

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION I

Report No. 50-271/85-26

Docket No. 50-271

License No. DPR-28

Licensee: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation

RD#5 - Box 169 Ferry Road

Brattleboro, Vermont 05301

Facility Name: Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Inspection At: Brattleboro and Vernon, Vermont and Framingham, Massachusetts

Inspection Conducted: September 3-6, 1985

Inspectors:

P. K. Eapen  
Dr. P. K. Eapen, Chief, QA Section, DRS

9/27/85  
date

P. K. Eapen  
for G. Napuda, Lead Reactor Engineer

9/27/85  
date

P. K. Eapen  
for J. Prell, Reactor Engineer

9/27/85  
date

Approved by:

Joe H. Bettenhausen  
Dr. L. H. Bettenhausen, Chief,  
Operations Branch, DRS

9/27/85  
date

Inspection Summary: Special Unannounced Inspection Conducted September 3-6, 1985  
50-271/85-26

Areas Inspected: Quality Assurance Program implementation in audits, first level inspection of work, offsite safety review committee, procurement, QA surveillance receipt inspection, shelf life controls, vendor controls, and Licensee's actions on previous NRC findings. The inspection involved 114 hours onsite and 32 hours offsite by two region based inspectors and one region based supervisor.

Results and Findings: No violations were identified. The team's findings are listed below:

The inspection team found the licensee had met commitments contained in response letters (see References in paragraph 13) to the NRC. The status update presented to the NRC Regional Management during an August 7, 1985 meeting, requested by the licensee, was found to be accurate. Specifically:

1. The interim corrective actions taken with regards to all safety class items received by or released from the warehouse were comprehensive and timely.
2. The procedural revisions adequately addressed NRC concerns and provided sufficient guidance to and management overview of stores activities.
3. The training/qualifications requirements for receipt inspectors now meet accepted industry standards.
4. Long term program improvements, such as a reference library and measuring equipment for receipt inspectors, have been established.
5. Other long term program improvements, including detailed documentation of receipt inspections, thorough procurement document review cycle, identification and tracking of items requiring periodic monitoring, and increased receipt inspection staff, have been initiated.
6. Task forces were established to review problems in receipt inspection and verification of completed work and make recommendations as to corrective action and improvement. These reviews were accomplished and their recommendations were accepted with few exceptions.
7. The QA audit and surveillance overview was improved.
8. Management recognized the concerns identified by the NRC in Reference 26 and is taking actions to resolve these concerns.

Many of the long term program improvements require additional reviews by the NRC since they have just recently been initiated. A broader sampling base of completed actions under the new program would provide confidence that licensee actions have been effective. The required additional NRC reviews will be conducted during future NRC inspections.

## DETAILS

### 1. Persons Contacted

#### Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation

- \*G. Gilmore, Storekeeper
- \*R. Milligan, Administrative Supervisor
- \*W. Murphy, Vice President and Manager of Operations
- \*J. Pelletier, Plant Manager
- \*C. Porrovecchio, QA Technician
- \*D. Reid, Operations Superintendent

#### Yankee Atomic Electric Company (YAEC)

- \*D. Dyer, Operations Quality Group (OQG) Engineer
- \*J. Callaghan, Systems Group Engineer
- \*R. Martin, Supervisor, Quality Design and Procurement
- W. Peterson, Supervisor, QA Engineering Group
- A. Shepard, Director of QA (Chairman, Offsite Safety Review Committee)
- S. White, Quality Design and Procurement Engineer (Acting Supervisor)
- D. Yasi, Lead Systems Engineer

#### NRC

\*W. Raymond, Senior Resident Inspector  
Other administrative engineering, I&C maintenance, operations, QA/QC, support and technical employees were interviewed during this inspection.

\*Denotes those attending the exit interview.

### 2. Introduction

The NRC identified several concerns in the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station's Receipt Inspection activities during the NRC inspection 50-271/85-11, conducted on March 11-15, 1985 (Reference 26 in Paragraph 13). An Enforcement Conference was held at the NRC Region I office on April 11, 1985 to discuss the above inspection concerns with the licensee. Subsequently, a notice of violation was issued on April 25, 1985 (Reference 36 in Paragraph 13) detailing violations resulting from the NRC inspection No. 50-271/85-11. On May 29, 1985, the licensee provided a response letter (Reference 31 in paragraph 13) which addressed the concerns identified in the NRC letter dated April 25, 1985. This response included the following commitments:

1. Establishment of a Receipt Inspection Task Force on March 18, 1985 to review the receipt inspection program and make interim and long term corrective action recommendations to the Plant Manager. The Task Force Recommendations were provided to the Plant Manager on May 1, 1985.

2. Implement the procedural revisions recommended by the Task Force, by July 10, 1985.
3. Complete the necessary training for the receipt inspection personnel and those personnel involved in the purchase of material and service by the end of July 1985.
4. Make the upgraded Receipt Inspection Program effective by August 1, 1985.
5. During the interim period, perform a second receipt inspection on previously accepted safety related material prior to issue.
6. Initiate actions to improve the effectiveness of the Vermont Yankee QA program implementing procedures and their administration.

### 3. Objectives

Purposes of this special team inspection were:

1. To conduct the followup team inspection as stated in the NRC Region I Regional Administrator's letter to the Honorable Governor of the State of Vermont dated June 14, 1985.
2. To review the licensee's procurement, receipt, storage and handling program and to assure that these activities are conducted in accordance with the NRC requirements and the licensee's commitments, particularly those stated above and;
3. To review the status and adequacy of the licensee's actions planned or taken to improve the effectiveness of the Vermont Yankee's QA program implementing procedures and their administration.

### 4. Procurement of Material and Services

#### 4.1 Program Review

Material and Service Procurement activities are controlled by Administrative Procedure (AP) 0800 (Reference 8, paragraph 13). Revision (2) of AP800 dated July 30, 1985 was reviewed. This procedure was developed to establish controls for procurement activities in accordance with the licensee's QA Topical Report which endorses, 10 CFR 50 Appendix B and ANSI N45.2.13 (Reference 5, paragraph 13) requirements for procurement activities and to incorporate corrective actions recommended by the Task Force.

Procurement of materials and services are initiated by each Department responsible for ordering materials (except stock items) and services by sending a completed Material and Service Purchase Request (MSPR) to the stores and purchasing supervisor. If the material or services being ordered require quality assurance, requirements of AP 6020 will be invoked. Additionally, the originator of the MSPR will have to complete Appendix A, "Quality Assurance Requirements" to AP0800. Technical information, such as vendor drawings, catalog data and industry standards to facilitate receipt inspection, will be referenced in or attached to the MSPRs.

For safety related stock items, the store keeper is required to initiate the MSPR and forward it to the stores QA technician. The QA technician is required to complete the MSPR, review it for appropriate technical content and return it to the Stores Supervisor for processing. Materials or services requiring no quality assurance are ordered by forwarding a MSPR or computer reorder report to the stores supervisor for processing.

All MSPRs are signed by the originator and routed to the applicable department head, plant superintendent or plant manager for approval. Specific approval requirements for different types of services and material are specified on page 4 of AP0800.

The ordering department is responsible for ensuring that a safety related item has not been identified by the vendor or the NRC as a potentially defective item. Additionally, the ordering department is required to verify that previously identified nonconformance conditions are corrected prior to placing the order.

Completed MSPRs are routed to the Brattleboro Operations Group for review. The Operations Support Group conducts their review of the MSPR using OPVY 102 (Revision 2) guidelines. Upon completion of the review, the Operations Support Group forwards the MSPR for a review by the Framingham Operations Quality Assurance (OQA) Group. If necessary, Brattleboro office will also forward the MSPR for a review by Framingham YAEC engineers. YAEC engineering reviews the MSPR using the guidelines of Procedure WE-200. Upon completion of the reviews, Framingham returns the MSPR to Brattleboro. The Brattleboro operation engineer reviews both engineering and OQA comments and recommends statements for inclusion in the purchase order. The Operations Support Manager approves Framingham comments and forwards them to the site QA. The site QA assures that the operations engineers recommendations were consistent with engineering and OQA comments.

The completed and reviewed original MSPR is returned to the stores supervisor. From this MSPR a purchase order is prepared and routed for approval to the applicable Department Head and site QA. The purchase order is then signed by the purchasing agent, approved by proper authority, and issued to the vendor. The procured goods are received and inspected using the requirements of procedure AP 0801. Storage of received goods is in accordance with procedure AP 0803. Material issued from storage is controlled by procedure AP 0806 (Revision 3). Training of personnel involved in procurement, receipt, storage and handling is controlled by procedure DP 0811. (Revision 2).

#### 4.2 Implementation Review

The following Purchase Orders (PO) packages were examined. These POs met the licensee procedure and ANSI N45.2.13 requirements.

- PO 25545, Switchgear Charging Motor
- PO 25550, Tip Ball Valve
- PO 25575, Snubbers and Seal Kit
- PO 25576, Manway Engineering for Pipe Replacement
- PO 25580, Heise CM Gauge
- PO 25586, Edgewise Meter
- PO 25802, Limitorque Motor
- PO 25584, Filter Liner

The above POs were reviewed with the originator, QA reviewers and engineering reviewers to determine the level of involvement, understanding, and knowledge of these individuals in the revised material and service procurement program. It was verified that these individuals were knowledgeable of the new procurement requirements.

No violations were identified.

### 5. Receipt Inspection

#### 5.1 Program Review

The site receipt inspection program is controlled by the Administrative Procedure (AP) 0801 (Reference 9). As stated in Reference 31, the licensee has revised this procedure to provide better guidance to receipt inspection personnel and to preclude recurrence of the violation identified in reference 36. On March 18, 1985, the licensee formed a Receipt Inspection Task Force to review the Receipt Inspection Program and to make recommendations to the plant manager for programmatic improvements. The task force was also responsible for developing short and long term recommendations that would enhance or otherwise improve the receipt inspection program.

The inspectors reviewed the revised Receipt Inspection Program as documented in Revision 14 to AP 0801, issued on July 30, 1985. Additionally, the inspectors selected previously received items, as detailed below, to verify the effectiveness of the revised program. The inspectors witnessed receipt inspections for two items received under the revised program.

Findings of this review are detailed below.

#### 5.2 Receipt Inspection Interim Measures

In Reference 31, Vermont Yankee committed to the NRC to perform the following interim receipt inspection measures until a long-term program could be developed and implemented. These interim actions were initiated on March 15, 1985.

- (1) The development of receipt inspection guidelines to supplement the existing Vermont Yankee (VY) receipt inspection procedure and the associated checklist. These guidelines were incorporated into Administrative Procedure (AP) 0801 on March 20, 1985. It was determined that the inspection guidelines provide guidance for electrical and mechanical receipt inspections and associated documentation. Guidance on most of the characteristics identified in ANSI N45.2.2 was provided, such as performing dimensional measurements, physical properties checks, weld preparation checks, workmanship inspections, lubrication checks, and documentation reviews. See paragraph 5.7 for further discussion of the characteristics not listed in the procedure.
- (2) One hundred percent receipt inspections of all safety class items received beginning March 18, 1985 and ending on May 15, 1985, when an appropriate sampling program was approved and established. The inspectors verified this by reviewing received goods, on a sampling basis. An acceptable sampling plan was developed and provided to the receipt inspectors in Revision 14 to procedure AP-0801.
- (3) A complete examination of the warehouse to determine if additional safety class material existed which may not have been receipt inspected. Items received under twenty two purchase orders were identified as not receipt inspected and a second receipt inspection was ordered for these items.

The NRC inspector reviewed the Purchase Order files for the above 22 items and verified a second receipt inspection had been done. In addition, the inspector went to the warehouse and verified, based on a sample of eight of the 22 items, that a 100% inspection had been performed for these items.

- (4) Develop a list of safety related items stored with a desiccant. This list is to be used in performing periodic inspections to assure the desiccant has not been expended.

A log has been developed which lists items in the warehouse stored with dessiccants and the date when they were last inspected. AP 0803, Storage of Materials and Equipment, now requires items stored with desiccants to be tracked and inspected as necessary. Form VYAPF 0803.01, used for periodic inspections and preventive maintenance of stored items, has been modified to clearly identify desiccant inspections.

- (5) Perform a second receipt inspection of all safety class items prior to their release from stores to the plant.

A review of all Material Issue Slips between February 15, 1985 and May 15, 1985, was made to identify safety related items issued from the warehouse. The purchase order files for thirty-one safety class items were reviewed and it was verified that all items had received a second receipt inspection. On May 15, 1985, in a memorandum from the plant manager, this requirement was rescinded, as no problems were identified.

- (6) Place all eleven P.O. items identified in NRC inspection report number 50-271/85-11 into a "Material Hold" area and perform a second receipt inspection.

A review of the eleven P.O. files was made. It was determined through review of a second receipt inspection checklist and copies of Material Hold Tags that a second receipt inspection had taken place and the items had been placed in the Material Hold area. This was verified by reviewing these items in the warehouse to determine if their boxes had been opened and seals broken.

### 5.3 Quality Assurance Technicians (QATs)

The present commitment through the Quality Assurance Topical Report for qualification and training of QA technicians who perform receipt inspections is to ANSI N 18.1-1971. Subsequent to the NRC inspection (50-271/85-11), the licensee revised their training procedure for stores personnel, DP 0811, to incorporate the training requirements of ANSI N45.2.6 and to require annual review training. The training files of store personnel were reviewed and a receipt inspection by the storekeeper was witnessed using the new receipt inspection procedures. Based on the above, it was concluded that the training program was effective and that it met the applicable NRC requirements and licensee's commitments.

The QAT job description and DP0811 still reference the ANSI N18.1 requirements without mentioning the incorporation of ANSI N45.2.6. In discussions with plant management, they indicated that this was an oversight and that the appropriate procedures and job description would be revised to reflect ANSI N45.2.6 qualification requirements. This is an unresolved item awaiting incorporation of ANSI N45.2.6 requirements in to the QAT qualification documents. (271/85-26-01).

One recommendation to come out of the Receipt Inspection Task Force (Reference 28) was that "... in order to effectively administer the recommendations contained in this report a minimum of two full time receipt inspectors, dedicated to the receipt inspection process, will be necessary". In the May 15, 1985 VY memorandum (Reference 29), the VY Plant Manager stated that "Approval for additional permanent full time manpower will be pursued promptly with the Vice President and Manager of Operations". In a June 4, 1985 memorandum (Reference 32) from the Administration Supervisor to the Plant Manager a proposed reorganization structure was made showing 2 QATs and 1 Senior QAT. This would increase the number of QATs on site from one to three. The two QATs would be dedicated to receipt inspection and the Senior QAT would review MSPRs and the QATs receipt inspection work. At the time of this inspection, one QAT position had been approved by the Manager of Operations and the Senior QAT position description was on his desk for review and approval. The President of Vermont Yankee has to approve both positions. The Manager of Operations stated that the staffing plan for QATs will be dispositioned by October 1, 1985. This is an unresolved item awaiting full staffing of the QAT and Senior QAT positions (271/85-26-02).



#### 5.4 Reference Material for Receipt Inspection

A vendor catalog file has been established which includes industry standards such as ASTM, ASME, ANSI, MIL-S-105D and Manufacturers Standard Specifications. The CASE Register is also available. Automatic Controls, Electrical Supplies, Instrument and Electronic Controls are typical of the approximately twenty categories listed on an index into which library contents have been divided along with item locations.

#### 5.5 Measuring and Test Equipment

An inventory of Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE) is now available and being used by the receipt inspection group. A calibration due date log, serialization of individual equipment, access to the equipment and other M&TE controls have been established. A final decision is pending whether to utilize the Maintenance calibration control procedure or develop a separate one for the Stores group.

#### 5.6 Shelf Life Program for Received Goods

The status of the Shelf Life Study was reviewed and it was noted that approximately two thirds of warehouse stock had been evaluated for shelf life. The evaluation of the items actual shelf life is to be done by a contractor. A bid proposal has been distributed for this effort. Items issued for use, whose shelf life has not yet been determined, are examined by the user group to verify their suitability for the intended application.

#### 5.7 Verification of the Implementation of Receipt Inspection Program

The POs listed below, that were processed in accordance with revised procedures, were examined to determine if receipt inspection and handling conformed to VY commitments described in their letter to the NRC dated May 29, 1985.

- PO 24462, Range Leak Test Monitor
- PO 24655, Fuel Channel Fasteners
- PO 24498, Stainless Steel Tubing
- PO 25184, Battery

No violations were identified. However, during the review of the revised Receipt Inspection Checklist (RIC) versus ANSI N45.2.2-1972 inspection requirements, it was noted that the following characteristics were not addressed in the RIC: Physical properties, weld preparations, workmanship, lubricants and oils and electrical insulation. Except for electrical insulation, these characteristics were, however, addressed in the General Inspection Guidelines. The RIC does not formally require that the received items be inspected for those characteristics in the General Inspection Guidance. As a result there is

no assurance that an item was receipt inspected against the General Inspection Guidelines. In addition, the guidance does not contain electrical insulation inspections attributes. Management agreed that the RIC and General Inspection Guidelines need to be revised to satisfy the above concerns. This is an unresolved item awaiting NRC review of the revised RIC and General Inspection Guidelines (271/85-26-03).

#### 6. Installation of Received Goods

The following records associated with the installation of the Tip Ball Valve (PO 45550) were examined to determine if YOQAP-1-A requirements were met and AP 0021 controls were implemented.

- Maintenance Request (MR) 85-1574
- Material Issue (MI) 08A0085
- Operating Procedure (OP) 6023.01
- Inspection Report 85-111 (Operations QA)

No violations were identified. However, the responsibility and the intent for signing off the "Inspection/Hold Points Completed by" block on the MR form was not clearly specified. As a result, these blocks are signed off by different personnel and different organizations. VY management stated this would be reviewed and appropriate action taken to clarify the requirement(s). Pending further review this is an unresolved item (271/85-26-04).

#### 7. Vendor Control

Yankee Atomic, Quality Design and Procurement Group, has the responsibility for maintaining the Approved Vendors List (AVL). Approved vendors undergo a triennial audit to remain on the AVL. The determination as to whether a vendor is placed on the AVL is based on one or more of the following as determined by the audit: (1) The vendor has a history of providing satisfactory products, (2) the vendor has an approved QA Program based on 10 CFR 50, Appendix B criteria or (3) the vendor has a technical and quality assurance program which satisfies the concerns of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B criteria.

Procurement of safety related items from unapproved vendors are treated as simplistic items. Simplistic items are items where:

1. the vendor has no 10 CFR Part 21 requirements,
2. the vendor does not supply a certificate of conformance,
3. the item is suitable for receipt inspection and
4. the receipt inspection would not adversely affect the item.

For simplistic items the burden for assuring quality rests with receipt inspection. Site QA witnesses the receipt inspection for simplistic items.

For non-simplistic items, requirements are placed in the purchase order for the vendor to notify YAEC 72 hours prior to shipment of the item. YAEC then goes to the vendor and performs a surveillance audit of the vendor's facility. A review of several P.O. files confirmed that this requirement had been placed in the P.O. and that YAEC had performed the required surveillance.

As of 1985, YAEC became a member of CASE. A review of the AVL verified that it is being maintained current regarding reaudits of vendors and deletion of formerly approved vendors.

#### 8. Offsite Safety Review Committee

The Chairman of the Nuclear Safety Audit and Review Committee (NSARC) was interviewed and meeting minutes 85-2-S and 85-6-R were reviewed to determine the level and types of NSARC involvement in problem areas. The entire audit report, a semi-annual trend report and monthly activity reports are now forwarded to NSARC members. A member of the QA Department gives a presentation at each NSARC meeting. A proposal to require each committee member to be responsible for reviewing audit findings, followups, and proposed corrective actions in an assigned area is to be voted on at the October, 1985 meeting.

The committee held special meeting 85-2-S to review and evaluate adverse findings identified during an audit of Project Engineering. Each finding was discussed with the audit team leader, significance and cause of the findings were established, and corrective action adequacy was determined. The results, corrective actions and investigations associated with NRC Inspection 271/85-11 violations were discussed during meeting 85-6-R. These meetings indicate that the committee does become involved in the review of identified problems.

No violations were identified.

#### 9. QA/QC Interfaces and Audits

As stated in Reference 31, the licensee recognized the need for a review of the adequacy of controls provided by the implementing procedures of the QA Topical Report. On June 7, 1985, the licensee requested the required review from YAEC. This review is presently scheduled to be completed by January, 1986. The effectiveness of this review will be assessed during future routine NRC inspections.

The in-plant audit schedule for 1985 lists seventeen routine audits. Twelve audits have been completed, including the first phase of the procurement audit. This audit was planned to review the recently revised procedures for their compliance to the YQAP Topical QA Report and applicable standards. The second phase will examine the implementation of these procedures. The following audit packages were examined to determine adherence and compliance to ANSI N45.2.12 and established procedures.

- VY-85-08A, Procurement and Material Control
- VY-85-11, Inspection and Test

Yankee Atomic Operational Quality Assurance Group has modified their system for performing audits of nuclear facilities. The modified approach places greater emphasis on determining what the higher tier documents require, e.g., ANSI standards, 10 CFR Part 50, ASME standards, technical specifications, FSAR, etc., and then verifying that the plant's procedures adequately cover or meet these requirements. Only a small implementation review is conducted. Major verification of proper implementation of the procedures is done through periodic surveillances conducted by the sites Operation Quality Group. This approach has been used since the first part of 1985 and has already resulted in identification and resolution of several procedural deficiencies.

No violations were identified. However, Audit VY 85-11 identified a number of problems in the areas of inspection acceptance criteria and first level inspections. The plant's initial response has been rejected by QA. Also, the revised procurement process has not yet been audited completely. Pending review of the adequacy and timeliness of corrective actions associated with Audit VY-85-11 and the results of Audit VY-85-08B this is an unresolved item (271/85-26-05).

#### 10. Status of Previous Inspection Findings

(Open) Violation (85-11-01). Failure to conduct adequate receipt inspections and periodic examinations of stored items. The licensee's corrective actions described in their May 29, 1985 letter to the NRC were reviewed and are discussed in previous sections of this report. The following is an outline of the corrective actions contained in that letter and the results observed.

1. Interim corrective actions and results achieved with respect to receipt inspection.  
The described actions were accomplished.
2. Receipt Inspection Task Force.  
The task force was established and completed its assignment.
3. Corrective action to prevent recurrence.  
These actions were initiated.
4. Dates of full compliance.  
Actions were implemented as stated.
5. Reinspection and engineering evaluations to assure adequacy of installed and stored items.  
These actions were completed.
6. Improve QA Program effectiveness.  
These actions were initiated.

This area will be reviewed during a future NRC inspection to assure the continued compliance with the improved program and the effectiveness of management actions.

This item remains open.

(Open) Unresolved Item (271/84-23-02). Evaluation of shelf life provisions for stored items not yet included in the shelf life program. Based on the discussion in paragraph 4.5 this item remains open.

(Open) Unresolved Item (271/84-23-03). Evaluation of shelf life provisions for items containing non-metallic parts. Based on the discussion in paragraph 4.5 this item remains open.

#### 11. Unresolved Items

Unresolved items are matters about which more information is required in order to ascertain whether they are acceptable, deviations or violations. Unresolved items identified during this inspection are discussed in paragraphs 5.3, 5.7, 6 and 9.

#### 12. Management Meetings

Licensee management was informed of the scope and purpose of the inspection at an entrance interview conducted on September 3, 1985. The findings of the inspection were periodically discussed with licensee representatives during the course of the inspection. An exit interview was conducted on September 6, 1985 at the conclusion of the inspection (see paragraph 1 for attendees) at which time the findings were presented to licensee management.

At no time during this inspection was written material provided to the licensee by the inspectors.

#### 13. References/Documents

1. ANSI N18.1-1971, Selection and Training of Nuclear Power Plant Personnel
2. ANSI N18.7-1976, Administrative Controls and Quality Assurance for the Operational Phase of Nuclear Power Plants
3. ANSI N45.2.2.-1972, Packaging, Shipping, Receiving, Storage and Handling of Items for Nuclear Power Plants
4. ANSI N45.2.6-1978, Qualifications of Inspection, Examination and Testing Personnel For Nuclear Power Plants
5. ANSI N45.2.13-1976, Quality Assurance Requirements for Control of Procurement of Items and Services for Nuclear Power Plants

6. Yankee Operational Quality Assurance Manual (YOQAP-1-A)
7. AP-0021, Revision 12, Maintenance Requests
8. Administrative Procedure (AP) - 0800, Revision 12, Material and Service Procurement
9. AP-0801, Revision 14, Receipt, Inspection, and Shipment of Material and Equipment
10. AP-0802, Revision 8, Identification and Control of Materials, Parts and Components
11. AP-0803, Revision 9, Storage of Materials and Equipment
12. AP-0806, Revision 3, Issuing and Returning of Material, Parts and Components
13. AP-6020, Revision 10, Material and Service Purchase Approval
14. Department Procedure (DP) - 0811, Revision 2, Administrative Department Personnel Training
15. Operational Procedure Vermont Yankee (OPVY)-102 Revision 2, Material and/or Service Purchase Requests
16. Operational Quality Assurance (OQA)-XVIII-3, Revision 14, Vendor Audits
17. OQA-XVIII-4, Revision 11, Vendor Surveillances
18. OQA-XVIII-6, Revision 3, Vendor Re-Audits
19. WE-004, Revision 4, Training
20. WE-104, Revision 4, Qualification Tests
21. WE-200, Revision 8, Material and/or Service Purchase Request
22. WE-202, Revision 4, Technical Evaluation of Vendors
23. WE-203, Request for Bids
24. WE-204, Revision 4, Evaluation of Bids
25. WE-204, Revision 7, Procurement of Services
26. NRC Inspection Report No. 50-271/85-11, dated April 2, 1985

27. VY Memorandum from D. C. Gurroir to R. F. Milligan dated March 27, 1985 entitled "Receipt Inspection - Evaluation of NRC Identified Items"
28. VY Memorandum from R. F. Milligan to J. P. Pelletier dated April 29, 1985 entitled "Receipt Inspection Task Force Recommendations Report"
29. VY Memorandum from I. P. Pelletier to Distribution dated May 15, 1985 entitled "Disposition of Receipt Inspection Task Force Report Recommendations"
30. VY Letter from Warren P. Murphy to Dr. Thomas E. Murley (IVRC) dated May 23, 1985 subject "Report Requested Under 10 CFR 50.54(f) Concerning Apparent False Documentation of Results of Receipt Inspections"
31. VY Letter (FVY 85-48) from Warren P. Murphy to Dr. Thomas E. Murley (NRC) dated May 29, 1985 entitled "Response to Notice of Violation and Enforcement Conference 50-271/85-11"
32. VY Memorandum from R. F. Milligan to J. P. Pelletier dated June 4, 1985 entitled "Proposed Stores Organizational Structure"
33. YA-F Memorandum from D. J. Ivins to R. F. Milligan dated June 21, 1985
34. YA-F Memorandum from G. K. Beampie to Listees dated July 2, 1985 entitled "1984/1985 Annual Review of Vendor Performance"
35. Job Description - Stores Quality Assurance Technician
36. NRC Letter from Dr. Thomas E. Murley to Warren P. Murphy, dated April 25, 1985, Subject: Notice of Violation and Enforcement Conference Report.