

The Light company

Houston Lighting & Power P.O. Box 1700 Houston, Texas 77001 (713) 228-9211

October 24, 1985

ST-HL-AE-1450

File No.: G9.17

Mr. George W. Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 3
Division of Licensing
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

South Texas Project
Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. STN 50-498, STN 50-499
Responses to DSER/FSAR Items
Regarding Question 130.20

Dear Mr. Knighton:

The attachment enclosed provides STP's response to Draft Safety Evaluation Report (DSER) or Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) items.

The item numbers listed below correspond to those assigned on STP's internal list of items for completion which includes open and confirmatory DSER items, STP FSAR open items and open NRC questions. This list was given to your Mr. N. Prasad Kadambi on October 8, 1985 by our Mr. M. E. Powell.

The attachment includes mark-ups of FSAR pages which will be incorporated in a future FSAR amendment unless otherwise noted below.

The items which are attached to this letter are:

<u>Attachment</u>	<u>Item No.*</u>	<u>Subject</u>
1	Q130.020-1	Revised response to Q130.20

B51101017B B51024
PDR ADOCK 05000498
E PDR

***Legend**

D - DSER Open Item
F - FSAR Open Item


C - DSER Confirmatory Item
Q - FSAR Question Response Item

L1/DSER/aaa

Boo1
11

If you should have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Mr. Powell at (713) 993-1328.

Very truly yours,


M. R. Wisenburg
Manager, Nuclear Licensing

CAA/vmq

Attachments: See above

cc:

Hugh L. Thompson, Jr., Director
Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Robert D. Martin
Regional Administrator, Region IV
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, TX 76011

N. Prasad Kadambi, Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7920 Norfolk Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20814

Claude E. Johnson
Senior Resident Inspector/STP
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
P.O. Box 910
Bay City, TX 77414

M.D. Schwarz, Jr., Esquire
Baker & Botts
One Shell Plaza
Houston, TX 77002

J.R. Newman, Esquire
Newman & Holtzinger, P.C.
1615 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Director, Office of Inspection
and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

E.R. Brooks/R.L. Range
Central Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 2121
Corpus Christi, TX 78403

H.L. Peterson/G. Pokorny
City of Austin
P.O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767

J.B. Poston/A. vonRosenberg
City Public Service Board
P.O. Box 1771
San Antonio, TX 78296

Brian E. Berwick, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General for
the State of Texas
P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, TX 78711

Lanny A. Sinkin
3022 Porter Street, N.W. #304
Washington, DC 20008

Oreste R. Pirfo, Esquire
Hearing Attorney
Office of the Executive Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Charles Bechhoefer, Esquire
Chairman, Atomic Safety &
Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dr. James C. Lamb, III
313 Woodhaven Road
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Judge Frederick J. Shon
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Ray Goldstein, Esquire
1001 Vaughn Building
807 Brazos
Austin, TX 78701

Citizens for Equitable Utilities, Inc.
c/o Ms. Peggy Buchorn
Route 1, Box 1684
Brazoria, TX 77422

Docketing & Service Section
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
(3 Copies)

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street
Washington, DC 20555

Revised 9/25/85

Question 130.20

You state on page 3.8-9 of the FSAR that "shield walls... absorb impact from pipe whip and jet impingement pressure for small penetration." Discuss, with sketches, the shield walls provided and the provisions for large penetrations.

Response

The high energy line breaks are currently being re-evaluated. The criteria and load combinations used in the design of jet impingement barriers (JIBs) are in accordance with Section 3.8.3 and 3.8.4. Typical barrier design will be provided in Section 3.6.

40

Section 3.8.1.3 has been revised to reflect the applicable definition for pipe rupture loads used in the design of the containment. Load combinations, including pipe rupture loads, are included in Section 3.8.1.

Section 3.6 provides the criteria, methodology, and selected results used in the STP high energy pipe break evaluation.