

FINAL

SSER

Task: Allegation A-28

Reference Number: 4-83-A-81/2

Characterization: The allegation is that the previous job experience was not verified and that resumes were falsified for QC inspection personnel from Mercury, Peabody, Sline, Tompking-Beckwith (T-B), Gulf, and Fischbach & Moore (F&M).

Assessment of Allegation: This allegation implies that contractor QC inspection personnel may not have been qualified because of a lack of previous experience, and that this fact may have been covered up by falsification of resumes. This allegation could have safety significance if unqualified personnel performed inspections of safety-related systems.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing inspection personnel packages for Mercury and T-B in line with the recommendations of IE Circular No. 80-22.

The NRC staff had previously determined that 32 of the Mercury QC inspection personnel and 37 of the T-B QC inspection personnel sampled were incorrectly certified due to insufficient previous experience or education. (See Allegation A-02.)

The NRC staff could find no evidence that the previous experience of Mercury and T-B inspectors had been verified. [In addition, the resume of one Mercury QC Level II Technician was determined to be falsified.] 2

This issue appears to have generic implications since the recommendation of IE Circular No. 80-22 were never presented to the contractors by LP&L as a requirement.

Potential Violations:

Contrary to 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, Waterford FSAR, and ANSI N45.2.6, 1973, inspections were performed by unqualified inspectors incorrectly certified due to an inadequate procedure.] 4

Actions Required: See Item No. 1 in the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhut letter of June 13, 1984, to J. M. Cain (LP&L).

References

1. IE Circular No. 80-22
2. LP&L letter W3P83-3704, Re: IE Circular No. 80-22
3. T-B letter to J. B. Gore, dated April 27, 1984, Re: Personnel Screening
4. See data from A-02 for QC personnel certifications.

SSER

Task: Allegation A-28

Reference Number: 4-83-A-81/2

Characterization: The allegation is that the previous job experience was not verified and that resumes were falsified for QC inspection personnel from Mercury, Peabody, Sline, Tompking-Beckwith (T-B), Gulf, and Fischbach & Moore (F&M).

Assessment of Allegation: This allegation implies that contractor QC inspection personnel may not have been qualified because of a lack of previous experience, and that this fact may have been covered up by falsification of resumes. This allegation could have safety significance if unqualified personnel performed inspections of safety-related systems.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing inspection personnel packages for Mercury and T-B in line with the recommendations of IE Circular No. 80-22.

The NRC staff had previously determined that ^{in conjunction with} 32 of the Mercury QC inspection personnel and 37 of the T-B QC inspection personnel sampled were incorrectly certified due to insufficient previous experience or education. ~~See~~

is this simply restating A-02
Do not Reiterate

in Allegation A-02

The NRC staff could find no evidence that the previous experience of Mercury and T-B inspectors had been verified. In addition, the resume of one Mercury QC Level II Technician was determined to be falsified. } 2

This issue appears to have generic implications since the recommendation of IE Circular No. 80-22 were never presented to the contractors by LP&L as a requirement.

Potential Violations:

Contrary to 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, Waterford FSAR, and ANSI N45.2.6, 1973, inspections were performed by unqualified inspectors incorrectly certified due to an inadequate procedure.] 4

Actions Required: See Item No. 1 in the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhut letter of June 13, 1984, to J. M. Cain (LP&L).

References

1. IE Circular No. 80-22
2. LP&L letter W3P83-3704, Re: IE Circular No. 80-22
3. T-B letter to J. B. Gore, dated April 27, 1984, Re: Personnel Screening
4. See data from A-02 for QC personnel certifications.

SSER

Task: Allegation A-28

Reference Number: 4-83-A-81/2

Characterization: The allegation is that the previous job experience was not verified and that resumes were falsified for QC inspection personnel from Mercury, Peabody, Sline, Tompking-Beckwith (T-B), Gulf, and Fischbach & Moore (F&M).

Assessment of Allegation: This allegation implies that contractor QC inspection personnel may not have been qualified because of a lack of previous experience, and that this fact may have been covered up by falsification of resumes. This allegation could have safety significance if unqualified personnel performed inspections of safety-related systems.

The NRC staff investigated this allegation by reviewing inspection personnel packages for Mercury and T-B in line with the recommendations of IE Circular No. 80-22.

The NRC staff had previously determined that 32 of the Mercury QC inspection personnel and 38 of the T-B QC inspection personnel sampled were incorrectly certified due to insufficient previous experience or education. *See*

Allegation A-02

The NRC staff could find no evidence that the previous experience of Mercury and T-B inspectors had been verified. In addition, the resume of one Mercury QC Level II Technician was determined to be falsified. } 2

This issue appears to have generic implications since the recommendation of IE Circular No. 80-22 were never presented to the contractors by LP&L as a requirement.

Potential Violations:

Contrary to 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, Waterford FSAR, and ANSI N45.2.6, 1973, inspections were performed by unqualified inspectors incorrectly certified due to an inadequate procedure.] 4

Actions Required: See Item No. 1 in the Enclosure to the D. Eisenhower letter of June 13, 1984, to J. M. Cain (LP&L).

References

1. IE Circular No. 80-22
2. LP&L letter W3P83-3704, Re: IE Circular No. 80-22
3. T-B letter to J. B. Gore, dated April 27, 1984, Re: Personnel Screening
4. See data from A-02 for QC personnel certifications.

is this
simply
restating
A-02
Do not
rewrite