January 22, 1997

Mr. William T. Cottle Executive Vice-President & General Manager, Nuclear Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

### SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) REGARDING STEAM GENERATOR TUBE VOLTAGE BASED REPAIR CRITERIA 90-DAY REPORT, SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1 (TAC NO. M97305)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff is reviewing the subject report, dated September 5, 1996. This 90-day report was submitted in accordance with Generic Letter 95-05, "Voltage-Based Repair Criteria for Westinghouse Steam Generator Tubes Affected by Outside Diameter Stress Corrosion Cracking."

Based on its review, the staff has determined that additional information is needed. It is requested that you provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 30 days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY: Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-498

Enclosure: RAI

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

9701230283 970122 PDR ADOCK 05000498

Docket File T. Alexion J. Roe ACRS

PUBLIC. W. Beckner J. Dyer, RIV OGC

PD4-1 r/f C. Hawes E. Adensam (EGA1)

Document Name: STP97305.RAI \*See previous concurrence

230040			NRC FIL	E CENTER COPY
COPY	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO	
DATE	1 12/97	1 /22/97	01/16/97	
NAME	TAlexion/vw	CHawes CANN	ETSullivan	
OFC	PM/PD4-1	(A)LA/PD4-1	SL/EMCB*	

PDR

230040



### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 22, 1997

Mr. William T. Cottle
Executive Vice-President & General Manager, Nuclear
Houston Lighting & Power Company
South Texas Project Electric Generating Station
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) REGARDING STEAM GENERATOR TUBE VOLTAGE BASED REPAIR CRITERIA 90-DAY REPORT, SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1 (TAC NO. M97305)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff is reviewing the subject report, dated September 5, 1996. This 90-day report was submitted in accordance with Generic Letter 95-05, "Voltage-Based Repair Criteria for Westinghouse Steam Generator Tubes Affected by Outside Diameter Stress Corrosion Cracking."

Pased on its review, the staff has determined that additional information is meeded. It is requested that you provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 30 days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-498

Enclosure: RAI

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. William T. Cottle Houston Lighting & Power Company

#### cc:

Mr. David P. Loveless Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77414

Mr. J. C. Lanier/M. B. Lee City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Mr. M. T. Hardt Mr. W. C. Gunst City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

Mr. G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 289 Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 74483

INPO Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

Dr. Bertram Wolfe 15453 Via Vaquero Monte Sereno, CA 95030

Judge, Matagorda County Matagorda County Courthouse 1700 Seventh Street Bay City, TX 77414

J. W. Beck Little Harbor Consultants, Inc. 44 Nichols Road Cohasset, MA 02025-1166 South Texas, Units 1 & 2

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

Mr. Lawrence E. Martin General Manager, Nuclear Assurance Licensing Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

Rufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting and Power Company P. O. Box 61867 Houston, TX 77208

Joseph R. Egan, Esq. Egan & Associates, P.C. 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Office of the Governor ATTN: Andy Barrett, Director Environmental Policy P. O. Box 12428 Austin, TX 78711

Arthur C. Tate, Director Division of Compliance & Inspection Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756

Texas Public Utility Commission ATTN: Mr. Glenn W. Dishong 7800 Shoal Creek Blvd. Suite 400N Austin, TX 78757-1024

#### REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

#### STEAM GENERATOR TUBE VOLTAGE BASED REPAIR CRITERIA 90-DAY REPORT

## SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNIT 1

# DOCKET NO. 50-498

By letter dated September 5, 1996, "Steam Generator Tube Voltage Based Repair Criteria 90-Day Report," information was provided pertaining to the licensee's assessment of the structural and leakage integrity of the steam generator tubes. As a result of a review of this document, the staff requests that the licensee provide responses to the following questions and comments in order for the staff to assess differences between the results provided by the licensee and results generated by the staff.

- 1. For the projected end-of-cycle (EOC) voltage distributions provided in the report, discuss the key assumptions in the methodology (e.g., is interpolation between voltage bins performed, is WCAP-14277 Equation 3.5 or 3.6 used, how are values of probe wear that exceed the probe wear cutoff handled, is the non-destructive examination uncertainty adjustment applied to the beginning-of-cycle (BOC) voltage only or to the sum of the BOC voltage and the growth rate, what method is used to account for the fractional indications, how are the probe wear adjustment and analyst variability adjustment determined).
- 2. For the projected EOC voltage distributions contained in Table 4-8, it appears that when the number of indications was less than 0.1, the values were not reported. If this is the case, provide an updated EOC voltage distribution which shows all EOC voltages observed regardless of the frequency of occurrence (e.g., 0.003 indications).
- 3. In the determination of the probability of burst and the primary-tosecondary leakage under postulated accident conditions, discuss how fractional indications were accounted (e.g., 7.3 indications, 0.002 indications).
- Provide the mean probability of burst associated with the 95% upper confidence limit values provided in Table 5-1. Provide the number of trials used in determining the probability of burst (e.g., 100,000).

Since one of the purposes of the information requested in Questions 1 and 2 is to assess differences between the licensee's EOC projections and projections made by the staff, it would be acceptable to provide additional calculations of the EOC voltage distribution using assumptions that are different than those made in the September 5, 1996, letter provided the assumptions and the input data are provided.

ENCLOSURE