-10 1.449e-10
791e-10 582e-10 324e-10 335e-10 346e-10)78e-10	1.479e-10 1.520e-10 1.519e-10 1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.364e-10 1.450e-10 1.428e-10 1.465e-10 1.449e-10
582e-10 324e-10 335e-10 346e-10)78e-10	1.520e-10 1.519e-10 1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.450e-10 1.428e-10 1.465e-10 1.449e-10
824e-10 835e-10 846e-10 978e-10	1.519e-10 1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.428e-10 1.465e-10 1.449e-10
835e-10 846e-10 978e-10	1.550e-10 1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.465e-10 1.449e-10
346e-10)78e-10	1.385e-10 1.668e-10	1.449e-10
)78e-10	1.668e-10	1 5010 10
126-10		1.3910-11
130e-10	1.418e-10	1.393e-10
253e-10	1.584e-10	1.410e-10
249e-10	1.792e-10	1.635e-10
101e-10	1.571e-10	1.509e-10
187e-10	1.234e-10	1.177e-10
152e-10	1.011e-10	9.992e-11
463e-10	9.907e-11	9.756e-11
587e-10	1.200e-10	1.101e-10
21e-10	1.205e-10	1.073e-10
	249e-10 01e-10 287e-10 52e-10 63e-10 287e-10 221e-10	249e-10 1.792e-10 401e-10 1.571e-10 787e-10 1.234e-10 452e-10 1.011e-10 463e-10 9.907e-11 463e-10 1.200e-10 721e-10 1.205e-10

14

		$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$	(n/cm^2-sec)	
Cycle No.	0°	15°	30°	45°
Reference	5.32e+10	3.25e+10	2.22e+10	1.87e+10
1	4.827e+10	2.902e+10	1.925e+10	1.676e+10
2	4.236e+10	2.645e+10	1.838e+10	1.581e+10
3	5.266e+10	3.192e+10	2.016e+10	1.794e+10
4	4.994e+10	3.096e+10	2.123e+10	1.810e+10
5	5.322e+10	3.233e+10	2.096e+10	1.866e+10
6	5.327e+10	3.248e+10	2.146e+10	1.833e+10
7	4.103e+10	2.717e+10	2.056e+10	1.895e+10
8	5.870e+10	3.510e+10	2.325e+10	1.908e+10
9	4.436e+10	2.654e+10	2.036e+10	1.676e+10
10	6.215e+10	3.667e+10	2.096e+10	1.951e+10
11	6.159e+10	3.720e+10	2.439e+10	1.795e+10
12	4.658e+10	2.878e+10	2.235e+10	1.741e+10
13	3.148e+10	2.196e+10	1.749e+10	1.549e+10
14	2.549e+10	1.791e+10	1.468e+10	1.366e+10
15	2.532e+10	1.794e+10	1.433e+10	1.357e+10
16	2.941e+10	2.098e+10	1 666e+10	1.384e+10
17	2.931e+10	2.135e+10	1.642e+10	1.329e+10
n haan is al tark aan ma haadin ah ar day koordina		φ(E > 0.1 MeV	V) (n/cm ² -sec)	
Cycle No.	0°	15°	30°	45°
Reference	1.46e+11	9.45e+10	6.05e+10	4.91e+10
1		0.44410	5 2562110	a state of the second
1	1.323e+11	8.444e+10	J.2300+10	4.407e+10
2	1.323e+11 1.161e+11	8.444e+10 7.696e+10	5.017e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10
2 3	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11	8.44e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10	5.017e+10 5.503e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10
2 3 4	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10	5.233e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10
2 3 4 5	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10	5.233e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10
2 3 4 5 6	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10	5.235e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10	5.235e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.608e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11	5.233e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10 5.019e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.608e+11 1.216e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10	5.235e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10 5.019e+10 4.409e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.608e+11 1.216e+11 1.703e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10 1.067e+11	5.235e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10 5.722e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10 5.019e+10 4.409e+10 5.131e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.608e+11 1.216e+11 1.703e+11 1.688e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10 1.067e+11 1.082e+11	5.233e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10 5.722e+10 6.658e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10 5.019e+10 5.131e+10 4.721e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.608e+11 1.216e+11 1.703e+11 1.688e+11 1.276e+11	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10 1.067e+11 1.082e+11 8.374e+10	5.235e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10 5.722e+10 6.558e+10 6.101e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10 5.019e+10 4.409e+10 5.131e+10 4.721e+10 4.579e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.608e+11 1.216e+11 1.703e+11 1.688e+11 1.276e+11 8.626e+10	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10 1.067e+11 1.082e+11 8.374e+10 6.390e+10	5.2336+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10 5.722e+10 6.658e+10 6.101e+10 4.774e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.821e+10 5.019e+10 5.131e+10 4.721e+10 4.579e+10 4.073e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.216e+11 1.216e+11 1.216e+11 1.276e+11 8.626e+10 6.984e+10	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10 1.067e+11 1.082e+11 8.374e+10 6.390e+10 5.213e+10	5.235e+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10 5.722e+10 6.658e+10 6.101e+10 4.774e+10 4.008e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10 5.019e+10 5.131e+10 4.721e+10 4.579e+10 4.073e+10 3.593e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.216e+11 1.216e+11 1.703e+11 1.688e+11 1.276e+11 8.626e+10 6.984e+10 6.937e+10	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10 1.067e+11 1.082e+11 8.374e+10 6.390e+10 5.213e+10 5.220e+10	5.2336+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10 5.722e+10 6.658e+10 6.101e+10 4.774e+10 4.008e+10 3.912e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.821e+10 5.019e+10 5.019e+10 4.409e+10 5.131e+10 4.721e+10 4.579e+10 3.593e+10 3.569e+10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1.323e+11 1.161e+11 1.443e+11 1.368e+11 1.458e+11 1.460e+11 1.124e+11 1.608e+11 1.216e+11 1.703e+11 1.688e+11 1.276e+11 8.626e+10 6.984e+10 6.937e+10 8.058e+10	8.244e+10 7.696e+10 9.289e+10 9.009e+10 9.408e+10 9.451e+10 7.907e+10 1.021e+11 7.723e+10 1.067e+11 1.082e+11 8.374e+10 6.390e+10 5.213e+10 5.220e+10 6.104e+10	5.2336+10 5.017e+10 5.503e+10 5.797e+10 5.722e+10 5.859e+10 5.612e+10 6.348e+10 5.559e+10 5.722e+10 6.658e+10 6.101e+10 4.774e+10 4.008e+10 3.912e+10 4.549e+10	4.407e+10 4.158e+10 4.719e+10 4.761e+10 4.907e+10 4.821e+10 4.984e+10 5.019e+10 4.409e+10 5.131e+10 4.721e+10 4.579e+10 4.073e+10 3.593e+10 3.569e+10 3.640e+10

CALCULATED AZIMUTHAL VARIATION OF FAST NEUTRON EXPOSURE RATES AND IRON ATOM DISPLACEMENT RATES AT THE REACTOR VESSEL CLAD/BASE METAL INTERFACE

TABLE 6-2 cont'd

Sec. 1.		Displacement	Rate (dpa/sec)	
Cycle No.	0°	15°	30°	45°
Reference	8.68e-11	5.46e-11	3.65e-11	3.03e-11
1	7.869e-11	4.875e-11	3.158e-11	2.715e-11
2	6.904e-11	4.443e-11	3.014e-11	2.561e-11
3	8.584e-11	5.363e-11	3.306e-11	2.907e-11
4	8.141e-11	5.201e-11	3.482e-11	2.932e-11
5	8.675e-11	5.431e-11	3.437e-11	3.023e-11
6	8.683e-11	5.456e-11	3.520e-11	2.970e-11
7	6.688e-11	4.565e-11	3.371e-11	3.070e-11
8	9.568e-11	5.896e-11	3.814e-11	3.092e-11
9	7.231e-11	4.459e-11	3.339e-11	2.716e-11
10	1.013e-10	6.160e-11	3.438e-11	3.161e-11
11	1.004e-10	6.249e-11	4.000e-11	2.908e-11
12	7.592e-11	4.834e-11	3.665e-11	2.821e-11
13	5.132e-11	3.689e-11	2.868e-11	2.509e-11
14	4.154e-11	3.010e-11	2.408e-11	2.213e-11
15	4.127e-11	3.014e-11	2.350e-11	2.198e-11
16	4.794e-11	3.524e-11	2.733e-11	2.242e-11
17	4.778e-11	3.586e-11	2.692e-11	2.152e-11

CALCULATED AZIMUTHAL VARIATION OF FAST NEUTRON EXPOSURE RATES AND IRON ATOM DISPLACEMENT RATES AT THE REACTOR VESSEL CLAD/BASE METAL INTERFACE

RADIUS		AZIMUTH	AL ANGLE	
(cm)	0°	15°	30°	45°
168.04	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
168.27	0.987	0.987	0.985	0.987
168.88	0.940	0.942	0.937	0.942
169.75	0.862	0.865	0.857	0.866
170.93	0.754	0.757	0.749	0.760
172.25	0.639	0.644	0.636	0.647
173.53	0.540	0.546	0.539	0.550
174.98	0.444	0.451	0.444	0.454
176.46	0.362	0.370	0.363	0.372
177.58	0.308	0.317	0.311	0.318
179.03	0.250	0.259	0.253	0.260
180.66	0.196	0.206	0.201	0.206
181.63	0.169	0.179	0.175	0.178
182.60	0.144	0.154	0.151	0.154
184.06	0.110	0.122	0.120	0.122
184.87	0.101	0.113	0.112	0.113

RELATIVE RADIAL DISTRIBUTION OF $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ WITHIN THE REACTOR VESSEL WALL

lote:	Base M	letal Inner Radius =	168.04 cm
	Base M	$1 \text{ tal } \frac{1}{4}\text{T} =$	172.25 cm
	Base M	$1 \text{ tal } \frac{1}{2}\text{T} =$	176.46 cm
	Base M	letal ³ / ₄ T =	180.66 cm
	Base M	letal Outer Radius =	184.87 cm

1

RADIUS		AZIMUTH	AL ANGLE	
(cm)	0°	15°	30°	45°
168.04	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
168.27	1.005	1.007	1.005	1.007
168.88	1.002	1.007	1.004	1.008
169.75	0.980	0.990	0.985	0.992
170.93	0.934	0.948	0.945	0.953
172.25	0.873	0.891	0.889	0.899
173.53	0.809	0.831	0.831	0.841
174.98	0.736	0.763	0.763	0.773
176.46	0.662	0.693	0.694	0.703
177.58	0.606	0.640	0.642	0.650
179.03	0.536	0.573	0.577	0.582
180.66	0.461	0.502	0.507	0.509
181.63	0.416	0.458	0.466	0.465
182.60	0.369	0.415	0.423	0.421
184.06	0.298	0.348	0.361	0.357
184.87	0.276	0.327	0.343	0.339

RELATIVE RADIAL DISTRIBUTION OF $\phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$ WITHIN THE REACTOR VESSEL WALL

Note:	Base Metal Inner Radius =	168.04 cm
	Base Metal $\frac{1}{4}T =$	172.25 cm
	Base Metal ¹ / ₂ T =	176.46 cm
	Base Metal ³ / ₄ T =	180.66 cm
	Base Metal Outer Radius =	184.87 cm

RELATIVE RADIAL DISTRIBUTION OF dpa/sec WITHIN THE REACTOR VESSEL WALL

RADIUS		AZIMUTH	AL ANGLE	
(cm)	O°	15°	30°	45°
168.04	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
168.27	0.988	0.990	0.988	0.989
168.88	0.951	0.955	0.950	0.954
169.75	0.889	0.896	0.889	0.857
170.93	0.804	0.814	0.805	0.812
172.25	0.712	0.726	0.716	0.723
173.53	0.630	0.648	0.638	0.644
174.98	0.547	0.568	0.558	0.563
176.46	0.472	0.495	0.486	0.490
177.58	0.420	0.445	0.436	0.439
179.03	0.360	0.386	0.379	0.380
180.66	0.301	0.328	0.322	0.322
181.63	0.267	0.296	0.291	0.289
182.60	0.234	0.264	0.261	0.258
184.06	0.187	0.219	0.220	0.216
184.87	0.173	0.206	0.208	0.205

Note:	Base Metal Inner Radius	= 168.04 cm
	Base Metal ¹ / ₄ T =	172.25 cm
	Base Metal $\frac{1}{2}T =$	176.46 cm
	Base Metal $\frac{3}{4}T =$	180.66 cm
	Base Metal Outer Radius	= 184.87 cm

NUCLEAR PARAMETERS USED IN THE EVALUATION OF NEUTRON SENSORS

Monitor Material	Reaction of Interest	Target Atom <u>Fraction</u>	Response Range	Product Half-life	Fission Yield (%)
Copper	⁶³ Cu (n,α)	0.6917	E > 4.7 MeV	5.271 y	
Iron	54Fe (n,p)	0.0580	E > 1.0 MeV	312.5 d	
Nickel	58Ni (n,p)	0.6827	E > 1.0 MeV	70.78 d	
Uranium-238	²³⁸ U (n,f)	0.9996	E > 0.4 MeV	30.17 y	6.00
Neptunium-237	²³⁷ Np (n,f)	1.0000	E > 0.08 MeV	30.17 y	6.00
Cobalt-Al	⁵⁹ Co (n, y)	0.0015	E > 0.015 MeV	5.271 y	0.27

Note: ²³⁸U and ²³⁷Np monitors are cadmium shielded.

Сус	cle 1	Сус	le 2	Cyc	cle 3	Сус	le 4
Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>
Dec-73 Jan-74 Feb-74 Mar-74 Apr-74 Jun-74 Jun-74 Jul-74 Aug-74 Sep-74 Oct-74 Dec-74 Jan-75 Feb-75 Mar-75 Jun-75 Jun-75 Jun-75 Jun-75 Sep-75 Oct-75 Nov-75 Dec-75 Jan-76 Feb-76 Mar-76	128000 0 385824 104496 379161 0 0 779680 933538 145829 192888 1113715 1184901 988566 886380 1195237 917380 694637 966751 966751 819786 1135530 1055159 1133234 1184901 1191790 831268 907705	Apr-76 May-76 Jun-76 Jul-76 Aug-76 Sep-76 Oct-76 Dec-76 Jan-77 Feb-77 Mar-77	0 730614 1107963 1112551 1167605 763875 1219726 1151899 1219726 1192135 1020845 583997	Apr-77 May-77 Jun-77 Jul-77 Aug-77 Sep-77 Oct-77 Dec-77 Jan-78 Feb-78 Mar-78	0 1027843 1093671 1202230 1208004 1139867 1159500 1176823 1204540 1192991 1042857 950466	Apr-78 May-78 Jun-78 Jul-78 Aug-73 Sep-78 Oct-78 Dec-78 Jan-79 Feb-79 Mar-79 Apr-79	375189 1216949 1128613 904285 1065847 1032140 1218111 1100717 1198352 1208813 1098392 1146048 184809

MONTHLY THERMAL GENERATION DURING THE FIRST SEVENTEEN FUEL CYCLES OF THE PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 REACTOR

TABLE 6-7 cont'd

Сус	le 5	Cyc	le 6	Cyc	le 7	Cyc	le 8
Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>
May-79 Jun-79 Jul-79 Aug-79 Sep-79 Oct-79 Nov-79 Dec-79 Jan-80 Feb-80 Mar-80 Apr-80 May-80 Jun-80 Jun-80 Jul-80 Aug-80	778462 1014740 118710 1055832 1116328 372109 533052 1171117 1214492 905162 1208785 1175683 1201936 1128884 4017.87 904020	Sep-80 Oct-80 Nov-80 Dec-80 Jan-81 Feb-81 Mar-81 Apr-81 Jun-81 Jun-81 Jul-81 Aug-81 Sep-81	0 133518 1154538 1212882 1209516 1089462 1226346 1168002 1216248 1155660 1216248 1203906 627198	Oct-81 Nov-81 Dec-81 Jan-82 Feb-82 Mar-82 Apr-82 Jun-82 Jun-82 Jul-82 Aug-82 Sep-82 Oct-82 Nov-82	68509 1122238 1194009 1208146 1088527 1221195 1151592 1151592 1170085 1183135 1204884 1220108 1128763 1199446 493698	Dec-82 Jan-85 Feb-83 Mar-83 Apr-83 May-83 Jun-83 Jun-83 Jul-83 Aug-83 Sep-83 Oct-83 Nov-83 Dec-83	455376 1080444 629364 1214694 1180326 1198584 1131996 1194288 1198584 1109442 1218990 1172808 38664
Cyc	ele 9	Cycl	e 10	Cycl	e 11	Cycl	e 12
Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>
Jan-84 Feb-84 Mar-84 Apr-84 Jun-84 Jun-84 Jul-84 Aug-84 Sep-84 Oct-84 Nov-84 Dec-84 Jan-85	1053719 1104603 1212731 1175628 1224392 1177748 1212731 1148065 1139585 813081 890467 1135345 323324	Feb-85 Mar-85 Apr-85 Jun-85 Jul-85 Aug-85 Sep-85 Oct-85 Nov-85 Dec-85 Jan-86 Feb-86 Mar-86	0 764836 1180670 1171123 1179610 1199765 1185974 1154150 1210373 1179610 1217798 1084138 710736 82742	Apr-86 May-86 Jun-86 Jul-86 Aug-86 Sep-86 Oct-86 Dec-86 Jan-87 Feb-87 Mar-87 Apr-87	760857 1222014 1181914 1222014 1218848 1168195 1224125 1179803 1182969 1213572 781962 899099 186785	May-87 Jun-87 Jul-87 Aug-87 Sep-87 Oct-87 Nov-87 Dec-87 Jan-88 Feb-88 Mar-88 May-88 Jun-88 Jun-88 Jul-88 Aug-88	107076 1115895 1136890 1219821 1178880 1215622 1173632 1217722 1217722 1138990 1027715 1177831 1216672 1176781 1050810 628806

MONTHLY THERMAL GENERATION DURING THE FIRST SEVENTEEN FUEL CYCLES OF THE PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 REACTOR

TABLE 6-7 cont'd

Cycle 13		Cyc	le 14	Cycle 15		Cyc	Cycle 16	
Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-br</u>	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>	
Sep-88	52944	Feb-90	32301	Jun-91	2079	Nov-92	0	
Oct-88	1212012	Mar-90	1204506	Jul-91	1180804	Dec-92	0	
Nov-88	1171334	Apr-90	1185750	Aug-91	1048795	Jan-93	736230	
Dec-88	1225572	May-90	1221177	Sep-91	1183922	Feb-93	1073237	
Jan-89	1226615	Jun-90	1177415	Oct-91	1222381	Mar-93	1226705	
Feb-89	1106665	Jul-90	1209716	Nov-91	1164173	Apr-93	1181079	
Mar-89	1222443	Aug-90	1200338	Dec-91	1222381	May-93	1224631	
Apr-89	1179678	Sep-90	1185750	Jan-92	1221342	Jun-93	1184190	
May-89	1128834	Oct-90	1224303	Feb-92	1138187	Jul-93	1224631	
Jun-89	1184893	Nov-90	1132611	Mar-92	1221342	Aug-93	1161377	
Jul-89	1181764	Dec-90	1177415	Apr-92	1182883	Sep-93	1184190	
Aug-89	1224529	Jan-91	1224303	May-92	1180804	Oct-93	1223594	
Sep-89	1182807	Feb-91	1102394	Jun-92	1181843	Nov-93	1171747	
Oct-89	1199496	Mar-91	1182625	Jul-92	1221342	Dec-93	1223693	
Nov-89	1177592	Apr-91	1175331	Aug-92	1222381	Jan-94	1223693	
Dec-89	949166	May-91	934638	Sep-92	958364	Feb-94	1097176	
Jan-90	349418			Oct-92	563376	Mar-94	1223693	
						Apr-94	1115842	
						May-94	211554	

MONTHLY THERMAL GENERATION DURING THE FIRST SEVENTEEN FUEL CYCLES OF THE PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 REACTOR

TABLE 6-7 cont'd

	Cyc	le 17
	Month	Thermal Gen. <u>MWt-hr</u>
	Jun-94	0
	Jul-94	1085468
	Aug-94	920848
1	Sep-94	1187328
	Oct-94	1226425
	Nov-94	1178068
	Dec-94	1225396
	Jan-95	1225396
	Feb-95	1081353
1	Mar-95	1225396
1	Apr-95	1183212
	May-95	1225396
	Jun-95	1186299
	Jul-95	1204818
	Aug-95	1225396
	Sep-95	1185270
	Oct-95	1182183
	Nov-95	1185270
1	Dec-95	1134855
	Jan-96	151245

MONTHLY THERMAL GENERATION DURING THE FIRST SEVENTEEN FUEL CYCLES OF THE PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 REACTOR

MEASURED SENSOR ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE S SATURATED ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES

Reaction	Measured Activity (dps/gm)	Saturated Activity (dps/gm)	Reaction Rate (rps/atom)
⁶³ Cu (n,α) ⁶⁰ Co			
Top Middle	1.70e+05	2.49e+05	4.33e-17
Bottom Middle	1.83e+05	2.68e+05	4.66e-17
⁵⁴ Fe (n,p) ⁵⁴ Mn			
Top	1.30e+06	3.03e+06	4.60e-15
Top Middle	1.13e+06	2.63e+06	4.00e-15
Middle	1.17e+06	2.73e+06	4.14e-15
Bottom Middle	1.20e+06	2.80e+06	4.25e-15
Bottom	1.28e+06	2.98e+06	4.53e-15
⁵⁸ Ni (n,p) ⁵⁸ Co			
Middle	2.28e+06	3.58 -+07	5.92e-15
⁵⁹ Co (n, y) ⁶⁰ Co			
Top	3.87e+07	5.66e+07	3.55e-12
Bottom	3.86e+07	5.65e+07	3.54e-12
⁵⁹ Co (n, y) ⁶⁰ Co (Cd)			
Тор	1.41e+07	2.06e+07	1.33e-12
Bottom	1.43e+07	2.09e+07	1.35e-12
²³⁸ U (n,f) ¹³⁷ Cs			
Middle	1.67e+06	5.21e+06	3.43e-14
²³⁷ Np (n,f) ¹³⁷ Cs			
Middle	1.13e+07	3.52e+07	2.21e-13

TABLE 6-8 cont'd

MEASURED SENSOR ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE R SATURATED ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES

Reaction	Measured Activity (dps/gm)	Saturated Activity (dps/gm)	Reaction Rate (rps/atom)
⁶³ Cu (n,α) ⁶⁰ Co			
Top Middle	2.42e+05	4.29e+05	7.46e-17
Bottom Middle	2.50e+05	4.43e+05	7.70e-17
⁵⁴ Fe (n,p) ⁵⁴ Mn			
Top	2.74e+06	6.09e+06	9.25e-15
Top Middle	2.47e+06	5.49e+06	8.34e-15
Middle	2.56e+06	5.69e+06	8.64e-15
Bottom Middle	2.59e+06	5.76e+06	8.74e-15
Bottom	2.67e+06	5.93e+06	9.01e-15
⁵⁸ Ni (n,p) ⁵⁸ Co			
Middle	3.84e+06	7.58e+07	1.26e-14
⁵⁹ Co (n,γ) ⁶⁰ Co			
Top	7.41e+07	1.31e+08	8.31e-12
Bottom	8.13e+07	1.44e+08	9.12e-12
Bottom	8.16e+07	1.45e+08	9.15e-12
⁵⁹ Co (n, y) ⁶⁰ Co (Cd)			
Top	2.96e+07	5.24e+07	3.46e-12
Bottom	3.01e+07	5.33e+07	3.51e-12
²³⁸ U (n.f) ¹³⁷ Cs			
Middle	2.09e+06	1.20e+07	7.93e-14
237 10 (0.0. 1370)			
Np (n,r) Cs	1.416+07	0 1007	6.10
Midule	1.410+07	8.120+07	5.10e-1

TABLE 6-8 cont'd

MEASURED SENSOR ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE P SATURATED ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES

Reaction	Measured Activity (dps/gm)	Saturated Activity (dps/gm)	Reaction Rate (rps/atom)
⁶³ Cu (n,α) ⁶⁰ Co			
Top Middle	1.27e+05	3.37e+05	5.86e-17
Bottom Middle	1.18e+05	3.13e+05	5.44e-17
⁵⁴ Fe (n,p) ⁵⁴ Mn			
Тор	1.08e+06	3.78e+06	5.74e-15
Top Middle	8.41e+05	2.94e+06	4.47e-15
Bottom Middle	1.00e+06	3.50e+06	5.32e-15
Bottom	1.12e+06	3.92e+06	5.96e-15
⁵⁸ Ni (n,p) ⁵⁸ Co			
Middle	3.77e+05	4.75e+07	7.86e-15
⁵⁹ Co (n. y) ⁶⁰ Co			
Тор	2.64e+07	7.00e+07	4 34e-12
Bottom	3.15e+07	8.36e+07	5.18e-12
⁵⁹ Co (n x) ⁶⁰ Co (Cd)			
Top	934e+06	2 48e+07	1 57e-12
Bottom	9.92e+06	2.63e+07	1.67e-12
23811 (n f) 137Cs			
Middle	5.55e+05	5.42e+06	3.57e-14
²³⁷ Np (n,f) ¹³⁷ Cs			
Middle	4.28e+06	4.18e+07	2.62e-13

TABLE 5-8 cont'd

.

MEASURED SENSOR ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE V SATURATED ACTIVITIES AND REACTION RATES

Reaction	Measured Activity (dps/gm)	Saturated Activity (dps/gm)	Reaction Rate (rps/atom)
⁶³ Cu (n,α) ⁶⁰ Co			a na at any former and any design of the second
Top Middle	5.61e+04	3.60e+05	. 6.26e-17
Bottom Middle	6.35e+04	4.07e+05	7.08e-17
⁵⁴ Fe (n,p) ⁵⁴ Mn			
Тор	2.23e+06	5.03e+06	7.65e-15
Top Middle	2.05e+06	4.63e+06	7.03e-15
Middle	2.09e+06	4.72e+06	7.17e-15
Bottom Middle	2.17e+06	4.90e+06	7.44e-15
Bottom	2.32e+06	5.24e+06	7.96e-15
⁵⁸ Ni (n,p) ⁵⁸ Co			
Middle	1.00e+07	5.16e+07	8.54e-15
⁵⁹ Co (n, y) ⁶⁰ Co			
Тор	1.90e+07	1.22e+08	7.71e-12
Bottom	2.23e+07	1.43e+08	9.05e-12
⁵⁹ Co (n,γ) ⁶⁰ Co (Cd)			
Тор	8.25e+06	5.29e+07	3.49e-12
Bottom	7.68e+06	4.92e+07	3.25e-12
²³⁸ U (n,f) ¹³⁷ Cs			
Middle	2.44e+05	7.82e+06	5.15e-14
²³⁷ Np (n.f) ¹³⁷ Cs	F		
Middle	1.88e+06	6.03e+07	3.78e-13

SUMMARY OF NEUTRON DOSIMETRY RESULTS SURVEILLANCE CAPSULES S, R, P AND V

Measured Flux and Fluence for Capsule S					
Quantity	Flux	Quantity	Fluence	Uncertainty	
	[n/cm ² -sec]		$[n/cm^2]$		
ϕ (E > 1.0 MeV)	7.024e+10	Φ (E > 1.0 MeV)	4.017e+19	8%	
ϕ (E > 0.1 MeV)	2.769e+11	Φ (E > 0.1 MeV)	1.584e+20	15%	
ϕ (E < 0.414 eV)	9.268e+10	Φ (E < 0.414 eV)	5.300e+19	19%	
dpa/sec	1.278e-10	dpa	7.309e-02	11%	

Measured Flux and Fluence for Capsule R						
Quantity	Flux	Quantity	Fiuence	Uncertainty		
 	[n/cm ² -sec] 1.645e+11 6.675e+11 2.285e+11 3.011e-10		[n/cm ²] 4.478e+19 1.817e+20 6.221e+19 8.197e-02	8% 15% 19% 11%		

Measured Flux and Fluence for Capsule P						
Quantity	Flux	Quantity	Fluence	Uncertainty		
	[n/cm ² -sec]		[n/cm ²]			
ϕ (E > 1.0 MeV)	8.501e+10	Φ (E > 1.0 MeV)	1.318e+19	8%		
ϕ (E > 0.1 MeV)	3.221e+11	Φ (E > 0.1 MeV)	4.995e+19	15%		
ϕ (E < 0.414 eV)	1.294e+11	Φ (E < 0.414 eV)	2.007e+19	18%		
dpa/sec	1.518e-10	dpa	2.354e-02	10%		

Measured Flux and Fluence for Capsule V						
Quantity	Flux	Quantity	Fluence	Uncertainty		
	[n/cm ² -sec]		[n/cm ²]			
ϕ (E > 1.0 MeV)	1.276e+11	Φ (E > 1.0 MeV)	5.630e+18	8%		
$\phi (E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$	5.102e+11	Φ (E > 0.1 MeV)	2.251e+19	15%		
ϕ (E < 0.414 eV)	2.122e+11	Φ (E < 0.414 eV)	9.363e+18	19%		
dpa/sec	2.337e-10	dpa	1.031e-02	11%		

COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND FERRET CALCULATED REACTION RATES AT THE SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE CENTER

	Surveillance (Capsule S	
	Reaction Rate		
	Measured	Adjusted Calc.	M/C Adjusted
⁶³ Cu (n,α)	4.49e-17	4.38e-17	1.03
⁵⁴ Fe (n,p)	4.31e-15	4.42e-15	0.98
⁵⁸ Ni (n,p)	5.92e-15	6.05e-15	0.98
²³⁸ U (n,f) (Cd)	2.40e-14	2.28e-14	1.05
²³⁷ Np (n,f) (Cd)	2.18e-13	2.07e-13	1.05
⁵⁹ Co (n, γ)	3.54e-12	3.55e-12	1.00
59Co (n, y) (Cd)	1.34e-12	1.35e-12	0.99

Surveillance Capsule R						
	Reaction Rate					
	Measured	Adjusted Calc.	M/C Adjusted			
⁶³ Cu (n,α)	7.58e-17	7.48e-17	1.01			
⁵⁴ Fe (n,p)	8.80e-15	9.04e-15	0.97			
⁵⁸ Ni (n,p)	1.26e-14	1.27e-14	0.99			
²³⁸ U (n,f) (Cd)	5.61e-14	5.16e-14	1.09			
²³⁷ Np (n,f) (Cd)	5.02e-13	4.88e-13	1.03			
⁵⁹ Co (n, y)	8.86e-12	8.87e-12	1.00			
⁵⁹ Co (n, y) (Cd)	3.49e-12	3.49e-12	1.00			

TABLE 6-10 cont'd

COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND FERRET CALCULATED REACTION RATES AT THE SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE CENTER

Surveillance Capsule T						
	Reaction Rate	Reaction Rate (rps/nucleus)				
	Measured	Adjusted Calc.	M/C _Adjusted			
⁶³ Cu (n,α)	5.65e-17	5.54e-17	1.02			
⁵⁴ Fe (n,p)	5.37e-15	5.57e-15	0.96			
⁵⁸ Ni (n,p)	7.87e-15	7.85e-15	1.00			
²³⁸ U (n,f) (Cd)	2.85e-14	2.79e-14	1.02			
²³⁷ Np (n,f) (Cd)	2.58e-13	2.46e-13	1.05			
⁵⁹ Co (n, y)	4.76e-12	4.76e-12	1.00			
59Co (n, y) (Cd)	1.62e-12	1.62e-12	1.00			

Surveillance Capsule V						
M/C Adjusted						
1.01						
0.98						
1.03						
1.01						
1.00						
1.00						

Capsule S					
Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)	Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)
1	1.73e+01	5.78e+06	28	9.12e-03	1.32e+10
2	1.49e+01	1.23e+07	29	5.53e-03	1.39e+10
3	1.35e+01	4.48e+07	30	3.35e-03	4.32e+09
4	1.16e+01	1.21e+08	31	2.84e-03	4.11e+09
5	1.00e+01	2.73e+08	32	2.40e-03	4.00e+09
6	8.61e+00	4.72e+08	33	2.03e-03	1.17e+10
7	7.41e+00	1.14e+09	34	1.23e-03	1.14e+10
8	6.07e+00	1.73e+09	35	7.49e-04	1.08e+10
9	4.97e+00	3.58e+09	36	4.54e-04	9.59e+09
10	3.68e+00	4.21e+09	37	2.75e-04	1.00e+10
11	2.87e+00	8.16e+09	38	1.67e-04	9.17e+09
12	2.23e+00	1.08e+10	39	1.01e-04	1.02e+10
13	1.74e+00	1.47e+10	40	6.14e-05	1.03e+10
14	1.35e+00	1.57e+10	41	3.73e-05	1.05e+10
15	1.11e+00	2.70e+10	42	2.26e-05	1.04e+10
16	8.21e-01	3.01e+10	43	1.37e-05	1.02e+10
17	6.39e-01	3.21e+10	44	8.31e-06	1.02e+10
18	4.98e-01	2.18e+10	45	5.04e-06	1.03e+10
19	3.88e-01	3.00e+10	46	3.06e-06	1.04e+10
20	3.02e-01	3.66e+10	47	1.86e-06	1.04e+10
21	1.83e-01	3.31e+10	48	1.13e-06	9.22e+09
22	1.11e-01	2.50e+10	49	6.83e-07	8.06e+09
23	6.74e-02	2.04e+10	50	4.14e-07	1.39e+10
24	4.09e-02	1.23e+10	51	2.51e-07	1.51e+10
25	2.1.08-02	1.15e+10	52	1.52e-07	1.65e+10
26	1.99e-02	7.60e+09	53	9.24e-08	4.71e+10
27	1.50e-02	1.24e+10			

ADJUSTED NEUTRON ENERGY SPECTRUM AT THE CENTER OF SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE

Note: Tabulated energy levels represent the upper energy in each group.

TABLE 6-11 cont'd

	Capsule R					
Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)	Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)	
1	1.73e+01	8.52e+06	28	9.12e-03	3.08e+i0	
2	1.49e+01	1.83e+07	29	5.53e-03	3.26e+10	
3	1.35e+01	6.93e+07	30	3.35:-03	1.02e+10	
4	1.16e+01	1.93e+08	31	2.84e-03	9.75e+09	
5	1.00e+01	4.49e+08	32	2.40e-03	9.58e+09	
6	8.61e+00	8.17e+08	33	2.03e-03	2.84e+10	
7	7.41e+00	2.05e+09	34	1.23e-03	2.79e+10	
8	6.07e+00	3.32e+09	35	7.49e-04	2.66e+10	
9	4.97e+00	7.41e+09	36	4.54e-04	2.40e+10	
10	3.68e+00	9.30e+09	37	2.75e-04	2.53e+10	
11	2.87e+00	1.86e+10	38	1.67e-04	2.42e+10	
12	2.23e+00	2.55e+10	39	1.01e-04	2.60e+10	
13	1.74e+00	3.55e+10	40	6.14e-05	2.61e+10	
14	1.35e+00	3.84e+10	41	3.73e-05	2.62e+10	
15	1.11e+00	6.68e+10	42	2.26e-05	2.60e+10	
16	8.21e-01	7.46e+10	43	1.37e-05	2.55e+10	
17	6.39e-01	7.97e+10	44	8.31e-06	2.53e+10	
18	4.98e-01	5.34e+10	45	5.04e-06	2.53e+10	
19	3.88e-01	7.30e+10	46	3.06e-06	2.53e+10	
20	3.02e-01	8.74e+10	47	1.86e-06	2.53e+10	
21	1.83e-01	7.86e+10	48	1.13e-06	2.25e+10	
22	1.11e-01	5.91e+10	49	6.83e-07	2.00e+10	
23	6.74e-02	4.78e+10	50	4.14e-07	3.60e+10	
24	4.09e-02	2.90e+10	51	2.51e-07	3.82e+10	
25	2.55e-02	2.70e+10	52	1.526-07	4.08e+10	
26	1.99e-02	1.77e+10	53	9 24e-08	1.14e+11	
27	1.50e-02	2.88e+10		1.210.00	1.140111	

ADJUSTED NEUTRON ENERGY SPECTRUM AT THE CENTER OF SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE

Note: Tabulated energy levels represent the upper energy in each group.
TABLE 6-11 cont'd

		Capsu	ile P		ann an fé an shiannin na mari feran (pane di dan mang ran di dan s
Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)	Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)
1	1.73e+01	7.22e+06	28	9.12e-03	1.50e+10
2	1.49e+01	1.54e+07	29	5.53e-03	1.58e+10
3	1.35e+01	5.65e+07	30	3.35e-03	4.87e+09
4	1.16e+01	1.54e+08	31	2.84e-03	4.66e+09
5	1.00e+01	3.47e+08	32	2.40e-03	4.57e+09
6	8.61e+00	6.03e+08	33	2.03e-03	1.35e+10
7	7.41e+00	1.47e+09	34	1.23e-03	1.32e+10
8	6.07e+00	2.23e+09	35	7.49e-04	1.26e+10
9	4.97e+00	4.54e+09	36	4.54e-04	1.13e+10
10	3.68e+00	5.24e+09	37	2.75e-04	1.18e+10
11	2.87e+00	1.01e+10	38	1.67e-04	1.11e+10
12	2.23e+00	1.32e+10	39	1.01e-04	1.21e+10
13	1.74e+00	1.76e+10	40	6.14e-05	1.22e+10
14	1.35e+00	1.87e+10	41	3.73e-05	1.23e+10
15	1.11e+00	3.18e+10	42	2.26e-05	1.22e+10
16	8.21e-01	3.49e+10	43	1.37e-05	1.19e+10
17	6.39e-01	3.70e+10	44	8.31e-06	1.19e+10
18	4.98e-01	2.51e+10	45	5.04e-06	1.20e+10
19	3.88e-01	3.42e+10	46	3.06e-06	1.20e+10
20	3.02e-01	4.16e+10	47	1.86e-06	1.20e+10
21	1.83e-01	3.74e+10	48	1.13e-06	1.09e+10
22	1.11e-01	2.83e+10	49	6.33e-07	9.74e+09
23	6.74e-02	2.30e+10	50	4.14e-07	1.72e+10
24	4.09e-02	1.39e+10	51	2.51e-07	1.96e+10
25	2.55e-02	1.30e+10	52	1.52e-07	2.24e+10
26	1.99e-02	8.58e+09	53	9.24e-08	7.01e+10
27	1.50e-02	1.40e+10			

ADJUSTED NEUTRON ENERGY SPECTRUM AT THE CENTER OF SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE

Note: Tabulated energy levels represent the upper energy in each group.

TABLE 6-11 cont'd

	Capsule V					
Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)	Group #	Energy (MeV)	Flux (n/cm ² -sec)	
1	1.73e+01	7.72e+06	28	9.12e-03	2.67e+10	
2	1.49e+01	1.66e+07	29	5.53e-03	2.86e+10	
3	1.35e+01	6.30e+07	30	3.35e-03	8.97e+09	
4	1.16e+01	1.75e+08	31	2.84e-03	8.65e+09	
5	1.00e+01	4.04e+08	32	2.40e-03	8.56e+09	
6	8.61e+00	7.28e+08	33	2.03e-03	2.56e+10	
7	7.41e+00	1.80e+09	34	1.23e-03	2.54e+10	
8	6.07e+00	2.88e+09	35	7.49e-04	2.45e+10	
9	4.97e+00	6.29e+09	36	4.54e-04	2.23e+10	
10	3.68e+00	7.68e+09	37	2.75e-04	2.38e+10	
11	2.87e+00	1.49e+10	38	1.67e-04	2.37e+10	
12	2.23e+00	1.98e+10	39	1.01e-04	2.45e+10	
13	1.74e+00	2.69e+10	40	6.14e-05	2.44e+10	
14	1.35e+00	2.89e+10	41	3.73e-05	2.44e+10	
15	1.11e+00	4.98e+10	42	2.26e-05	2.40e+10	
16	8.21e-01	5.54e+10	43	1.37e-05	2.34e+10	
17	6.39e-01	5.94e+10	44	8.31e-06	2.31e+10	
18	4.98e-01	4.01e+10	45	5.04e-06	2.30e+10	
19	3.88e-01	5.55e+10	46	3.06e-06	2.30e+10	
20	3.02e-01	6.76e+10	47	1.86e-06	2 29e+10	
21	1.83e-01	6.18e+10	48	1.13e-06	2.03e+10	
22	1.11e-01	4.74e+10	49	6.83e-07	1.83e+10	
23	6.74e-02	3.90e+10	50	4.14e-07	3 30e+10	
24	4.09e-02	2.40e+10	51	2.51e-07	3 52e+10	
25	2.55e-02	2.27e+10	52	1.52e-07	3.76+10	
26	1.99e-02	1.51e+10	53	9.246-08	1.06e+11	
27	1.50e-02	2.48e+10		2.2.10.00	1.000111	

ADJUSTED NEUTRON ENERGY SPECTRUM AT THE CENTER OF SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE

Note: Tabulated energy levels represent the upper energy in each group.

COMPANSON OF CALCULATED AND MEASURED INTEGRATED NEUTRON EXPOSURE OF PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 SURVEILLANCE CAPSULES S, R, P, AND V

	CAPSULE S		
	Calculated	Measured	M/C
$\begin{array}{l} \Phi(E > 1.0 \ \text{MeV}) & [n/cm2] \\ \Phi(E > 0.1 \ \text{MeV}) & [n/cm2] \\ & dpa \end{array}$	4.338e+19 1.527e+20 7.461e-02	4.017e+19 1.584e+20 7.309e-02	0.93 1.04 0.98

	CAPSULE R		
	Calculated	Measured	M/C
$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi(E > 1.0 \ \text{MeV}) & [n/cm2] \\ \Phi(E > 0.1 \ \text{MeV}) & [n/cm2] \\ & dpa \end{array}$	4.000e+19 1.516e+20 7.121e-02	4.478e+19 1.817e+20 8.197e-02	1.12 1.20 1.15

	CAPSULE P		
	Calculated	Measured	M/C
$\Phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}) [n/cm2] \\ \Phi(E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}) [n/cm2] \\ dpa$	1.314e+19 4.521e+19 2.234e-02	1.318e+19 4.994e+19 2.354e-02	1.00 1.10 1.05

	CAPSULE V	ene d'allaction	
	Calculated	Measured	M/C
$\begin{array}{l} \Phi(E > 1.0 \ MeV) [n/cm2] \\ \Phi(E > 0.1 \ MeV) [n/cm2] \\ dpa \end{array}$	6.267e+18 2.375e+19 1.116e-02	5.630e+18 2.251e+19 1.031e-02	0.90 0.95 0.92

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

NEUTRON EXPOSURE PROJECTIONS AT KEY LOCATIONS ON THE REACTOR VESSEL CLAD/BASE METAL INTERFACE

Best Estimate Exposure (18.12 EFPY) at the Reactor Vessel Inner Radius				
	0°	15°	30°	45°
$ \begin{aligned} \Phi & (E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}) \\ \Phi & (E > 0.1 \text{ MeV}) \\ dpa \end{aligned} $	1.59e+19 4.74e+19 2.70e-02	1.13e+19 3.57e+19 1.98e-02	8.98e+18 2.66e+19 1.53e-02	7.88e+18 2.25e+19 1.33e-02

Best Estimate Exposure (24 EFPY) at the Reactor Vessel Inner Radius				
	0°	15°	30°	45°
$\Phi (E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ $\Phi (E > 0.1 \text{ MeV})$ dpa	2.11e+19 6.27e+19 3.58e-02	1.50e+19 4.73e+19 2.62e-02	1.19e+19 3.53e+19 2.03e-02	1.04e+19 2.98e+19 1.76e-02

Best Estimate Exposure (35 EFPY) at the Reactor Vessel Inner Radius					
	0°	15°	30° ·	45°	
Φ (E > 1.0 MeV) Φ (E > 0.1 MeV) dpa	3.07e+19 9.15e+19 5.22e-02	2.18e+19 6.90e+19 3.82e-02	1.73e+19 5.15e+19 2.96e-02	1.52e+19 4.35e+19 2.57e-02	

NEUTRON EXPOSURE VALUES WITHIN THE PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 REACTOR VESSEL

	24 EFPY	Φ (E > 1.0 MeV) [n/cm ²]	
	0°	15°	30°	45°
Surface	2.11e+19	1.50e+19	1.19e+19	1.04e+19
1/4 T	1.35e+19	9.64e+18	7.56e+18	6.75e+18
½ T	7.63e+18	5.54e+18	4.32e+18	3.88e+18
3⁄4 T	4.13e+18	3.08e+18	2.39e+18	2.15e+18

FLUENCE BASED ON E > 1.0 MeV SLOPE

	35 EFPY	Φ (E > 1.0 MeV) [n/cm ²]	
	0°	15°	30°	45°
Surface	3.07e+19	2.18e+19	1.73e+19	1.52e+19
1/4 T	1.96e+19	1.41e+19	1.10e+19	9.85e+18
1/2 T	1.11e+19	8.08e+18	6.30e+18	5.66e+18
3/4 T	6.02e+18	4.50e+18	3.49e+18	3.14e+18

FLUENCE BASED ON dpa SLOPE

	24 EFPY	Φ (E > 1.0 MeV) [n/cm²]	
	0°	15°	30°	45°
Surface 1/4 T 1/2 T 3/4 T	2.11e+19 1.50e+19 9.95e+18 6.34e+18	1.50e+19 1.09e+19 7.41e+18 4.91e+18	1.19e+19 8.52e+18 5.78e+18 3.83e+18	1.04e+19 7.55e+18 5.12e+18 3.36e+18

	35 EFPY Φ (E > 1.0 MeV) [n/cm ²]					
	0°	15°	30°	45°		
Surface 1/4 T 1/2 T 3/4 T	3.07e+19 2.19e+19 1.45e+19 9.25e+18	2.18e+19 1.58e+19 1.08e+19 7.16e+18	1.73e+19 1.24e+19 8.43e+18 5.59e+18	1.52e+19 1.10e+19 7.46e+18 4.90e+18		

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

Capsu	e Lead Factor
V ^[a]	2.94
P ^(b)	1.72
R ^[c]	2.99
S ^[d]	1.77
N ^(e)	1.77
T ^[e]	1.89

UPDATED LEAD FACTORS FOR PRAIRIE ISLAND UNIT 1 SURVEILLANCE CAPSULES

- [a] Withdrawn at the end of Cycle 1.
- [b] Withdrawn at the end of Cycle 5.
- [c] Withdrawn at the end of Cycle 9.
- [d] Withdrawn at the end of Cycle 17.
- [e] Capsules remaining in the reactor.

7.0 RECOMMENDED SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE REMOVAL SCHEDULE

The following surveillance capsule removal schedule meets the requirements of ASTM E185-82 and is recommended for future capsules to be removed from the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel. This recommended removal schedule is applicable to 35 EFPY.

TABLE 7-1 Recommended Surveillance Capsule Removal Schedule for the Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel						
V	77	2.94	1.34	5.630 x 10 ^{18(c)}		
Ρ	247	1.72	4.60	1.318 x 10 ^{19(c)}		
R	257	2.99	8.56	4.478 x 10 ^{19 (c)}		
S	57	1.77	18.12	4.017 x 10 ^{19 (c)}		
т	67	1.89	Standby	^(d)		
N	237	1.77	Standby	(d)		

NOTES:

- (a) Updated in Capsule S dosimetry analysis; see Section 6.0 of this report.
- (b) Effective Full Power Years (EFPY) from plant startup.
- (c) Plant-specific evaluation.
- (d) Capsule T will reach the projected peak vessel fluence (at 52.5 EFPY) at approximately 27.7 EFPY. Capsule N will reach the projected peak fleunce (at 52.5 EFPY) at approximately 29.6 EFPY.

8.0 REFERENCES

- Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials", U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May 1988.
- 10 CFR 50, Appendix G, "Fracture Toughness Requirements", Federal Register, Volume 60, No. 243, dated December 19, 1995.
- 3. WCAP-8086, "Northern States Power Co. Prairie Island Unit No. 1 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program", S. E. Yanichko and D.J. Lege, June 1973.
- 4. Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Appendix G, "Fracture Toughness Criteria for Protection Against Failure".
- ASTM E208, "Standard Test Method for Conducting Drop-Weight Test to Determine Nil-Ductility Transition Temperature of Ferritic Steels", in ASTM Standards, Section 3, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA.
- WCAP-11006, "Analysis of Capsule R from the Northern States Power Company Prairie Island Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program", R. S. Boggs, et al., February 1986.
- Analysis Report No. 16150, "Prairie Island Unit 1", P.O. CL3DCHMLB, COTA Analytical Laboratory, Waltz Mill Site, Approved by L. F. Becker, 10/18/96.
- 8. Societe Des Forges Et Ateliers Du Creusot Usines Schneider, Chemical Analysis Report No. 17-9-2, NSP shell course C, Heat 21918/38566.
- Societe Des Forges Et Ateliers Du Creusot Usines Schneider, Chemical Analysis Report No. 15-8-1, NSP shell course D, Heat 21887/38530.
- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, "Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program Requirements", Federal Register, Volume 60, No. 243, dated December 19, 1995.
- ASTM E185-82, "Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels", E706 (IF), in ASTM Standards, Section 3, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM E23-93a, "Standard Test Methods for Notched Bar Impact Testing of Metallic Materials", in ASTM Standards, Section 3, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.

- ASTM A370-92, "Standard Test Methods and Definitions for Mechanical Testing of Steel Products", in ASTM Standards, Section 3, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- 14. ASTM E8-93, "Standard Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials", in ASTM Standards, Section 3, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- 15 ASTM E21-92, "Standard Test Methods for Elevated Temperature Tension Tests of Metailic Materials", in ASTM Standards, Section 3, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM E83-93, "Standard Practice for Verification and Classification of Extensorneters", in ASTM Standards, Section 3, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E853-87, Standard Practice for Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E693-79, "Standard Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Ferritic Steels in Terms of Displacements per Atom (dpa)", in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- RSIC Computer Code Collection CCC-543, "TORT-DORT Two- and Three-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Transport, Version 2.7.3", May 1993.
- RSIC Data Library Collection DLC-175, "BUGLE-93, Production and Testing of the VITAMIN-B6 Fine Group and the BUGLE-93 Broad Group Neutron/Photon Cross-Section Libraries Derived from ENDF/B-VI Nuclear Data", April 1994.
- R. E. Maerker, et al, "Accounting for Changing Source Distributions in Light Water Reactor Surveillance Dosimetry Analysis", Nuclear Science and Engineering, Volume 94, Pages 291-308, 1986.
- J. E. Schaefer, transmittal of Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 1 core inventory and average axial conditions, September 11, 1996.
- K. A. Jones, et al., "The Nuclear Design Core Management of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Nuclear Reactor Cycle 2", WCAP-8744-R1, April 1976. [Westinghouse Proprietary Class 2]

- D. J. Franks, et. al., "The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Nuclear Reactor - Cycle 3", WCAP-8956, March 1977. [Westinghouse Proprietary Class 2]
- M. F. Muenks, et. al., "The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Nuclear Reactor - Cycle 4", WCAP-9306, April 1978. [Westinghouse Proprietary Class 2]
- 26. Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 5 Core Data, XN-NF-78-47, November 1978, and core inventory and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- 27. Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 6 Core Data, XN-NF-79-104, December 1979, and core inventory and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 7 Core Data, XN-NF-81-42, June 1981, and core inventory and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- 29. Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 8 Core Data, XN-NF-82-66, Revision 1, November 1982, and core inventory and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 9 Startup and Operations Report, NSPNAD-8403P, Revision 1, April 1984 [NSP Proprietary Information], and core inventory and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 10 Startup and Operations Report, NSPNAD-8415P, Revision 1, dated February 1985 [NSP Proprietary Information], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer dated September 11, 1996.
- S. Srinilta, et al., "The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 11", WCAP-11070, March 1986 [Westinghouse Proprietary Class 2], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 12 Final Reload Design Report, NSPNAD-8701P, January 1987 [NSP Proprietary Information], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 13 Final Reload Design Report, NSPNAD-8810P, May 1988 [NSP Proprietary Information], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.

- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 14 Final Reload Design Report, NSPNAD-89013, September 1989 [NSP Proprietary Information], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 15 Final Reload Design Report, NSPNAD-91006, Revision 1, August 1991 [NSP Proprietary Information], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 16 Final Reload Design Report, NSPNAD-92007, July 1992 [NSP Proprietary Information], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- Prairie Island Unit 1 Cycle 17 Final Reload Design Report, NSPNAD-94004, May 1994 [NSP Proprietary Information], and average axial conditions from J. E. Schaefer, September 11, 1996.
- ASTM Designation E482-89, Standard Guide for Application of Neutron Transport Methods for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- 40. ASTM Designation E560-84, *Standard Recommended Practice for Extrapolating Reactor Vessel Surveillance Dosimetry Results*, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E706-87, Standard Master Matrix for Light-Water Reactor Pressure Vessel Surveillance Standard, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E261-90, Standard Practice for Determining Neutron Fluence Rate, Fluence, and Spectra by Radioactivation Techniques, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E262-86, Standard Method for Determining Thermal Neutron Reaction and Fluence Rates by Radioactivation Techniques, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E263-88, Standard Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Iron, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.

- 45. ASTM Designation E264-92, Standard Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Nickel, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- 46. ASTM Designation E481-92, Standard Method for Measuring Neutron-Fluence Rate by Radioactivation of Cobalt and Silver, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E523-87, Standard Test Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Copper, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- 48. ASTM Designation E704-90, *Standard Test Method for Measuring Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Uranium-238*, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E705-90, Standard Test Method for Measuring Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Neptunium-237, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- ASTM Designation E1005-84, Standard Test Method for Application and Analysis of Radiometric Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- 51. F. A. Schmittroth, FERRET Data Analysis Core, HEDL-TME 79-40, Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, Richland, WA, September 1979.
- W. N. McElroy, S. Berg and T. Crocket, A Computer-Automated Iterative Method of Neutron Flux Spectra Determined by Foil Activation, AFWL-TR-7-41, Vol. I-IV, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirkland AFB, NM, July 1967.
- 53. RSIC Data Library Collection DLC-178, SNLRML Recommended Dosimetry Cross-Section Compendium, July 1994.
- 54. EPRI-NP-2188, Development and Demonstration of an Advanced Methodology for LWR Dosimetry Applications, R. E. Maerker, et al., 1981.
- ASTM Designation E693-79, Standard Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Ferritic Steels in Terms of Displacements per Atom (dpa), in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.

- 56. ASTM Designation E853-87, Standard Practice for Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results, in ASTM Standards, Section 12, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.
- 57. CVGRAPH, Hyperbolic Tangent Curve-Fitting Program, Version 4.1, developed by ATI Consulting, March 1996.

APPENDIX A

×

LOAD-TIME RECORDS FOR CAPSULE S CHARPY IMPACT TESTS

Curve 784472-AF13



Fig. A-1-Idealized load-time record

A-1







Figure A-3. Load-time records for Specimens N32 and N25.



Figure A-4. Load-time records for Specimens N31 and N29.



Figure A-5. Load-time records for Specimens N35 and N34.



Figure A-6. Load-time records for Specimens N26 and N36.



Figure A-7. Load-time records for Specimens N30 and N33.

SPECIMEN NUMBERING CODE:

- N FORGING C (TANGENTIAL)
- S FORGING C (AXIAL)
- **R ASTM CORRELATION MONITOR**
- W WELD METAL
- H HEAT AFFECTED 20NE MATERIAL



TO TOP OF VESSEL





EGION OF VESSEL

9701290146-1

TO BOTTOM OF VESSEL

Figure 4-2 Capsule S Diagram Showing the Location of Specimens, Thermal Monitors and Dosimeters



Figure A-8. Load-time records for Specimens S25 and S34.



Figure A-9. Load-time records for Specimens S29 and S28.



Figure A-10. Load-time records for Specimens S30 and S27.



Figure A-11. Load-time records for Specimens S33 and S36.



Specimen Alignment Error - Data not valid.

Figure A-12. Load-time records for Specimens S26 and S31.



Figure A-13. Load-time records for Specimens S35 and S32.



Figure A-14. Load-time records for Specimens W23 and W18.



Figure A-15. Load-time records for Specimens W22 and W21.



Figure A-16. Load-time records for Specimens W19 and W24.



Figure A-17. Load-time records for Specimens W17 and W20.

Specimen Alignment Error - Data not valid.



Figure A-18. Load-time records for Specimens H17 and H21.







Figure A-20. Load-time records for Specimens H20 and H23.






Figure A-22. Load-time records for Specimens R17 and R22.













APPENDIX B

CHARPY V-NOTCH SHIFT RESULTS FOR EACH CAPSULE HAND-DRAWN VS. HYPERBOLIC TANGENT CURVE-FITTING METHOD (CVGRAPH VERSION 4.1)

Table B-1	30 ft-Ib Transiti	ion Temperature	Shifts (°F) fo	or Intermediate St	nell Forging C (Tangential)
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots	
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔΤ ₃₀	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔΤ ₃₀
٧	-25	13	38	-38.91	17.44	56.36
Ρ	-25	-5	20	-38.91	-15.8	23.11
R	-25	55	80	-38.91	56.93	95.85
S	No	**		-38.91	62.55	101.46

Table B-2	50 ft-lb Transit	ion Temperature	Shifts (°F) f	or Intermediate Sh	nell Forging C (Tangential)	
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT _{so}	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₅₀	
٧	-5	34	39	-6.35	44.34	50.69	
Р	-5	20	25	-6.35	16.92	23.27	
R	-5	90	95	-6.35	94.84	101.19	
S		**	**	-6.35	98.8	105.15	

Table B-3	35-mil Lateral I (Tangential)	Expansion Temp	perature Shift	s (°F) for Intermed	diate Shell Forg	ing C	
		Hand-Fit Plots		CVGRAPH Plots			
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT_{35}	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₅	
۷	-25	38*	63	-24.28	47.82	72.11	
Ρ	-25	7	32	-24.28	9.34	33.63	
R	-25	70	95	-24.28	80.86	105.15	
S	**		**	-24.28	88.08	112.37	

* Extracted from plot in WCAP-8916.

Table B-4	Upper Shelf Er	nergy Shifts (ft-Ib) for Interme	diate Shell Forgin	g C (Tangential)
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots	
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE
V	158	143	-15	158	143	-15
Ρ	158	142	-16	158	142	-16
R	158	145	-13	158	145	-13
S				158	142.5	-15.5

Table B-5	30 ft-lb Transiti	on Temperature	Shifts (°F) fo	or Intermediate Sh	nell Forging C (/	Axial)
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots	
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀
V	-27	-3	24	-31.31	-7.24	24.07
Ρ	-27	10	37	-31.31	2.66	33.98
R	-27	60	87	-31.31	52.87	84.18
S		**	**	-31.31	42.95	74.27

Table B-6	50 ft-Ib Transiti	ion Temperature	e Shifts (°F) fo	or Intermediate Sh	nell Forging C ()	Axial)	
		Hand-Fit Plots		CVGRAPH Plots			
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₅₀	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₅₀	
V	4	19	15	3.95	20.11	16.15	
Ρ	4	55	51	3.95	54.27	50.32	
R	4	100	96	3.95	99.55	95.6	
S	**			3.95	80.63	76.68	

Table B-7	35-mil Lateral (Expansion Temp	perature Shift	s (°F) for Intermed	diate Shell Forg	ing C (Axial)	
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT_{35}	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₅	
۷	-12.5	17	29.5	-13.05	18.92	31.97	
Ρ	-12	28	40	-13.05	18.14	31.2	
R	-12	85	97	-13.05	85.16	98.21	
S	**	**	**	-13.05	75.02	88.07	

Table B-8	Upper Shelf Er	nergy Shifts (ft-lb) for Interme	diate Shell Forgin	g C (Axial)		
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE	
V	143	155	12	143	155	12	
Ρ	143	130	-7	143	136	-7	
R	143	129	-14	143	129	-14	
S	• *		**	143	135	-8	

Table B-9	30 ft-lb Transiti	on Temperature	Shifts (°F) fo	or the Surveillance	e Weld Material			
Capsule		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots			
	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀		
V	-57	-32	25	-64.44	-30.05	34.38		
Ρ	-57	-15	42	-64.44	-19.28	45.15		
R	-57	60	117	-64.44	58.02	122.47		
S	**	80		-64.44	95.98	160.43		

Table B-10	50 ft-lb Transiti	on Temperature	Shifts (°F) fo	or the Surveillance	e Weld Material	
Capquia	Hand-Fit Plots				CVGRAPH Plots	
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT_{50}	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₅₀
٧	-14	18	32	-26.93	20.42	47.35
Ρ	-14	46	60	-26.93	45.01	71.94
R	-14	115	129	-26.93	134.95	161.88
S	** == 1			-26.93	143.91	170.84

Table B-11	35-mil Lateral I	Expansion Temp	perature Shift	s (°F) for the Surv	eillance Weld M	Material		
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots			
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT_{35}	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT_{35}		
٧	-45	14	59	-50.79	22.58	73.38		
Ρ	-45	25	70	-50.79	25.55	76.34		
R	-45	90	135	-50.79	117.04	167.83		
S		**		-50.79	132.74	183.53		

able B-12	Upper Shelf Er	ergy Shifts (ft-l')) for the Sun	veillance Weld Ma	terial	
	Hand-Fit Plots				CVGRAPH Plots	
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE
۷	78.5	91	12.5	78.5	91	12.5
Ρ	78.5	83	4.5	78.5	83	4.5
R	78.5	75	-3.5	78.5	75	-3.5
S			9-8	78.5	84.5	6

Table B-13	30 ft-lb Transiti Material	on Temperature	Shifts (°F) f	or the Weld Heat-	Affected-Zone (HAZ)
And the second se		Hand-Fit Plots	CVGRAPH Plots			
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀	Unirradiated*	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀
V	-200	-200	0	-260.00	-200.00*	0
Ρ	-200	-130	70	-200.00	-125.35	74.65
R	-200	-60	140	-200.00	-50.31	149.69
S				-200.00	-62.89	137.11

Table B-14	50 ft-Ib Transiti Material	on Temperature	e Shiits (°F) fo	or the Weld Heat-	Affected-Zone (HAZ)	
		Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	∆T ₅₀	Unirradiated*	Irradiated	ΔT ₅₀	
V	-125	-125	0	-125.00	-125.00*	0	
Ρ	-170	-105	65	-125.00	-88.8	36.20	
R	-170	-5	165	-125.00	-21.13	103.87	
S				-125.00	-26.8	98.20	

Table B-15	35-mil Lateral I Material	Expansion Temp	perature Shift	s (°F) for the Weld	d Heat-Affected	-Zone (HAZ
		Hand-Fit Plots	CVGRAPH Plots			
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT_{35}	Unirradiated*	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₅
٧	-152	-128	24	-152.00	-128.00	24.00
Ρ	-175	-65	110	-152.00	-51.24	100.76
R	-175	0	175	-152.00	-11.77	140.23
S		**		-152.00	-7.49	144.51

* Because the hyperbolic tangent curve fitting process did not provide a smooth S-shaped curve for the unirradiated and Capsule V data, these values have been retained from the original Charpy V-notch hand fit curves documented in WCAP-8086 and WCAP-8916.

	Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE
V	211	•	- *	211	*	*
Ρ	211	143	-68	211	143	-68
R	211	97	-114	211	97	-114
S				211	136	-75

* Upper shelf impact energy not obtainable due to excessive toughness.

Table B-17	30 ft-Ib Transiti	on Temperature	e Shifts (°F) fo	or the Correlation	Monitor HSST	Plate 02
		Hand-Fit Plots		CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₃₀
V	49	159	110	46.2	149.05	102.84
Ρ	49	205	156	46.2	207.61	161.4
R	49	235	186	46.2	239.93	193 72
S				46.2	212.29	166.08

	Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₅₀	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT ₅₀
۷	81	194	113	78.39	194.65	116.25
Ρ	81	232	151	78.39	228.16	149.76
R	81	285	204	78.39	280.48	202.08
S			**	78.39	237.98	159.58

Table B-19	35-mil Lateral I 02	Expansion Tem	perature Shift	s (°F) for the Corr	elation Monitor	HSST Plat
		Hand-Fit Plots	CVGRAPH Plots			
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT_{35}	Unirradiated	Irradiated	∆T ₃₅
٧	53	88	35	58.63	192.96	134.33
Ρ	53	218	165	58.63	217.38	158.74
R	53	280	227	58.63	299.54	240.9
		-		58.63	238.47	179.82

	Hand-Fit Plots			CVGRAPH Plots		
Capsule	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE
٧	123.5	91	-32.5	123.5	91	-32.5
Ρ	123.5	85	-38.5	123.5	85	-38.5
R	123.5	86	-37.5	123.5	86	-37.5
S		-	**	123.5	82.5	-41

APPENDIX C

CHARPY V-NOTCH PLOTS FOR EACH CAPSULE USING HYPERBOLIC TANGENT CURVE-FITTING METHOD



C-1

		Pa	ge 2	
		Material: FORGING SA5083 Hea	t Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: 1	Л
		Capsule: UNIRR	Total Fluence:	
		Charpy V-Notch	Data (Continued)	
	Temperature 40 40 80 80 150 150 210 210 300 300 300	Charpy V-Notch Input CVN Energy 89 84 66 107 1155 111 159 164 150 153 153 153 153 153 174	Data (Continued) Computed CVN Energy 87.79 87.79 87.79 1828 1828 1828 1828 147.25 154.95 157.56 157.56 157.56 157.56 157.56 SUM of R	Differential 12 -3.79 -1.79 -1.79 -1.128 -2.78 -7.28 11.74 16.74 -4.95 -1.95 2.43 -2.56 16.43 ESIDUALS = 15.9
Colorison of	ninimational highly an analytic product and a second second second second second second second second second s			

C-2



	Pa	ge 2	
	Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Capsule: UNIRR	Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: Total Fluence:	LT
	Charpy V-Notch	Data (Continued)	
Temperature 40 40 80 80 150 150 210 210 210 300 300 300	Input Lateral Expansion 76 71 74 81 88 87 102 101 99 98 95 98 90 89	Computed LE 76.6 76.6 76.6 88.91 88.91 94.51 94.51 95.17 95.17 95.17 95.29 95.29 95.29 95.29	Differential -6 -5.6 -2.6 -7.91 -91 -1.91 7.48 6.48 3.82 2.82 -17 2.7 -6.29 -629 PETRULALS - 5.02
		SUM OF P	EDEDUALD = -DUR

4

C-4



C-5

	ĸ.,			100	
- 2.	10	- 24	an .	10.0	
	- 24	- 27	p	1	
	- 64	æ.	Sec. 1	- 204	

Material: FORGING SA5083

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: LT

Capsule: UNIRR Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
40	63	52.18	10.81
40	45	52.18	-7.18
40	45	52.18	-7.18
80	79	52.18	7.08
80	67	71.91	-4.91
150	61	71.91	-10.91
150	100	71.91	8.06
210	100	91.93	8.06
210	100	91.93	2.38
210	100	97.61	2.38
210	100	97.61	2.38
300	100	97.61	2.38
300	100	99.64	35
300	100	99.64	35
300	100 100	99.64 99.64 SUM of R	.35 .35 ESIDUALS = 20.66



Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL Capsule UNIRR Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 10 10 40 40 80 80 90 210 210 210 210 300 300 300	Input CVN Energy 69 53 70,5 76 66,5 110 90,5 97 141 134,5 146 149 148 140	Computed CVN Energy 54.06 54.06 75.57 75.57 102.96 102.96 102.96 139.39 139.39 139.39 139.39 142.43 142.43	Differential 14.93 -1.06 16.43 32 -9.17 7.03 -12.46 -5.96 1.6 -4.89 6.6 6.56 5.56 -2.43
		SUM of I	RESIDUALS = -5.49



Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL Capsule UNIRR Total Fluence Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued) Temperature Input Lateral Expansion Computed LE. Differential 10 61 45 59 48.32 12.67 10 48.32 10 48.32 10.57 40 40 66 56 22 79 97 94 96 97 98 98 99 98 99 98 65.33 .61 -9.38 65.38 81.87 80 12 80 81.87 -5.87 -2.87 1.61 80 81.87 210 95.38 210 95.38 210 300 -1.3895.38 .61 .04 95.95 300 95.95 1.04 2.04 300 95.95 SUM of RESIDUALS = -10.45



Orientation: TL

UNIRRADIATED

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Heat Number: 21918/38566

Capsule UNIRR Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

l'emperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
10	43	34.06	Page
10	37	34.00	0.95
10	43	04.00	2.93
40	40	34.00	8.93
40	40	46.59	-3.59
90	40	46.59	-6.59
80	62	63.69	-160
80	55	63.69	-8.60
80	61	63 60	-0.03
210	100	04.42	-209
210	100	174.40 0.1.12	5.56
210	100	34.43	5.56
200	100	94.43	5.56
200	100	98.79	12
000	100	98.79	12
300	100	98.79	12
		SUM of RE	SIDUALS = 9.75

.



Page 2

Material: WELD

4

Heat Number: 1752 Orientation:

Capsule: UNIRR Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature -10 -10 10 10 40 40 40 40 80 80 80 210 210 210 210	Input CVN Energy 62 615 52 75 50 77 84 61 85 95 62 70 87 78	Computed CVN Energy 58 58 65.4 65.4 65.4 72.34 72.34 72.34 76.42 76.42 76.42 76.42 76.42 76.42 76.42 76.42 76.42 76.42 78.44 78.44 5UM of R	Differential 3.99 3.49 -13.4 9.59 -15.4 4.65 11.65 -11.34 8.57 18.57 -14.42 -8.44 8.55 -44 ESIDUALS = 1.53
---	---	--	---



Page 2

Heat Number: 1752 Orientation: Capsule: UNIRR Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature	Input Lateral Expansion	Computed LE	Differential
10	56	54.94	105
-10	57	54.04	1.05
10	50	01.04	205
10	30	62.29	-12.29
10	72	62.29	9.7
10	52	62.29	-10.20
40	71	60 46	103.00
40	78	00.40	123
40	10	09.40	8.53
40	00	69.46	-14.46
80	82	73.93	806
80	92	73.93	18.06
80	65	72 02	10.00
210	60	10.00	-0.80
010	03	70.34	-7.34
210	78	76.34	1.65
210	75	76.34	-134
		STIM of	PESIDIALS 28

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

Material: WELD



	r	age 2		
	Material: WELD	Heat Number: 1752 (Orientation:	
	Capsule UNIRR	Total Fluence		
	Charpy V-Notch	n Data (Continued	l)	
Temperature -10 -10 10 10 40 40 40 40 80 80 80 80 210 210 210	Input Percent Shear 63 51 57 73 63 77 82 68 93 97 89 100 100 100	Computed Perce 56.3 56.3 66.26 66.26 66.26 78.7 78.7 78.7 78.7 78.7 89.56 89.56 89.56 89.56 89.56 99.25 99.25	ent Shear SUM of RESIDUAL	Differential 6.69 -5.3 -9.26 6.73 -3.26 -1.7 3.29 -10.7 3.43 7.43 -56 .74 .

4



Page 2

Material: HEAT AFFD ZONE

4

FFD ZONE Heat Number: Capsule UNIRR Total Fluence

mber: Orientation:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Input CVN Energy 91 Temperature Computed CVN Energy 79.7 Differential -100 1129 3429 -100114 79.7 90 78 79.7 117.23 117.23 -100 10.29 -39.23 -25.23 12.76 -43.03 -10 -10 92 130 -10 11723 40 94.5 137.53 40 40 80 142 74 154 137.53 4.46 137.53 -63.53 152.18 1.81 80 80 145 15218 -7.18 2115 239 152.18 59.31 210 185.95 53.04 210 189 185.95 3.04 210 205 185.95 19.04 SUM of RESIDUALS = 45.45

Best-Fit Curve as documented in WCAP-8916

(not fit with hyperbolic-tangent function)



ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM C-21

Page 2

	Material: HEAT AFFD	ZONE	Heat	Number:	Orie da	ation:		
	Charny	V-Notoh	Doto	Fluence:				
Temperature	Input Lateral Fyre	V-Notch	Data	(Continue	d)			
1emperature -100 -100 -10 -10 -10 40 40 40 40 80 80 80 80 210 210 210	Input Lateral Expa 62 67 52 61 64 77 73 95 62 89 93 91 90 87 82	ansion		Computed 57.4 57.4 75.2 75.2 75.2 80.4 80.4 80.4 80.4 83.0 83.0 83.0 83.0 83.0 83.0 83.0 86.2 86.2 86.2	4 LE 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	SUM of 1	RESIDUALS	Differential 4.5 9.5 -5.49 -14.23 -11.23 1.76 -7.49 14.5 -18.49 5.96 9.96 7.96 3.76 -4.23 = -3.53

.

4
UNIRRADIATED

Best-Fit Curve as documented in WCAP-8916

(not fit with hyperbolic-tangent function)



ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

UNIRRADIATED

Page 2

	1.46	5	
	Material: HEAT AFFD ZONE Capsule: UNIRR	Heat Number: Orienta Total Fluence:	tion:
	Charpy V-Notch	Data (Continued)	
Temperature -100 -100 -10 -10 -10 -10 40 40 40 40 80 80 80 80 210 210 210 210	Input Percent Shear 42 63 43 47 59 53 71 43 90 95 100 100 100 100	Computed Percent She 44.38 44.38 62.74 62.74 62.74 62.74 71.83 71.83 71.83 71.83 71.83 71.83 71.83 71.83 71.83 71.83 91.26 91.26 91.26	ar Differential -2.38 18.61 -1.38 -15.74 -15.74 -3.74 -3.74 -18.83 83 83 83 11.95 16.95 21.95 8.73 8.73 8.73 8.73 8.73 8.73 8.73



UNIRRADIATED

Page 2

Material: SRM HSST02

127 125 117.5

Capsule UNIRR Total Fluence

Heat Number: SA533B1

Charpy V--Notch Data (Continued) Input CVN Energy 35 22 36 52 585 415 635 825 855 109 1085 81 121 117 115 127

300

Computed CVN Energy	Differential
26.89	-4.80
26.80	-4.05
54 71	37
54.71	-6/1
04.11	3.78
04.71	-1321
73	-95
73	9.49
73	12.49
102.32	6.67
102.32	6.17
102.32	-21.32
116.34	4.85
116.34	65
116.34	-134
122 R5	1 24
122.65	220
122.65	5 15
ICADO CUDA -A	-510-
SUM OI	KEOUUALO = -0.15

Orientation: LT



UNIRRADIATED

Page 2

	Material: SRM HSST02 Heat	Number: SA533B1 Orientation: LT	
	Capsule UNIRR	Total Fluence	
	Charpy V-Notch	Data (Continued)	
emperature 40 40 85 85 85 110 110 160 160 160 210 210 210 300 300	Input Lateral Expansion 32 23 32 45 51 42 54 60 71 79 72 69 87 84 88 88 84 88 84 88	Computed LE 26.31 26.31 26.31 48.06 48.06 48.06 59.9 59.9 59.9 59.9 76.07 76.07 76.07 76.07 76.07 76.07 82.91 82.91 82.91 85.9 85.9 85.9	Differential 5.68 -3.31 5.68 -3.06 2.93 -6.06 -5.9 .09 11.09 2.92 -4.07 -7.07 4.08 1.08 5.08 -19 1.09
		SUM of RES	SIDUALS = -3.03

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

4



UNIRRADIATED

Page 2

		Tage 2	
	Material: SRM HSSTO2 Capsule 1 Charpy V-N	Heat Number: SA533Bi Or UNIRR Total Fluence: Notch Data (Continued)	rientation: LT
Temperature 40 40 85 85 85 110 110 110 160 160 160 210 210 210 210 300 300 300	Input Percent Shear 29 33 29 42 43 41 55 58 67 87 84 85 100 98 98 98 100 100 100	Computed Percent 28.84 28.84 28.84 49.72 49.72 49.72 61.88 61.88 61.88 81.39 81.39 81.39 81.39 81.39 92.17 92.17 92.17 92.17 98.59 98.59 98.59	Shear Differential 15 4.15 -7.72 -6.72 -6.72 -6.88 -3.88 5.11 5.6 2.6 3.6 7.82 5.82 5.82 5.82 5.82 5.82 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 SUM of RESIDUALS = 35.18

ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

4



CAPSULE V

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Input CVN Energy 140 150 140

Capsule V Total Fluence

Heat Number: 21918/38566

×

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 210 300 300

4

 Computed CVN Energy
 Differential

 140.13
 -.13

 142.75
 7.24

 142.75
 -.2.75

 SUM of RESIDUALS = 15.17

Orientation: LT



4

CAPSULE V Page 2

.

Input Lateral Expansion

84 81 88

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: LT

Capsule V Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

1	em	pera	tur
		210	
		300	
		300	

Computed 84.29 86.2 86.2	LE		Differential -29 -52 179
	SUM	of RESIDUAL	5 = 254



CAPSULE V

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Input Percent Shear

100 100

100

Capsule V Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Heat Number: 21918/38566

Temperature 210 300 300

4

Computed Percent Shear Differential 92.34 7.65 98.75 1.24 98.75 1.24 98.75 1.24 SUM of RESIDUALS = -12

Orientation: LT



CAPSULE V

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Input CVN Energy 168 166 154

Capsule V Total Fluence

Heat Number: 21918/38566

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 210 250 300

4

Computed CVN	Energy	Dif	ferential
152.71			15.28
154.18			11.81
154.78			78
	SUM o	of RESTDUALS =	3.45

Orientation: TL



CAPSULE V

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Capsule V Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 210 250 300

STILL of DESTRUCTED ALS	Input Lateral Expansion 86.5 94.5 78	Computed LE. 79.83 79.86 79.86	Differential 6.66 14.63 -1.86 PESTRUALS - 4.15
-------------------------	---	---	--



CAPSULE V

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Capsule V Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 210 250 300

Input Percent Shear 100 100 100

Computed Percent Shear 97.75 Differential 224 99.25 99.81 18 SUM of RESIDUALS = 16.17

.



















4



CAPSULE P

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Capsule P Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 200 275 350

3

Input CVN Energy 1475 1365 1425

Computer	I CVN	Energy
	137.8	
	1412	
	141.85	
		SUM

Differential 9.69 -4.7 .64 of RESIDUALS = .17

Orientation: LT



CAPSULE P

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Capsule: P

Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 200 275 350

Input Lateral Expansion 90.59 89.4 90.19

Computed L.E. 86.26 87.72 88 Differential 4.33 1.67 SUM of RESIDUALS = -5

Orientation: LT



CAPSULE P

Page 2

Material: PORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: LT

Input Percent Shear 100 100 100

Capsule P Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 200 275 350

Computed	Percent	Shear		I	Differentia	1
	93.53				6.16	
	99.74				25	
		SUM	of	RESIDUALS	= 192	


CAPSULE P

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL Capsule P Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 350 400

Input CVN Energy 1255 1375

Computed CVN Energy Differential 132.82 -7.32 134.44 3.05 SUM of RESIDUALS = 1.94



CAPSULE P

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Capsule: P Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 350 400

4

.

Input Lateral Expansion 63.79 57.09

Computed L.E. 61.86 61.87

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Differential 193 -4.77 SUM of RESIDUALS = -.4



CAPSULE P

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Capsule P Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 350 400

4

d.

Input Percent Shear 100 100 Computed Percent Shear 98.59 99.4 SUM o

nt Shear Differential L4 59 SUM of RESIDUALS = 8.09















4







CAPSULE R

Page 2

Material FORGING SA5083

Input CVN Energy 149 157 139

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Capsule R Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 300 350 400

4

Computed CVN	Energy		Differential
139.82			9.17
142.98			14.01
144.22			-5.22
	SUM	of RESIDUAL	S = 7.81

Orientation: LT



		0.10
	CAPSULE R Page 2	
	Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orient Capsule R Total Fluence:	ation: LT
	Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)	
Temperature 300 350 400	Input Lateral Expansion 78 76 85 79.42 SUI	Differential 77 -3.26 557 M of RESIDUALS = -3.45

4

n =n

-

.



4

CAPSULE R

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: LT

Capsule R Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 300 350 400

Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
100	97.57	232
100	99.22	.77
100	99.74	25
	SUM of RESIDU	ALS = 6.01



C-79

CAPSULE R

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Capsule R Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 250 300 400

4

124 129 133	Computed CVN Energy 113.49 121.68 127.51	Differential 10.5 7.31 5.48
	SUM of R	ESIDUALS = 13.49



CAPSULE R

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566

Input Lateral Expansion 83 79 84

Capsule: R

Total Fluence

Computed L.E. 76.51 81.13

84.64

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 250 300 400

Differential 6.48 -2.13 -.64 SUM of RESIDUALS = -3.55

Orientation: TL



CAPSULE R

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Capsule: R Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 250 300 400

4

Input Percent Shear 100 100 100	Computed Percent 89.96 96.04 99.44	Shear SUM of R	Differential 10.03 3.95 .55 SIDUALS = 14.44	
			WITTO UTTO - LAVAA	











C-89





C-91





C-93


CAPSULE S Page 2

	- 12	- 14	1.87	r
		- 144		~
			-	

Material: FORGING SA5083

Input CVN Energy 150 151 133

Capsule: S Total Fluence:

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: LT

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 300 350 400

4

Computed (WN	France	D:/	famou tin l
computed CVN	Energy	DI	ierential
138.11			11.88
140.88			10.11
141.91			-8.91
	SUM	of RESIDUALS =	22.21



CAPSULE S Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: LT

4

Capsule: S Total Fluence:

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature	Input Lateral Expansion	Computed LE.	Differential
300	80	89.41	-9.41
350	90	91.01	-1.01
400	94	91.65	2.34
		SUM of 1	RESIDUALS = 16



CAPSULE S

Page 2

1. 10

Material: FORGING SA5083

Capsule S Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 300 350 400

Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differentia
100	98	1.99
100	99.5	.49
100	99.87	.12
	STIM of PR	SIDUALS = 24.37

Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: LT



CAPSULE S

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Capsule S Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Input CVN Energy 138 132

Temperature 250 300

4

Computed CVN	Energy		Dif	ferential
127.45				10.54 -12
	SUM	of	RESIDUALS =	9.52



4

CAPSULE S

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083

Input Lateral Expansion

85 70 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL Capsule: S Total Fluence:

> Computed L.E. 79.3 80.54

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 250 300

4

Differential 5.69 -10.54 SUM of RESIDUALS = -4.13



CAPSULE S

Page 2

Material: FORGING SA5083 Heat Number: 21918/38566 Orientation: TL

Input Percent Shear 100 100

Capsule S Total Fluence

Charpy V-Notch Data (Continued)

Temperature 250 300

4

Computed	Percent 94.09	Shear			Dif	ferenti 5.9	al
	98.14					1.85	
		SUM	of	RESIDUALS	=	12.46	







4



C-109







This Page Was Intentionally Left Blank.

4



4



.

3 D-0 t APPENDIX D SURVEILLANCE DATA CREDIBILITY EVALUATION

INTRODUCTION:

Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, describes general procedures acceptable to the NRC staff for calculating the effects of neutron radiation embrittlement of the low-alloy steels currently used for light-water-cooled reactor vessels. Position C.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, describes the methodology for calculating the adjusted reference temperature and Charpy upper-shelf energy of reactor vessel beltline materials using surveillance capsule data. The methods of Position C.2 can only be applied when two or more credible surveillance data sets become available from the reactor in guestion.

To date, there have been four surveillance capsules removed from the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel. This capsule data must be shown to be credible. In accordance with the discussion of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, there are five requirements that must be met for the surveillance data to be judged credible.

The purpose of this evaluation is to apply the credibility requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, to the Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel surveillance data and determine if the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance data is credible.

Criterion 1: Materials in the capsules should be those judged most likely to be controlling with regard to radiation embrittlement.

The beltline region of the reactor vessel is defined in Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50, "Fracture Toughness Requirements", December 19, 1995 to be:

"the reactor vessel (shell material including welds, heat affected zones, and plates or forgings) that directly surrounds the effective height of the active core and adjacent regions of the reactor vessel that are predicted to experience sufficient neutron radiation damage to be considered in the selection of the most limiting material with regard to radiation damage."

The Prairie Island Unit 1 reactor vessel consists of the following beltline region materials:

- a) Intermediate shell forging C, heat number 21918/38566
- b) Lower shall forging D, heat number 21887/38530
- c) Circumferential weld wire UM 89, heat number 1752, UM 89 flux, batch number 1230

Per WCAP-8086⁽³⁾, the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance program was based on ASTM E185-70, "Recommended Practice for Surveillance Tests for Nuclear Reactor Vessels". Per Section 3.1.2 of ASTM E185-70, "A minimum test program shall consist of specimens taken from the following locations (1) base metal of one heat, incorporated in the highest flux location of the reactor vessel, that has the highest initial ductile-brittle transition temperature, (2) weld metal, fully representative of fabrication practice used for the welds in the highest flux location of the reactor vessel, (weld wire or rod, and flux must come from one of the heats used in the highest flux region of the reactor vessel) and (3) the heat-affected zone of the weldments noted above." Therefore, at the time the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance capsule program was developed, intermediate shell forging C was judged to be most limiting based on the above recommendations and was utilized in the surveillance program.

The surveillance program weld for Prairie Island Unit 1 was fabricated using the same heat of weld wire used to fabricate the circumferential weld seam (heat 1752). The results of mechanical property tests performed on the surveillance weld are considered to be representative of the property changes expected in the reactor vessel beltline seams.

Therefore, the materials selected for use in the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance program were those judged to be most likely controlling with regard to radiation embrittlement according to the accepted methodology at the time the surveillance program was developed. The Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance program meets this criteria.

Criterion 2: Scatter in the plots of Charpy energy versus temperature for the irradiated and unirradiated conditions should be small enough to permit the determination of the 30 ft-lb temperature and upper shelf energy, unambiguously.

Plots of Charpy energy versus temperature for the unirradiated condition are presented in WCAP-8086⁽³⁾, "Northern States Power Company Prairie Island Unit No. 1 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program," dated June 1973. Plots of Charpy energy versus temperature for the irradiated conditions are presented in Appendix C of this report for Capsules V, P, R and S.

Based on engineering judgement, the scatter in the data presented in these plots is small enough to determine the 30 ft-lb temperature and the upper shelf energy of the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance materials unambiguously. Therefore, the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance program meets this criteria.

Criterion 3: When there are two or more sets of surveillance data from one reactor, the scatter of $\triangle RT_{NDT}$ values about a best-fit line drawn as described in Regulatory Position 2.1 normally should be less than 28°F for welds and 17°F for base metal. Even if the fluence range is large (two or more orders of magnitude), the scatter should not exceed twice those values. Even if the data fail this criterion for use in shift calculations, they may be credible for determining decrease in upper shelf energy if the upper shelf can be clearly determined, following the definition given in ASTM E185-82.

The least squares method, as described in Regulatory Position 2.1, will be utilized in determining a best-fit line for this data to determine if this criteria is met.

able D1 Prairie 2.1 of	e Island Unit 1 Su Regulatory Guid	rveillance Cap le 1.99, Revisio	sule Data Calcon 2	ulation of Best-Fi	it Line as Described i	n Position
Material	Capsule	f ^(a)	FF ^(b) (X)	∆RT _{NDT} (y)	FF x ΔRT _{NDT} (xy)	FF ² (x ²)
Intermediate Sheil	V	0.563	0.839	24.07	20.19	0.704
(Axial)	Р	1.318	1.077	33.98	36.60	1.160
	R	4.478	1.380	84.18	116.17	1.904
	S	4.017	1.357	74.27	100.78	1.841
	v	0.563	0.839	56.36	47.29	0.704
Intermediate Shell	р	1.318	1.077	23.11	24.89	1.160
Forging C (Tangential)	R	4.478	1.380	95.85	132.27	1.940
	S	4.017	1.357	101.46	137.68	1.841
	Σ',	1	9.306	493.28	615.87	11.21
Weld Metal	v	0.563	0.839	34.38	28.84	0.704
	Ρ	1.318	1.077	45.15	48.63	1.160
	R	4.478	1.380	122.47	169.01	1.904
	S	4.017	1.357	160.43	217.70	1.841
	Σ°	1	4.653	362.43	464.18	5.609

*

Per the $27^{\underline{m}}$ Edition of the CRC Standard Mathematical Tables (page 497), for a straight line fit by the method of least squares, the values b_o and b_i are obtained by solving the normal equations

$$n b_{o} + b_{1} \Sigma x_{i} = \Sigma y_{i}$$

$$b_{o} \Sigma x + b_{o} \Sigma x^{2} = \Sigma x y_{i}$$

These equations can be re-written as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} = an + b \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} = a\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} + b\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}$$

Intermediate Shell Forging C:

Based on the data provided in Table D1, these equations become:

1.) 493.28 = 8a + 9.306b or a = 61.66 - 1.16b

and

2.) 615.87 = 9.306a + 11.218b

Thus, by substituting Eq. 1 into Eq. 2, b = 107.1. Now, enter b (= 107.1) into Eq. 1 and a = -62.9. Therefore, the equation of the straight line which provides the best fit in the sense of least squares is: Y' = 107.1 (X) - 62.9

The error in predicting a value Y corresponding to a given X value is: e = Y - Y'.

and

Base Material (Orientation)	FF	∆RT _{NDT} (30 ft-lb) (°F)	Best Fit ∆RT _{NDT} (°F)	Scatter of ∆RT _{ND} (°F)
Intermediate Shell	0.839	24.07	27.0	-2.9
Forging C (Axial)	1.077	33.98	52.4	-18.4
	1.340	44.18	84.9	-0.7
	1.357	74.27	82.4	-8.1
Intermediate Shell	0.839	56.36	27.0	29.4
Forging C (Tangential)	1.077	23.11	52.4	-29.3
	1.380	95.85	84.9	11.0
	1.357	101.46	82.4	19.1

Table D2: Le Fit Evaluation for Intermediate Forging

The scatter of ΔRT_{NDT} values about a best-fit line drawn, as described in Regulatory Position 2.1, should be less than 17°F for base metal. However, even if the fluence range is large, the scatter should not exceed twice this value (34°F). As shown above, the error is within 34°F of the best-fit line. Therefore, this criteria is met for the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance forging material.

Weld Metal:

Based on the data provided in Table D1 the equations become:

1.) 362.43 = 4a + 4.653b or a = 90.61 - 1.163b

and

2.) 464.18 = 4.653a + 5.609b

Thus, by substituting Eq. 1 into Eq. 2, b = 216.7. Now, enter b (= 216.7) into Eq. 1 and a = -161.5. Therefore, the equation of the straight line which provides the best fit in the sense of least squares is:

The error in predicting a value Y corresponding to a given X value is: e = Y - Y'

Base Material	FF	∆RT _{N07} (30 ft-lb) (°F)	Best Fit ∆RT _{NDT} (°F)	Scatter of ∆RT _{NDT} (°F)
Weld Metal	0.839	34.38	20.3	14.1
	1.077	45.15	71.9	-26.8
	1.380	122.47	137.5	-15.0
	1.357	160.43	132.6	27.8

Table D3: Best Fit Evaluation for Weld Metal

The scatter of ΔRT_{NDT} values about a best-fit line drawn, as described in Regulatory Position 2.1, should be less than 28°F. However, even if the fluence range is large, the scatter should not exceed twice this value (56°F). As shown above, the error is within 56°F of the best-fit line. Therefore, this criteria is met for the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance weld material.

Criterion 4: The irradiation temperature of the Charpy specimens in the capsule should match the vessel wall temperature at the cladding/base metal interface within +/- 25°F.

The Prairie Island Unit 1 capsule specimens are located in the reactor between the thermal shield and the vessel wall and are positioned opposite the center of the core. The test capsules are in baskets attached to the thermal shield. The location of the specimens with respect to the reactor vessel beltline provides assurance that the reactor vessel wall and the specimens experience equivalent operating conditions and will not differ by more than 25°F.

Criterion 5: The surveillance data for the correlation monitor material in the capsule should fall within the scatter band of the data base for that material.

Correlation monitor material was supplied by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory from plate material used in the AECsponsored Heavy Section Steel Technology (HSST) Program. This material, which was obtained from a 12-inch thick A533 Grade B Class 1 plate (HSST Plate 02), was provided to Subcommittee II (of ASTM Committee E 10 on Radioisotopes and Radiation Effects) to serve as correlation monitor material in reactor vessel surveillance programs. The plate was produced by the Lukens Steel Company and heat treated by Combustion Engineering, Inc.

Figure D1 contains a plot of the residual (measured shift minus Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 shift) versus capsule fluence data. The plot shows the Prairie Island Unit 1 data as solid points. The data has been shifted such that the mean value is at zero and the two-sigma bound at 45°F. All of the Prairie Island Unit 1 correlation monitor material data falls within the two-sigma scatter band of the A533 Grade B Class 1 data per this criterion.

Residual vs. Fast Fluence for HSST Plate 02 Materials



ANALYSIS OF CAPSULE S FROM THE NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY PRAIRIE ISLAND Unit 1 REACTOR VESSEL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

D-7

Conclusion:

Based on the preceding responses to the criteria of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Section B, and the application of engineering judgement, the Prairie Island Unit 1 surveillance weld metal data is credible.