NRC For (9-83)	n 386			U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMS NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/85									
FACILIT	Y NAME	1)								DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	PAGE	(3)
Da	V1S-	Bess	e Unit	: 1	_					0   5   0   0	0 3 4 6	1 OF	01
Ex	ceed	ing	Reacto	or Power L	imit Est	tablished I	ue to	Low	Measured	RCS Flow			
EV	INT DAT	E (6)	T	LER NUMBER	(6)	REPORT DATE	1 (7)		OTHER	FACILITIES INVOL	VED (8)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAP	RASY A	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NA	MES	DOCKET NUMBE	R(S)	
											0 101010	101 1	
0 4	20	8 1	5 8 5	0 0 8	01	0 7 1 9	8 5				0 15 10 10	101 1	1
OPI	RATING		THIS RE	PORT IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUANT	TO THE REQUIREME	NTE OF 10	CFR §: /	Check one or more	of the following) (11	1)		_
POWE	R		20	.402(b) .405(a)(1)(i)	-	20.405(a) 50.36(a)(1)		-	50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)		
LEVE (10)	L 0	19 1	8 20	406(a)(1)(ii)		50.38(a)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)	1.1	OTHER /Se	ecity in Abet	nect
			20	.408(a)(1)(iii)	X	60.73(a)(2)(i)			60.73(a)(2)(viii)	(A)	JB6A)	n Text, NAC	Form
			20	.406(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(iii)		-	60.73(a)(2)(viii)	(8)			
			1 1-			ICENSEE CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)	- www.anarianian				-
NAME										AREA CODE I	TELEPHONE NUM	BER	_
Er	dal (	Caba								411 19	214191	- 51 0	0.0
		_		COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	ID IN THIS REPO	AT (13)	- 417	1-14	910
CAUSE	SYSTEM	CON	PONENT	MANUFAC. TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC.	REPORTABLE	**	
		-										•••••••	
X	SIJ	1	F  T	B101415	Y		-			111			
	1		11	Lin					LiL	1111			
				BUPPLEME	INTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)				EXPECTE	0 MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YE	5 //7 yes, 4	omp/ete	EXPECTED	SUBMISSION DATE		V NO				SUBMISSIC DATE ITS	DN SI		
Wh a Ge app to Th be of in 3. ba ST ab in Su th mo in re	ile prob neral prox be e un ing a Tech adven 2.5. lance 504 ove to dical baseque e NII re th opera	slow lem ted imat 98.3 it wa appro- nnic rten Re- e ca 2.03 the ted uent s wa able ed by	ly inc with t megawa ely 98 perce as lin oximat al Spe tly di actor lculat , was Techni flow h evalu s lowe the al chann y Tech	ereasing p the comput atts indic percent. ent. nited to 9 tely 2 per ecificatio id not com power was tion. On performed ical Speci had been 1 nation sho or than th lowable 2 hel was no mical Spe	ower to er heat ated 903 Power 6 percer cent low ns. The ply with decreas April 30 and sho fication ow. wed that e actual percent t placed cificati	96 percent balance ca balance ca	i on A alcula a corr med b te to te min pr app on sta pero te Rea tual low n this sa ince p this ipped	React imum roxim roxim roxim rent a actor flow requir me pe ower was l cond	20, 1985 which re- nds to a manual her for Coolar flow requately 12 to f Tech s determ Coolant f to be 40 cement. eriod of by appro- not know	, the oper ad 95.2 per reactor po at balance nt System uirement f hours, th hnical Spe ined by a System Flo 6,533 gpm Therefore, time that ximately O n at that thin one h	measured in Table 1 cification manual he ow Test, which is only only one 0.2 percentime, the our as	spected tion flow 3.2-1 on eat of	
Th te Mo da	e can r whi dific ry he	ise ich catio eat	of the is par on was balanc	t of the made to ce calcula	s readin computer plant pr tions an	ng was foun r secondary rocedures t nd its inpu	d to heat o pro	be a bala vide P S	failed faince calco a more de 5080804 DR ADO	eedwater f ulation. etailed lo 97 85071 CK 05000	low trans ok at sec 346 DR	con-LS	2

1

1

LICENSEE EV	ENT REPORT	(LER) TEXT	CONTINUATION
-------------	------------	------------	--------------

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104

EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)									LE	R NUM	PAGE (3)						
									YEA	R		SEQUI	NTIAL	REVISION				
Davis-Besse Unit 1		-																
	0	5	0	0	0	3	4	6	8	5	-	0	0 8	 01	0 2	OF	0 3	3
				-										 and the second s				_

Description of Occurrence: Following a maintenance outage which ended on April 13, 1985, reactor power was increased to 85 percent power. Reactor power was then slowly being increased to 96 percent power on April 20, 1985. The unit was limited in power due to the Reactor Coolant System, RCS, (AB), measured flow reading approximately 2 percent lower than the minimum required flow in Table 3.2-1 of Technical Specifications of 396,880 gpm.

At 0220 hours, the operators suspected a problem with the computer heat balance calculation which read 95.2 percent power. Generated megawatts read 903 MWe, which corresponds to approximately 98 percent power. A manual heat balance calculation confirmed reactor power to be 98.3 percent. The action statement of Technical Specification 3.2.5, which limits thermal power at least 2 percent below rated thermal power for each 1 percent the RCS flow is outside its limit was not being met.

Reactor power was decreased to 95.4 percent as determined by a manual secondary heat balance calculation. Subsequent evaluation showed that only one of the NIs was lower than the actual heat balance power by approximatley .2% more than the allowable 2 percent. Because this was not known at the time, the inoperable channel was not placed in the tripped condition within one hour per Technical Specification 3.3.1.1. Once reactor power was decreased, the inoperable NI channels were once again operable.

This event is being reported per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as operation in excess of the action statement limit.

Designation of Apparent Cause of Occurrence: The cause of the occurrence was found to be a failure of feedwater flow (SJ) transmitter FTSP2B2. The root cause was that no procedures existed that periodically checked the heat balance inputs. Each feedwater flow loop has two transmitters which are averaged and fed into the computer secondary heat balance calculation. These transmitters fail to a value of 4996.8 KGPM, which corresponds to a heat balance calculation of 87 percent power. The more reactor power was increased over 87 percent power, the greater the error was in the heat balance calculation. It was later found that the transmitter had failed on March 24, 1985, when the unit was in a maintenance outage. The thermal power calculation is not affected until greater than 50 percent power when a secondary heat balance calculation is used.

Analysis of Occurrence: During the 1984 Refueling Outage, Burnable Poison Rod Assemblies, BPRA, (AC), were installed into the core as the result of a change to an 18 month cycle. Since this changed the flow distribution through the core, the minimum required RCS flow required for DNB considerations was reanalyzed. It was found that the minimum could be lowered to 389,644 gpm, which is less than what was being measured at the time of this problem. A Technical Specification change request had already been submitted to the NRC for approval prior to this problem.

ARC Form 366A

LICENSEE	EVENT	REPORT	(LER)	TEXT	CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			L	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)					
		YE	YEAR		SEQUENTIAL		REVISION		Γ		
Davis-Besse Unit 1	0 5 0 0 3 4	6 8	15	_	0 0 8	_	0  1	0 3	OF	0	3

IRC Form 366A

ST 5042.03, RCS Flow Test, performed on April 30, 1985, showed the actual RCS flow to be 406,533 gpm which is greater than the present minimum required flow of 396,880 gpm specified in Table 3.2-1 of Technical Specifications. The flow determined from ST 5042.03 is an accurate flow determined by a manual heat balance calculation. Therefore, at the time of this event, even though measured flow was lower than the Technical Specification limit of 396,880 gpm, the actual RCS flow was much greater. The difference could be due to the new Rosemount flow transmitters installed during the 1984 Refueling Outage.

The remaining three NI channels for RPS hi flux trip were operable.

<u>Corrective Action</u>: Feedwater flow transmitter FTSP2B2 will be repaired or replaced with a new transmitter under Maintenance Work Order 1-85-135000. The computer heat balance calculation has been modified to use only the available feedwater flow transmitter in Loop 1.

ST 5030.01, RPS Heat Balance, performed daily to check nuclear instrumentation indicated power against the heat balance power was modified to provide an additional check of the heat balance calculations by comparing reactor power to a feedwater flow and generated megawatts curve. PT 5131.02, Verification of Computer Calculations, will perform the heat balance calculations weekly to individually verify the computer computations. It was also modified to include a comparison of the inputs with other available instrumentation to assure that computer points are valid. A Generic Guidance Memorandum was written to emphasize the critical nature of the instrumentation and specify equipment associated with the performance of the secondary heat balance calculations.

Failure Data: This was the first occurrence where the station operated at a power level higher than an action statement limit.

Report No: NP-33-85-12

DVR No(s): 85-060



July 19, 1985

Log No. K85-1114 File: RR 2 (NP-33-85-12)

Docket No. 50-346 License No. NPF-3

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is Revision 1 to Licensee Event Report 85-008. The revisions to the report are indicated by a "1" in the left margin of each page. Please replace your previous copies of this report with the attached revision.

Yours truly,

S.M. Quemos/DWB

Stephen M. Quennoz Plant Manager Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

SMQ/1jk

Enclosure

cc: Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator, USNRC Region III

> Mr. Walt Rogers DB-1 NRC Resident Inspector

JCS/001

TOLEDO, OHIO 43652 TE22

1