Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

The Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-454 Docket No. 50-455

As a result of the inspection conducted March 18-21, 25-27, April 3-4, 9-11, 16-19, 22-23, May 15-16, 28, and July 1-3, 16-17, 1985, in accordance with the General Policy and Procedures for the NRC Enforcement Actions (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), the following violations were identified:

1. 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion IX, as implemented by the CECo Quality Assurance Program, requires that special processes be controlled and be accomplished by qualified personnel using qualified procedures in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications, criteria, and other special requirements.

Contrary to the above, the following examples of failure to control special processes were identified:

- a. Reliable Sheet Metal welding procedures did not properly address the required preheat in accordance with AWS D1.1, and attachments to structural members were welded without the required preheat (454/85011-02(a)(DRS)).
- b. A Reliable Sheet Metal welder having insufficient records to justify qualification in accordance with AWS D1.1 performed welds on heavy plate (454/85011-02(b)(DRS)).

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II).

 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion XVI, as implemented by the CECo Quality Assurance Program, requires that conditions adverse to quality be promptly identified and corrected.

Contrary to the above, weld quality and inspection problems identified concerning the Reactor Containment Fan Cooler installations were not addressed in a timely manner in that four years elapsed between identification and resolution of the problems (454/85011-03(DRS)).

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each violation: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) correction action to be taken to avoid further violations; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

August 6,1985

C. C. Paperiello, Director Division of Reactor Safety